#### A 240-LB. MARTINETTE.

MRS. ANNIE S. AUSTIN, who has been elected mayor of Pleasanton, Kan., is six feet tall and weighs 240 pounds. Her husband voted against her, but she won by a narrow majority of twenty votes, and her first official act has been to discharge the entire police force, from the chief down, and replace them with new men, under instructions to strictly enforce the liquor law. She has demanded that the county attorney perform the functions of his office in regard to the prohibition of liquor and gambling, and has enjoined the merchants from selling Boys or girls under cigarettes to minors. sixteen years of age found on the street after nine o'clock at night will be arrested. All restaurants and stores, drug stores done excepted, must be closed at 10 P.M It is sincerely to be hoped Mrs. Austin swear to "love, honor and obey," etc. Poor Mr. Austin! Unpleasant Pleasanton!—Eb.]

#### YET WE MUST NOT DRINK.

If the doctors continue to discover all manner of parasites in our food and drink, and in the air that we breathe, and to tell usallabout these tiny, but loath some pests, they may be responsible for the starvation and death of many people. In his efforts to induce folks to be careful of their health, Dr. Stiles, of the Department of Agriculture, has spoiled many a good appetite in Washington. He tells the audiences before whom he has appeared that there are parasites in meat wiches, in soups, in pork chops and even in salads. They are not all microscopic either, according to his statements. are an inch long and some grow to be thirty-two feet in length. He declares that there are parasites that we may ob tain through food that under favorable circumstances will stretch themselves to the length of 150 feet. The sea serpent, if we take the word of the most excited observer of that mysterious monarch of the deep, is no longer than Stiles says there are tiny butterflies in soup that develop into tape worms. If the cook leaves the bread box open a ouse may scamper over the bread and deposit megastoma intestinales on it, and who eat the bread may become infected with those germs whose name is suggestive of their offensive and dangerous character. If we drink the smallest quantity of unfiltered or unboiled water we are liable to swallow a regiment of parasites, including the egg of bricold worm. Our pet dogs are danger-ous to handle. In caressing old dog Tray the eggs of the tongue worm may be transferred to our hands. If by acci dent one of these parasites reached the mouth and was swallowed, it would develop into a worm half an inch long and go straight for the liver. Mamma's darling little poodle, as well as the vilest cur ling little poodle, as well as the similar to her in the street gutter, may transmit to her the hydatid and other equally dangerous Goodness gracious! What a wonder that so many of us have lived so long!

#### CHURCH ALES.

THE Church did not always frown upon ale and beer, or counsel the people to ab It encouraged them to drink, and drink for the good of the poor within the The genial and magnanimous German, Luther, spoke of ale approvingly, affirming that it did often much good. "Church Ales" were held in almost every parish at Whitsuntide. The ale was specially brewed and was of extrastrength. The young people played games and danced; the old looked on and admired; and sipped their strong ale at intervals.

The enjoyment was increased by the vocalists of the parish contributing songs.

Of one favorite melody for such occasions Shakespeare says:

# " It hath been sung at festival On ember eves, and holy ales

These Whitsun or "Church Ales" were under the management of the church-wardens, who sold the liquor to the par-ishoners and visitors. The wardens ac-counted in their books for the profits accruing, and expended the same on the needs of the parish and especially on the wants of the poor. These religious ale drinkings were in fact parochial picnics to which each householder brought such victuals as was thought best. These they shared with each other, "all agreeing, has been said of these gatherings, "to be year and good friends for once in the spend the day in sober joy." T The church and chapel soirce or tea-party is the lineal descendant of the "Church Ale." At a later period, in the reign of Charles I. ng and martyr of pious memory, became true of these annual re-unions of friends and neighbors, that their popular ity outran their sobriety. After such hallowed associations in connection with it is astonishing that men should have taken such an aversion to the liquor. The Hebrews did not need to make malt and brew beer, they had the wine, whose fermented juice "Cheereth the heart of

#### VIEWS OF ENGLISH CLERGY.

A Debate in Convocation That Shows Proper and Large-Minded Liberality.

