undoubtedly belongs to Dr. Harvey's species, which by its gray color recalls the species of *Apatela* or *Acronycta*.

· Caradrina meralis Morr., Can. Ent., 7, 215.

I have, unfortunately, re-described this species as C. bilunata, Can. Ent., 9, 199.

Tarache obatra Morr., Proc. Bost. S. N. H., 124, 1875.

This is evidently a species of *Spragueia* allied to *Spragueia plumbifimbriata*; it would be quite impossible to recognize the fact from the description and comparisons of Mr. Morrison.

Agrotis personata Morr., Proc. Bost. Soc. N. H., 238, 1876.

This is a dark specimen of *Agrotis pitychrous* previously described by me.

Agrotis manifestolabes Morr., Proc. Bost. Soc. N. H., 166, 1874.

This is a synonym of Agrotis (Matuta) Catherina, Grote, Can. Ent., 116, 1874. I have evidently been in error in considering my type a male and also in proposing a new genus for its reception. The male antennae are pectinate. The female type is photographed Can. Ent., vol. 7. It passed from my hands immediately after description, which prevented my making any comparison or re-examination. Since then I have seen the Q manifestolabes in Mr. Tepper's collection, and it is evidently my Catherina.

Taeniocampa confluens Morr., Proc. Bost. S. N. H., 159, 1874.

Mr. Morrison's type is a little smaller, but color and markings are much like ordinary specimens of the common *incerta*. The stigmata run together, but they approach very closely and sometimes touch in *incerta*; there is nothing like such a fusion of the spots as we see in *normalis* in Mr. Morrison's type, and I think the specimen cannot be distinct from *incerta*. Certainly there should have been some hesitation in naming the species in view of the known variability of *incerta*, with which Mr. Morrison does not compare it or appear aware of its close relationship, if not identity. *T. pacifica* Harvey has been collected in Texas by Belfrage, and is, I think, distinct from *incerta*.