against the said reverse, now that her youngest darling was gone, had nothing to live for, and soon she too slept beside her children.

The eldest son, naturally wild, had only been restrained from following his father's pernicious example by the tender watchfulness and earnest prayer of his mother. But her restraining influence was no longer felt, and he yielded to temptation and plunged headlong into intemperance and vice. His career was a brief one.—Before he had completed his twenty-fifth year he was

he awoke to reason and reflection, deep remorse took possession of his soul. Over the bloody corpse of his murdered son, he felt those bitter pangs which are only known to him who has a child's blood upon his soul. Tears of repentance availed nothing. The last one was gone, and though he would have poured out his heart's blood like water to have restored them to life, it was too late. Intemperance had finished its work. This ruined family were its first victims in our hitherto peaceful and happy valley. And it soon was generally admitted by the sober and reflecting, that *the shortest road to destruction, both in this world and the next, lay through the village barroom!*

MORTALITY ON BOARD THE SHIP LADY MONTAGUE.

For some days past the Marine Department of the Board of Trade have been prosecuting an inquiry relative to a fearful case of mortality, involving no less than 274 deaths, on board the British ship the *Lady Montague*, recently returned to the port of London, after a voyage of nearly four year's duration.

The attention of the Board of Trade was first drawn to the melancholy occurrence from the circumstance of an unusual amount of money being paid into the Merchant Seamen's Fund as "dead men's money" by the owner of the *Lady Montague*, in accordance with the Mercantile Marine Act, and upon the ship's log being examined the fearful amount of mortality was disclosed; but the only information to be gleaned as to the character of the pestilence was, "that portion of the provisions were unfit for use." Inquiries were ordered to be instituted, and the following is the result:—

Office of Committee of Privy Council for Trade, June 9.

Sir,—I am directed by the Lords of the Committee of Privy Council for trade, to call your attention to the enclosed summary of deaths on board your vessel, the *Lady Montague*, during her voyage from China to Callao in 1850, extracted from the log, and request that you will, if you are able, furnish my lords with the number of passengers who sailed from China in the vessel, and also with any information you may be able to give with regard to the cause and circumstances of this frightful series of calamities.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
T. H. FARRER.

John Vaux, Esq., Shipowner, Southampton.

Summary of extract from the log of the ship *Lady Montague* from Cumsingmoon, in China, to Callao:—Feb. 17th. Received the emigrants on board.—18th to 28th. Number of Chinese died, seven. Remarks—Two Chinese jumped overboard and were picked up.—March 1st to 21st. Died, 91 Chinese, and two Lascars. Remarks—One Chinese jumped over-card and was drowned. Several described as being found dead in the morning, having died during the night.—April 1st to 13th. Died 51 Chinese, two Lascars, and the second mate of the ship. Remarks—During the passage several casks of water were found to be sour and perfectly unfit for use; also the fish sent on board for the use of the emigrants.—13th. Died, the steward. Ship arrived at Hobart Town.—14th to 30th. Died, five Chinese, and two Lascars.—30th. Ship sailed from Hobart Town to Lima.—May 3rd to 18th. Died, 10 Chinese, seven Lascars, and two of the crew, the surgeon of the ship and one apprentice.—June 20th. Died, seven Chinese, four Lascars, and the second mate of the ship—27th. Ship arrived and anchored at Callao. Total death: Chinese, 171; Lascars, 17; crew, 7.—From the above it would appear that 193 persons died between the 18th February and the 26th June. It is however, mentioned in the log that the Governor of Callao came on board to ascertain the number of deaths and to cause the ship to be fumigated, and the result is stated thus:—Number of deaths, 245; remaining, 201.—T. H. Farrer.

