t of such quota s Service men er practicable d them.

ulations as the o issue for his lead Quarters, each Military

nis undivided or the first six ed the system,

and wear the a Regulations

el. f Militia, pper Canada.

ber, 1862.

sed to direct unteer Corps ding to the

Colonels, s. Militia. U. &. L. C.

ber, 1862.

order No. 1, unnecessary stricts, His that he does obtain the full Uniform of their Rank: but that, until further orders, it will suffice that they are in possession of the undress Uniform of the Rank of Brigade Majors (being Field Officers) as laid down in the regulations for the dress of Her Majesty's Army, 1857, and consisting of Frock Coat, Undress Trowsers, Waistcoat, Forage Cap and Great Coat, Spurs, Sword, Scabbard, Sword Knot, Sword Belt and Plate, Boots and Gloves: and that the lace and ornaments or embroidery and appointments thereof where of gold or gilt in Her Majesty's service, shall be of silver according to the Militia Regulations.

A DE SALABERRY, Lt.-Colonel, WALKER POWELL, Lt.-Colonel,

Dept. Adjt. Genl's. of Militia. L. & U. C.

HEAD QUARTERS.

ADJUTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Quebec, 16th March, 1863.

MILITIA GENERAL ORDER.

PRESIDENT:
Lt.-Col. Thos. Wily,
Canadian Militia.

MEMDERS:
Major H. Bernard,
Com. Civil Ser. Rifles.

Major E. Panet, 9th Bt. Vol. M. Rifles. His Excellency the Commander in Chief having ordered a Court of Inquiry, composed of the Officers named in the Margin, to assemble at Quebec, to examine into, and report upon a complaint made by the Brigade Major of the Seventh Military District of Lower Canada, against the Second Company. (Captain Knight's,) of the Eighth Battalion or Stadacona Militia Rifles, Quebec, is hereby pleased to approve of the "Finding" of the said Court, to the following effect, viz:

"in support of the prosecution, as well as what has been adduced in "mitigation by Dr. Wolff, on the part of the Second Company, Eighth Battalion Volunteer Militia Rifles, are of opinion that the "Company in not accepting the Officer, who was sent by Major Campubell to command them, was guilty of an act of disobedience, which was a breach of good order, and highly subversive of mifitary discipline. Whilst the Court are of opinion, that nothing can justify the commission of such an act of insubordination, they consider that the Company may have possibly thus behaved, in some measure, from ignorance and inexperience, and from a mistaken belief that the Company, although now one of a Battalion, had its own Officers,

"The Court having duly considered the evidence brought forward

"by whom alone it should be commanded. The Court also desire to express their regret, that the Company was left, by the absence of

"two Officers in England, and one from sickness, to the very ano-