try, who loves no part of it, not even his wife and his child? How can he watch the public interests, who cannot guide his own affairs ? How can he be bound by oaths who spurns moral restraints? How can he support national virtue who is the victim of vice? How can he be a freeman who is a slave to drink? No drunkard can be a true patriot." He may call his muddy passions by the name of public spirit, and love of country, he may rant and cry out against tyranny and tyrants, but when we see the brandy bottle in his hand from morning till night we are very much inclined to designate his spirit and his patriotism, sheer conceit, mere hollow prctension.

- -

I have merely taken a hurried glance at a few of the arguments by which the cause of temperance is supported. Some of the very strongest have not been spoken of at all. I intended to enter at some length into the distinction often made of the proper 'use' and the 'abuse' of ardent spirits, and to show that in the main it is purely chimerical, and that its you find a modern nobleman that would act as practical adoption is the very beginning of sotushness. It was less, however, for the purpose of hear- that they are ashamed? Why should not an Enging a lecture on the subject of temperance than for lish gentleman, who has a priest living with, or that of supporting the band that you came here to-] You have heard nothing that you had not mght. known before, nor do I seek any merit or notoriety than that of being an accidental means of bringing you together to stamp a virtuous cause with your approbation.

A very daring robbery lately committed in Halifax has created considerable excitement, and especially since the unhappy perpetrator has been discovered. It is generally supposed that he committed this crime in order to give annoyance to his family who would not gratify his unreasonable demands during a career of dissipation which he has run for some time past. But we do not see how his family are at all accountable for the mis-We have heard that he deeds of such a person. was once as well disposed, and as well conducted as any member of them, and that he was a remarkably kind husband. We fear that intemperance, that frightful source of misery, has been his ruin. His whole case is an awful warning to those who indulge in intoxicating liquors. We have heard some remarks on this painful subject which are both unchristian and unfeeling. No one should "In an instant, the king opened the carriage presume on his own strenth, or his own perse- door, and leaping out, fell upon his knees and No man knows verance in a virtuous cause. what he may come to, before his death, and he place, shut the carriage door, then walked at the that stands should take heed lest he fall. We will side, with his hat in his hand. The way was long

unable to support them. How can he love his coun-1 add that if every family were to be blamed for the sinful deeds of a refractory member, vorg few would escape in this world of affliction and crime. Wehave known very few families in our experience who had not some domestic pest or calamitous member that was a constant source of uncasin eas and sorrow. Whilst, therefore we condemn and deplore the crimes of the guilty, let us respect the feelings of the innocent, and tremble for our own frailty.

LITERATURE.

CORPUS CHRISTI AFTERNOON. (Concluded.)

"And it shows, too," said Alfred, "what fine fellows those old knights were. Where would Rodolph did? And why should they not? Is it near him, and has often little or nothing to do, be glad to know when he is going to take the holy Viaticum to a sick person, perhaps in his very grounds, and consider it an honour to accompany him, and join him in the prayers, and at any rate do honour, as Rodolph did, to the Blessed Sacra ment."

"Alfred," said the old colonel, quite moved, "you are right, my Loy, and you completely put me to shame, for not having done as you suggest; but what you have said shall not be lost on me at least. However, I must put in a good word for the moderns, and tell you an

ANECDOTE OF CHARLES II. OF SPAIN.

which is very like the history of Rodolph, whose descendant he was. On the 20th of February, 1685, this king went to take a drive in the environs of Madrid. The day was remarkably fine, and the place was crowded with people. Suddenly a priest in surplice, attended by only a boy, approached; and the king doubting whether he was going to give the holy communion, or only lextreme unction, questioned him, and was answered that he was bearing the holy Viaticum to a poor man in a cottage at some distance, and had been able to procure no better attendance, owing to the fineness of the day, which had left no one at home.

adored the Blessed Eucharist; then, with most respectful words, entreated the priest to take his