The following article appeared in a recent number of the *Licensing World and Licensed Trade Review*, published in England, and was written "By one who heard the Debate" referred to:

There still lives an excellent lady, the wife of a worthy baronet, who, in the days of open elections and long before the fair sex, as a rule, took part in public af-fairs, worked very hard in a midland borough to secure the return of a rela tive, also still alive, and a great authority on the Poor Law. She did not hesitate strong Churchwoman as she was-to call at the public-houses of the town, and, having secured the promise of the land-lord's vote, suggest to the landlady that it would be well for her and her attendants to appear in the Tory colors. When, too, Mr. Henry Hoare, the banker, founded the First Church Defence So-ciety, his colleague in the churchwardenship of St. Martin's-in-the-fields, Mr. Petter, a well-known publican, was a member of it, and an honored guest of Lady Mary Hoare, where he met Arch deacon Denison, and many other re-doubtable Churchmen. In these days, however, Archdeacon Farrar, Sir Wilfred Lawson, and fanatics who confound tectotalism with religion, would fain ex-communicate the licensed victualler, and look with Pharisaical contentment on the confiscation of his property.

It is a great pity that they and their followers were not present on the last day of January, 1894, in the Lower ouse of the Convocation of Canterbury when they would have been taught that there is more than one way of looking a the temperance question. In the absence of the Archdeacon of Westminster (Dr. Farrar), who, happily for himself and the House, was not present, the Archdeacon of Exeter (Mr. Sandford), brought up the report of the committee on intemperance.
The first resolution in favor of the diminution of public-houses was carried, after Prebendary Salmon had protested against the adoption of local veto, and the Arch-deacons of Lincoln and Bedford had intimated pretty plainly that compensation must be given to dispossessed publicans. Then came the tug of war. Mr. Sandford next moved, "That this House

would welcome a Sunday Closing Act for England similar to those which have beer passed for Scotland, Ireland and Wales." Canon Medd opened the ball by asking for particulars as to the working of th in other parts of the empire, and inquired whether in Ireland the closing was not only partial. He said that his exn a country parish for twenty years led him to believe that total Sunday closing was undesirable, and would lead to the increase of secret drinking.

The Pro-Prolocutor (the Dean of St. Paul's) said it would be desirable that there should be an amendment before the House to be in order. Thereupon the Archdeacon of Berkshire (Mr. Pott), moved as an amendment to leave out all reference to Scotland, Ireland, and Wales, and to let the resolution run: "That this House would welcome a further diminution in the hours during which public-houses are open on Sundays." The Archdeacon said with truth that the people had as much right to their dinner and supper beer as had the members of and supper beer as had the members of that House, an opinion, we may add, shared by the Archbishop of York, with his large experience as Rector of Newing-ton and Vicar of Kensington. The Archdeacon said that in Sonning, his parish owing to the operation of the bona fide the public-houses were practically open all day, which he thought a real evil, but he could not vote for their total closing. He absolutely denied what he considered a complete and absolute heresy, that total abstinence was the virtue in the matter of temper ance. He advised his brother of Bedford when he next told an audience that he was not a teetotaler, to add that a higher virtue than total abstinence was the use without excess of liquor.

The Archdeacon of Kingston-on Thames seconded the motion, which wa supported by the Archdeacon of Oxford (Earl Selborn's brother) and the Arch-deacon of Merioneth. The latter, in deacon of Merioneth. The latter, in reply to the letter in the *Times* read by Archdeacon Sandford as to the freedom from drunkenness in a part of Liverpool where no public houses existed, said that his own experience in visiting low parts of the city one Saturday night with an alderman did not lead him to believe that drunkenness largely existed even where the public-houses were too close together on Banks and others said that many of the publicans and their families them selves desired Sunday closing, but another speaker said that the Bishop of Brechin had told him that he knew of 138 clubs and parlors in Dundee where secret drinkwas carried on when public-houses were closed on Sundays. Testimony was borne by more than one member to the admirable manner in which publicans conducted a difficult and trying trade, and it was pointed out that the real matter at was the effect of total closing on the people. The Archdeacon of Lond not mince his words. He said that the total closing of public-houses in London would cause a revolution. London were not prepared for the total change in their habits which would be caused by such a counsel of perfection as that proposed by the committee, and the question was not within the range of practical politics, and no one proposed that the West End clubs should be closed. They certainly would be sacked if the public-houses were closed on Sundays. On a division, the amendment of Archdeacon