The communication brought a reply from the owner, in which he states that he is unable to furnish any additional particulars, that the captain had died, and the mate in disobedience of his orders, had chartered the ship for the conveyance of emigrants, in consequence of which he had been superseded. It may be here necessary to notice some particulars respecting what transpired on board this unfortunate ship. She was 760 tons burden, and left Southampton in May, 1848, laden with coals for Aden, in the charge of Mr. Wells, master. In the course of six weeks Mr. Wells died, when the chief mate, Mr. Samuel Robinson Smith, whose name is alluded to in the correspondence, took the command. After discharging her cargo at Aden he proceeded to Bombay, thence to Canton, Amoy, Shanghae, and continued trading backwards and forwards between those ports and eventually chartered the ship at Cumsingmoon to take Chinese emigrants viz Callao. She sailed on the 17th February, 1850, having on board, including passengers and crew, no less than 300 souls. Whether she was properly victualled or not is a matter yet to be satisfactorily learned. Certain it is that sickness and fever seized the helpless creatures within a few days after his departure. The water and provisions which had been provided for the emigrants became putrid (as reported in the log). The mortality that ensued need not be more particularly alluded to than to mention that the suffering of the poor creatures were of the most frightful description. Many committed suicide by jumping overboard, and by the time the ship put into Hobart Town, no fewer than 193 had perished. At Hobart Town the Governor sent all the assistance that was possible to alleviate the sufferings on board. By the 30th of April, the medical officer who had gone to the relief of the emigrants succeeded in restoring those who survived to a state of health, and the ship resumed her voyage to Callao. The pestilence, however, again broke out, and before the Lady Montague had reached her destination nearly 100 others had died. The only letter Mr Vaux received from the captain regarding the fearful epidemic which had seized his ship was the annexed, as may be observed, is very vague, as to the character of the sickness:—

Callao, July, 13.

To John Vaux, Esq., Southampton:

Sir,—I beg to advise of having arrived here on the 26th ult. I have had a most awful passage of it, dysentry and fever having broken out among the Chinese emigrants shortly after leaving China, which carried off 274 souls, including 26 of the ship's crew. Among the latter were poor Mr. Brazier, the apprentice J. G. Paul. I had promoted Mr. Brazier to second officer on leaving China, but, poor fellow, he had only one week of duty, in all the passage, and died the night the ship got to Hobart Town. I was obliged to make for the nearest port to save the ship, the remainder of the crew, with passengers. I have also been attacked, and lay at the Death's door for a whole fortnight, but Divine Providence spared my life a little longer. The only person in the ship who has not been sick is the Apprentice Bancroft. You may be sure I have had a dreadful time of it on the passage. For weeks and weeks I have had no more than four or five, and at times besides myself to navigate the ship. No officers, neither, and in a high southern latitude, with a cold stormy weather to contend with. Happily, through the blessing of Almighty God, I have arrived with the ship safe in safety. I was put in quarantine until the 9th, at St. Lorenzo Island, allowed to go on shore on the island, and everything that was required sent to us from Callao. James R. Smith.

The above is all the information that the Board of Trade have been enabled to elicit respecting the frightful series of calamities—only three out of a crew of 36 which went out with the ship, have come home.

THE FISHING TROUBLES IN ENGLAND.—MR. WEBSTER'S MANIFESTO.

[*From the London Times.*]

