

## LEGISLA T

the Opposi  
the

**The Provincial  
Reply—Bud**

The legislator  
in discussing the  
was other imple  
attend to the E  
clock an adio

The Attorney  
turns relating  
Sheppard railwa

THE BU  
Mr. Cotton re  
budget. He w  
of details in t

refer to some  
The expenditure  
during the past  
comparing the s  
ister this year

ago for any one  
ten years ago v  
dictions then m  
conditions had n  
the past few ya

had stimulated  
the province and  
similar revival  
given by the bu  
that the conditi  
sum similar. I

of revenue were \$1,453,000. The years ago in p had laid that he dation for prospe

that it would be of taxation. The dictions of ten years by results. In less taxed they have

taxation ten years  
cent. of the revenue  
30.9 per cent. and  
have to tax the  
the revenue to en  
kept up that were

The revenue in 1880 was \$141,000, an increase of \$141,000 over the expenditure in 1879.

is had now grow  
increase of 152 p  
that the expenditu  
than the revenue  
present finance n  
the debt of the n

the debt of the p  
and now was \$5,  
in the ten years  
the face of this  
not pretend that  
prosperous condit

debt was to ratio  
to 5 and the bu  
the increase of p  
now than it was t  
estimated the po

the census of 1881  
lation on the cen  
the per capita de  
year it was \$57.  
revenue taken up  
in 1888 14 8 and

to 13.5 per cent. He claimed, were in proportion than the difference between the revenue per capita was

Hon. Col. Ba

Mr. Cotton we Chinese entrance and in 1898 was \$3.08 per cent. to 2 enue.

Hon. Mr. Eberts  
plain where that  
Mr. Cotton expl  
from the entrance  
ada.

Hon. Mr. Ebe  
Dominion tax.”  
Mr. Cotton wen  
ness incapacity  
ment that this in

expenditure on public works increased 1 per cent in 1888, and the increase in this year was, he said, the coming election will be a

The increase in  
per cent. over la  
payers were not  
to pay this year

held that the tax  
duced instead of  
pay. In 1888 the  
for education was  
revenue, while the

on the same line  
cent. of the rev  
the personal prop  
years was 122 p  
ment had failed,  
the ordinary exp

the ordinary ex-  
nary revenue.  
that the conversi-  
success, his idea  
have been better  
run out-in the

claimed that even  
the prices asked  
so high that the  
write to discontin  
The Premier

time he wrote the  
sold at all. In 18  
ward the very  
Cotton, for he  
stated that the \$  
was desired to

cient to get the  
on the market in  
it was desirable  
and convert cert  
though he doubt

much could be co  
deal of it was h  
However, in cor  
the offer to conv  
make a sum suf

don brokers can  
be at their hand  
and therefore th  
able to get bet  
just that effect.  
Mr. Cotton, c

parliament build  
cost \$1,200,000.  
• Hon. Mr.  
• That's just as n  
Mr. Cotton w

The Premier has been successful by showing that for Mr. Cotton.

the Provincial S  
This \$5,832 incl  
that formerly w  
various departm  
Mr. Cotton re

The Premier—  
with the Provin