Pott was carried by fifty-seven to nine. Canon Medd then proposed another amendment, which was seconded by the Archdeacon of Merioneth, to the effect that there should be no drinking on the premises on Sundays. This was rejected premises on Sundays. This was rejected premises on Sundays. Yet another amend premises on Sundays. In was rejected by fifty to fourteen. Yet another amend-ment was proposed in favor of total Sun-day closing in the country, whereupon Archdeacon Pott pointed out that this raised exactly the same question, and he

said, with manly honesty, "Do we or do we not want Sunday closing? If we do, let us say so: if not, let us pass my reso-lution. We want to assert the right of the poor man to have what we have on Sunday." The amendment was rejected without a division, and Archdeacon Pott's amendment was re-affirmed as the sub stantive resolution.

### PROHIBITORY AGITATION Some of the Effects as Previously Proven

WE make the following extract from

Appleton Morgan's article on prohibitory liquor laws, in *Popular Science Monthly* for March. We have seldom seen a more graphic and pointed statement of the real nature and effects of Prohibition : "No honest student of these laws can

deny that they have had one of three effects, if not all three of them—namely (1) to increase the demand for, while leteriorating the quality of, the supply of liquors; (2) to stimulate the ingenuit of the subject in evading the law if not to produce the appetite for liquor drinking where it existed not before ; (3) to give to the visionary or "crank" class in a community political balance of power—that is, an absolute even if a temporary power. In other words, protemporary power. In other words, prohibitory laws are dangerous to the physical, noral, and political health of a community; to the physical health, by inducing vendors who cannot afford to sell pure liquor at the risk of the penalt but who cannot well resistehe temptation in view of the enormous profits of cheap and vile mixtures at the enhance prices for pure liquor, to keep the poisons for sale; the moral health, by naking honest men law-breakers (with the dangerous tendency of the law breaker in petto toward law-breaking in extenso, which the writers of moral poetry, from Dr. Watts up, have versified about until the memory of man runneth not to the contrary); and to the political health, by putting power into the hand of dangerous classes, the theorists, the "cranks," and the people with "missions" and visions as to reforming the world! (It might be added, perhaps. that these laws offend the religio for in some States, as in Maine and in Kansas, the use of wine for the sacrament has been held a violation of law But this aspect we are not at present di cussing.) And all this in addition to the fact that prohibitory liquor laws are, al ways and everywhere, an infringement of the liberty of the subject, in opposition to the inalienable rights of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness which it is the business of constitutions to decree and States to secure

## The Russell, .. OTTAWA THE PALACE HOTEL OF CANADA . .

magnificent new Hotel, fitted up in the most nodes le, contains accommodation for OVER 400 GUESTs seenger and Baggage Elevators, and commands a ple of the City. Parliamentary grounds, river and cast to the Capital baying business with the Guests case.

F. X. St. JACQUES, Proprietor.

J. M. DAVISON, Hops and Bre 44 Front St. E., Toronto.

Co., Bamberg, Bavaria, dealers in Bavarian and he Hopa, and Pier Brothers, New York, dealers in Sonous Russian Blyer, Oregon, Pacific and New York State B.

## RUPTURE CURED

This we guarantee to do, permanently, as without any detention from business, free, as payable only when cure is performed. Our quent, though new in this country, has been operation in the United States for some year where thousands can teatify to have been made. Head Office, then cures with the country of the country o



BR

MONTREAL OUEBEC LEV

THE