It seems probable that, after the importance that the subject recently has been attached, in the political world, to Mr. Webster's annual paper on the subject of the British Colonial Fisheries in North America, there is not to be any publicity of that document, at least in time to influence the Presidential election. In other words, to use the political slang of the American journals, this manifesto of the Secretary of the State "is intended for Bunkum;" for Mr. Webster must be perfectly aware that no new pretentions have been raised by the British Government—that no unforeseen occurrence has taken place, and that the British authorities in the colonies or at home merely intend to enforce by suitable means, the observance of our treaties with the United States and with France. It will not be disputed that the protection of the fisheries by armed force within the limits assigned by treaty, is the only means of preventing collision between the fishermen of the two countries. The fishermen of each country are naturally jealous of the encroachments of the other, and the only way to prevent disputes is to keep them apart. The protection of the coast from a contraband trade, and there is nothing at all menacing to the amicable relations of the two countries in the necessity of reinforcing the squadron of small vessels by which the police of these waters is carried on. Mr. Webster's note appears to be a statement for public information intended to warn persons engaged in those fisheries, that the British authorities are now resolved and prepared to prevent foreign fishermen from trespassing within our own limits. It seems that a practice had grown up on the part of the fishing boats of New England of extending their operations considerably within the bay of Nova Scotia, and within the line of one marine league from the British head lands, which is the legal boundary. From the nature of these rights it is impossible to protect them without the presence of a considerable number of small armed vessels; and if the authority of the government is not adequately represented on the spot disputes are apt to arise among the fishermen themselves, or the rightful owners of the ground may be driven away by an invasion of unlawful competitors. Mr. Webster does not deny the authority of any part of the treaty of 1818, though he seems suddenly to have discovered that its provisions are unduly harsh and unfavorable to the American fishermen; but he exaggerates the difficulty, and we think he rather needlessly talks of "the involvement of the government in question of a very serious nature, threatening the peace of the two countries." The Bay of Fundey is as obviously a British possession, by the law of nations, and by the terms of the treaty, as Delaware Bay, or, Chesapeake Bay, belongs to the United States, because they are "arms of recesses of the sea entering from the ocean between capes and headlands." The application of the term, in a looser sense, to such waters as Hudson's Bay, or the Bay of Biscay, has nothing whatever to do with the interpretation of this treaty. Nothing can be more precise or conclusive than the terms of the treaty by which the United States "renounce forever and liberty of fishing heretofore enjoyed or claimed by the inhabitants thereof, on or within three miles of any of the coasts, bays, creeks or harbors of his Britannic Majesty's dominions in America not included in the specified limits." These specified limits do not include the Bay of Fundey, for they commence at Cape Ray, on the coast of Newfoundland, and extend through the Straits of Belleisle, northward, to the extreme of Labrador; and to that region only, on our own coast, the fishermen of the United States have a right to resort. As to the protection of the Bay of Fundy, by the British cruizers, we cannot conceive that it admits of a question or an argument on the other side. The only ground on which these measures could be represented as any hardship at all is, that since 1818, the British authorities have been somewhat remiss in the protection of their undoubted rights, and that the naval officers commanding on the station have not had a sufficient force at their disposal. We have been aware, for some years, that an increase of force was much required on these coasts, for, in the summer of 1848, Capt. Loch was dispatched with Her Majesty's frigate Alarm to Newfoundland, not only to protect the fisheries, but to report on the whole subject, and the report was laid before Parliament in the following session. Capt. Loch's observations related principally to the habitual encroachment of the French fishermen and bankers (as they are termed) on our own coast. The French fisheries of Miquelon and St. Pierre employ about 16,000 seamen, who are the right arm of the maritime force of France; are protected by a bounty of eleven francs per cwt. on the dried fish, a sum equal to the value of the article itself; they contrive to get their cuplin or bait illegally from our harbors, and they undersell our own fishermen in the market. To protect the French fishermen six cruisers are employed, a corvette, a steamer, three schooners, and a store ship. To protect the British Newfoundland fisheries we have lately had but one sail of war. We learn, therefore, with satisfaction, that the Janus and Bloodhound steamers are despatched to Newfoundland, and that the admiral is authorised to take up some small schooners to watch the coasts of Belleisle and Labrador. The French government has at the same time, concurred in the appointment of a commission to investigate the subject of the fisheries, and to remove as far as possible, all future grounds of dispute. Nothing but actual and constant supervision can keep the fishermen of different countries within their proper bounds, for they have continual temptation and continual opportunities to transgress them. But provided the cruisers on these stations enforce the strict boundary, and scrupulously cause the law to be observed, it is the common interest of all the governments concerned to put down this species of contraband trade. On one point, however, the course adopted on this question by Sir John Packington, appears open to considerable doubt. Contrary to the policy of the late government, he proposes to allow the colonies, when they shall so think fit, to promote their fisheries by bounties, and not to interpose the Imperial authority to prohibit such bounties, especially while we are engaged in commercial negotiations with the United States of America. Bounties are protection in its most direct and absurd form since they are a direct appropriation of the public funds to one branch of private industry, and this money passes by a corresponding reduction of price into the pockets of the foreign consumer. But if the Colonial Legislatures choose to fling away their revenue by distributing a surplus among the fishing interest, it is not equally certain that the Colonial Secretary in Downing street is bound to disallow such ordinances, which probably injure no Imperial interest, and indeed serve at no expense to the country, to support the competition of the British fisheries against the high bounties of the French Government. There is however, the obvious danger that the Colonial Legislatures by which these bounties are voted consist mainly as in Newfoundland, or partly, as in Nova Scotia, of that particular class of the community which is benefitted by this arbitrary distribution of taxes levied on the whole colony. The only legitimate form of protection to fisheries is that which consists in the prevention of the clandestine appropriation by foreign fishing vessels of what belongs, by law, to the coasts and subjects of the British empire. These encroachments, in fact, differ in no respect from any other kind of robbery. They are poaching on the ocean; and the United States can do no more by way of preserving its citizens from penalties incurred by such offences, than to see that the provisions of the law are faithfully complied with.

