

soundoff continued

JANUARY 28, 1983

THE BRUNSWICKAN-11

SUB for use of all people?

Dear Editor:

After spending the evening at the Harriet Irving, Friday Dec. 17/82 during exams, I decided to continue studying in the SUB cafeteria. Upon arrival, I found it rather difficult to study due to the loud music originating from Rm. 26, which is directly adjacent to the Cafeteria. Curious as to who would be partying during the height of exams and disturbing other students, I investigated. To my dismay I discovered that it was the organization "FLAG" creating the disturbance. Returning to the cafeteria, I pondered for a minute, asking why myself and approx. 6000

other students were contributing \$45 a year each, to an organization (SRC) that condones and caters to this kind of activity, by allowing FLAG the use of "our" building. Although some may argue that FLAG has as much right to use the building as anyone else, I think not. As an organization that encourages and promotes homosexuality, they have no more right to the building than a group that encourages incest, bestiality or any other perverse activity.

Also, why wasn't this "social event" well-publicized. The student body has every right to know what is going on in

"their" building. I feel rather safe in assuming that the majority of UNB students would prefer if the SUB was not used for homosexual or lesbian activities.

I'd like to point out that by no means do I "hate" homosexuals, but I do object to them holding their activities on campus and the advertising and promotion of their "alternative lifestyle."

I also object to the idea that what consenting adults do in private is solely their own business. What we do in private has repercussions on ourselves; and what we are and believe has repercussions

on others. What we do in our homes and private lives will inevitably affect, not only our own behaviour but what we expect and tolerate in the behaviour of others. In closing, Henry Fairlie once cautioned that, "When we recognize how deeply our sexual feelings are registers of our whole beings,

it is mere trifling to say that our societies ought not to be constantly alert to the manner in which we employ them."

Thank you very much for taking the time to read this.

Yours Truly,
M.K.

"Man-truly alive"

(continued from p. 9)

supported, but that simply means that it is internally consistent and this is the case with various other philosophies as well.

The fact is that agnosticism is based on the conscious, the analytical part of the mind. Indeed, as Carl Jung wrote, "The Buddhist discards the world of unconscious fantasies as 'distractions' and useless illusions; the Christian puts himself and his Bible between himself and his unconscious; and the rationalist intellectual does not yet know that his consciousness is not his total psyche." Obviously agnostic

"rationalistic intellectuals" are not alone in ignoring a major section of the mind, however in the case of religious believers an intuitive knowledge of the existence of a god must be present. By "religious believers", I refer to, of course, the true believers, not the fearful followers of demagogues.

To close one's self off from an integral part of life isn't to set one's self free. Interesting things happen when "Man-Alive" begins to understand the non-rational, knowledge-gathering being within himself and becomes "Man-truly alive."

Barry Parkinson

A note of thanks

Dear Editor,

We wish to thank you very much for finding it necessary to criticize us in your last editorial. We are quite pleased

that you paid us so much attention. It is part of the democratic process which the Student Party is striving to establish in this University.

Democracy requires that there should be an opposition; a voice that could act as a watchdog, correcting arbitrary actions. These criticisms, however, should be constructive and well-intentioned, if they are to have any lasting effect.

Yours Sincerely,
Furay Oduaran
Director of Information (SP)

EDITOR'S NOTE: Letters to the Editor must be signed and include the author's ID number. Names will be withheld on request, or a pen name substituted. All letters must be printed or typed double spaced on one side of the paper. Deadline for submissions to Soundoff is 5 p.m. Wednesday.

An Invitation To Submit Nominations For The \$75,000 Ernest C. Manning Awards

The Ernest C. Manning Awards Foundation is seeking nominations for its \$75,000 1983 Award.

The Foundation is a national, privately funded non-profit organization, formed to encourage, nurture and reward innovation by Canadian people.

A Selection Committee will choose a person who has shown outstanding talent in conceiving and developing a new concept, process or product of potential widespread benefit to Canada. Of special interest are nominations from the fields of biological sciences (life); the physical sciences and engineering; the social sciences; business; labour; law; and government and public policy.

The deadline for nominations for the 1983 Award is March 31, 1983.

For further information, or to acquire a Nomination Form, please write to:

Mr. George E. Dunlap, Executive Director,
Ernest C. Manning Awards Foundation,
#2300, 639 - Fifth Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta T2P 0M9