

The difference between the specific weights of the air and kiln-dried specimens was not great. The specific weight of the beam was 3 or 4 lbs. per cubic foot greater than that of the specimens.

Compression specimen 20, after the first series of readings, was left under 5000 lbs. for 42 hours, the final reading varying from .00137 to .00084.

AIR-DRIED SPECIMENS FROM WHITE PINE BEAM 22

Tensile Test.		Compression Test.						Shearing Test.	
Coefficients of elasticity.		Tensile strength, Spec. in lbs. per sq. in.	Spec. in lbs. per cu. ft.	Coefficients of elasticity.		Compressive strength, Spec. in lbs. per cu. in.	Spec. in lbs. per cu. in.	Shearing strength, Spec. in lbs. per cu. in. per cu. ft.	
Spec.	Forward.			Forward.	Return.			Spec. in lbs. per cu. ft.	
<i>a</i>	1.197,600	1.513,620	10,623	24.777	<i>g</i> ₁	1,421,190	1,115,500	3,600	26.831
<i>b</i>	1.497,770	1.504,610	10,014	25.000	<i>h</i>	2,6481	26.213
<i>c</i>	1.106,480	1.704,480	9,931	23.865	<i>i</i> ₁	3,378	26.144
<i>d</i>	<i>i</i> ₂	2,837	25.30
<i>e</i>	<i>i</i> ₃	2,991	25.255
<i>f</i>	<i>m</i> ₁	1,423,400	1,167,220	3,930	26.612
<i>g</i>	<i>m</i> ₂	3,632	26.031
<i>h</i>	<i>m</i> ₃	3,639	26.460
<i>j</i>	321,00

SPECIMENS KILN-DRIED AT 212°F. FROM WHITE PINE BEAM 22

Tensile Test.		Compression Test.						Shearing Test.	
Coefficients of elasticity.		Tensile strength, Spec. in lbs. per sq. in.	Spec. in lbs. per cu. ft.	Coefficients of elasticity.		Compressive strength, Spec. in lbs. per cu. in.	Spec. in lbs. per cu. in.	Shearing strength, Spec. in lbs. per cu. in. per cu. ft.	
Spec.	Forward.			Forward.	Return.			Spec. in lbs. per cu. ft.	
<i>a</i>	1,521,220	1,530,320	8,135	20.089	<i>g</i> ₂	1,802,430	1,806,110	5.0	24.112
<i>b</i>	2,311,150	2,363,410	10,446	24.044	<i>m</i> ₁	2,056,860	4,040	24.701	<i>n</i> ₄
<i>c</i>	1,736,210	1,775,300	9,510	24.04	<i>m</i> ₂	2,074,340	<i>n</i> ₃
<i>d</i>	2,123,700	2,136,510	13,065	26.602	267,63	24.515
<i>e</i>	264,33	23.615
<i>f</i>

Remarks.—The mean direct tensile strength of the air-dried specimens was 2.99 times the mean compressive strength and 1.9 times the calculated mean skin-stress of the beam.

By the kiln-drying, the coefficients of elasticity were increased and the mean compressive strength was increased more than 33.6 p. c. There was also a slight increase in the mean tensile strength, but the shearing strength was diminished more than 19.1 p. c.

The ratio of the length of the compression specimens to the least transverse dimension varied between 2.02 and 10.1, and the failure was in every case due to direct crushing, excepting in the case of specimen *h*, in which the ratio was 29 and the failure was partly due to bending.

The injured portion was removed from specimen *g*, which was then re-tested after it had lost in weight 1.08 lb. per cubic foot. Its compressive strength was found to be 6733 lbs. per square inch, or 1.86 times as great as in the first test.