L. speculella, by Dr. Clemens, and three, L. alniella, L. apicistrigella and L, gracilella, by me. Of these speculella and alniella are certainly distinct species, and so the others appear to me to be. But considering the amount of variation which is found so commonly in the extent and intensity of the fuscous markings of the known species of the genus, and the fact that two or more of the fuscous marks may by spreading and confluence unite into a larger patch, or may surround a white spot, or may be connected by streaks, etc., it is not impossible that L. apicistrigella may prove to be a variety of speculella or of gracilella, or even all three may prove to be varieties of one species. The truth about this can only be satisfactorily determined by breeding them from the larva, and as yet alniella, of Colorado, is the only species the larva of which is known. In the description of that species I alluded to the range of variation in its ornamentation. I have taken in Kentucky two specimens which I incline to refer to apicistrigella, though they differ somewhat from the typical specimens, having the whole fore wings pale fuscous and the markings only deeper than the remainder of the wings; but even these deeper markings do not agree accurately with those of typical specimens of the I have now before me a specimen which I feel bound to refer species. to L. speculcila Clem., though not agreeing at all accurately with it; and I have also before me a specimen which I refer to gracilella, though it differs from it to about the same extent that the other specimer differs This specimen (of gracilella), indeed, seems only to from speculella. differ from Lithocolletis nidificausella Packard (Guide, plate 8, figs. 19 and 19a) by the absence of the spots and shading on the basal half of the dorsal margin of the fore wings, and such a difference in this genus would not be of specific value. The figures above referred to leave no doubt that nidificausella is properly referable to Lyonetia instead of Lithocolletis. The mode of pupation there indicated is that of all the known species of Lyonetia, and not of any species of Lithocolletis.

It may be proper to add that the figure 15c loc. cit., given as representing the mine of Lithocolletis geminatella, is not like any of the multitude of known Lithocolletis mines, and may possibly be that of a Lyonetia, but is much more probably that of a Nepticula.

Should gracilella prove on breeding it to be identical with nidficausella, the latter name has priority.