meeting of municipalities, provinces and the federal government to discuss the transportation policy and transportation problems; second, the Department of Transport should be headed by a minister of transport with the assistance of three ministers of state, each responsible for a particular area of transportation; third, an amendment to the legislation which would permit the railway companies to apply for subsidies when they are looking for subsidies and to apply for the discontinuance of routes when that is their intention.

**Mr. G. W. Baldwin (Peace River):** Mr. Speaker, after listening to "Cullen's fairy tales" I would suggest that if the hon. member is fortunate enough to come back here after the next election he might well qualify for fourth acting critic of the opposition on transportation.

There is only time for me to make one comment, and it is this. The national transportation policy as enunciated in section 3 of the act has been a dismal failure. It has not operated, it has not worked. This country is in a difficult and unfortunate position because of the failure of the government to implement anything like that policy.

I conclude this very simple statement by saying that when a new government takes office it will certainly have as one of its priorities a review of the national transportation policy and will do it, not through experts as the government would like but through a committee of the House, and it will propose a new policy and a new National Transportation Act. We are overdue in that regard. After listening to hon. members on the government side, it is quite plain that is what we must have.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Mark Rose (Fraser Valley West): Mr. Speaker, I have perhaps only one minute in which to make my remarks.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. Perhaps by consent the hon. member might be given 30 seconds.

**Mr. Rose:** I would just like to say that I was very interested in listening to that beautiful man from Sarnia, and his great defence of the Minister of Transport (Mr. Jamieson). I attended the meeting of the transport committee at which such glowing remarks were made about the minister. I agree that the Minister of Transport is an old smoothie, and I suggest he has a tremendous future in radio if he ever decides to retire from politics.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. Pursuant to special order made June 5, the time provided for the consideration of the business of supply at this sitting has expired.

# \* \* \*

### FOREIGN TAKEOVERS REVIEW ACT

## MEASURE TO PROVIDE FOR CONTROL OF FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF CANADIAN COMPANIES

The House resumed from Monday, June 5, consideration of the motion of Mr. Pepin that Bill C-201, to provide for the review and assessment of acquisitions of control of Canadian business enterprises by certain persons, be read

# Division

the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Finance and Economic Affairs.

**Mr. Speaker:** The question is on the main motion. Call in the members.

The House divided on the motion which was agreed to on the following division:

• (2150)

# (Division No. 24)

#### YEAS

#### Messrs:

Alexander Alkenbrack Asselin Baldwin Barrett Basford Béchard Bell Benson Bigg Blair Blouin Borrie Boulanger Breau Buchanan Caccia Cafik Chappell Chrétien Clermont Coates Cobbe Corriveau Côté (Longueuil) Crossman Cullen Cvr Deachman Deakon De Bané Dinsdale Drury Dubé Fairweather Flemming Forest Forget Foster Francis Gendron Gervais Gillespie Gover Gray Grills Groos Guay (St. Boniface) Guilbault Haidasz Hales Harkness

Hogarth Hopkins Howard (Okanagan Boundary) Hymmen Isabelle Jerome Kaplan Knowles (Norfolk-Haldimand) Korchinski Laflamme Lajoie Lang (Saskatoon-Humboldt) Laniel La Salle Leblanc (Laurier) Lessard (Lac-Saint-Jean) L'Heureux Lind Loiselle MacDonald (Egmont) Macdonald (Rosedale) MacEachen MacKav McBride McCleave McCutcheon McGrath McKinley McNulty McQuaid Mahoney Major Marceau Marchand (Kamloops-Cariboo) Monteith Moore Morison Muir Munro Murphy Murta Nesbitt Nielsen Noble Noël Nowlan O'Connell