nain." gro, before

le, to make parallel Ca-

e most chaent occurs en, seem to t of times, nmemorial goods, and ized upon against the guid fparks or if lands d the Mafhe heir at posed part a generaliere Slaves ere renderes, that it. ne else.--freceiving nd confeg through Man, who in all the f a villain rmer.

oods) can demand. converery point ntioul of the

the Laws of the Country where the conversion happens. If a Jamaica gentleman brings his Slave over to England, and another takes his Slave into his fervice, it is admitted the owner cannot maintain Trover for him The reason is obvious—because the Master could have no property in him where the conversion is laid: And no Man can bring Trover for any thing in which he has no property. But still, although the Master has no property in the person of the Negro, while he remains in England, he may, in the cautious language of Blackstone, possibly retain a right to his fervices.—" But can a Master remain intitled to the servi-"ces of a Negro, and yet have no property in his per-" fon?" He affuredly may: For although property always implies a power of disposing of it, yet, when a Negro lands in England, he is, co inflanti, under the protection of the common Law, and therefore ceases to be property there. He is now capable of acquiring. property, and of disposing of it afterwards; which would be nonsense, if he was not owner of himself.

"But if he is truly owner of himself, how happens "it that another Man should be intitled to his services?" Let Blackstone answer the question.—"Yet," says he, "with regard to any right which the Master may have "acquired to the personal services of John or Tho-" mas, this will remain exactly in the same state as be-" fore; for this is no more than the same subjection for " life, which every Apprentice submits to for the space " of feven years, or fometimes for a longer term."— Now, the Master did not acquire the perpetual service of John or Thomas, by his or their personal submisfion; for they never submitted either their persons or fervices to any Master, as Apprentices do. "Yet, are " not these two Cases different from each other, which "this great Man makes to be fimilar ones?"—By no means, if this author is rightly understood: For he plainly