FORTY MILES AN HOUR.

A Correspondent of the Albany Journal, in an article under the title of "Railroad Accidents and Legislation Thereon," speaking of speed at forty miles an hour says:—

"Men who are used to the railroad, and to the working of the rolling stock, know what such a rate of speed is and how wonderful is the operation. Let us examine it. An engine, tender and train of four passenger cars and one baggage car, when properly loaded, will not be much less than eighty tons weight. This body at the rate of forty miles an hour, moves about sixty feet in a second. That is, between two beats of a clock, it flies across a common street. The driving wheels, if six feet in diameter, revolve three times in a second. The common wheels of the cars revolve about eight times in a second. The revolutions of the driving wheels are produced by the motion of the piston in the cylinder. To each revolution of this wheel, there are two motions of the piston. Thus there are six motions of the piston to the second, and at each of these motions a valve is opened or closed, for the taking or exhausting steam from the cylinder. This must be a complete and perfect operation, each time to produce the speed. But there are two cylinders, working at opposite sides of the engine, and at different points on the crank of the wheel, or axle, as may be, and they do not move at the same instant, or rather, they alternate, and thus, each performing the same office, they divide a second into twelve equal parts or periods, in each of which the perfect and complete operation of taking or exhausting steam is performed, and at the end of each motion the piston actually stops and turns the other way. Now, the eye could not count or comprehend these motions. The ear could not distinguish the exhausts through each is as perfect and distinct as when the engine is drawing a heavy coal-laden car five miles an hour, when it seems to labor and to cough as if struggling with internal pain. Now, forty of forty miles an hour analyzed. Many of them may not be very greatly increased, but they are all within a rate of speed?"

Why can we not have upon parts of machinery that may result in a disaster when moving at this speed?

THE FISHERY QUESTION.

A summary of the terms upon the basis of which this question is *reported* to be settled, will be found in another column. Until we are further advised in this matter, we refrain from offering any extended remarks, being impressed with the conviction that the views of the Colonies will be fully ascertained before the question is finally disposed of. Colonial rights are mainly concerned, and we are therefore slow in believing that any portion of them will be bartered away by a Conservative Government, without a fair equivalent. As to the *American Fishery*—judging from the manner in which ours is systematically poached upon—it is quite evident that even Jonathan himself, has not yet, been "cute" enough to discover it!

THE EARL OF DERBY AND MR. HINKS.

We strongly condemned the bullying tone assumed by the Canadian premier, in his letter to the Colonial minister, and are happy to repent, in the course we adopted, that we were well sustained by the most respectable portion of the Canadian press. This patriotic? Hampden has again been riding the high horse, and making himself ridiculous, in the course of a recent parliamentary speech, as will appear by the following extract from the QUEBEC CHRONICLE.

"He (Mr. Hincks) was as much a minister of the Crown as Lord Derby was, and he would never consider for one moment what might be the opinion of any British minister. There was doubtless a difference of opinion in this respect between the British and Colonial ministers; and, with all respect, he thought the views of the Imperial ministry unconstitutional, and such as ought to be combated on all suitable occasions." This, like all declarations coming from the Honorable Inspector General, when his bump of combativeness is excited is surely a very spirited declaration—a declaration so very spirited that the laughter, which followed it, is not to be wondered at. Those who have ever hated British supremacy could not suppress the sense of pleasure which they felt and those who have always prided themselves on their connection with Great Britain smiled at the radical, the revolutionary expressions, which in a moment of weakness, had slipped from one, holding under the Governor, the highest position in the Province. *In vino, veritas*: in excitement, prodnced by wine, or any other way, there is truth. The true anti-British character of the speaker slipped out between his teeth before he knew what he was about. He would give worlds, probably, now to recall the words which have gone forth to be applauded by the disaffected in every corner of the province. Mr. Papineau might well smile and acquiescingly nod his head:—for never at any time he said more, seldom so much. Mr. McKenzie, opposed as he is to the Clergy Reserves, would not have so express'd himself, but wrong as he well does that so long as Canada is a colony, her actions must have respect to even the wishes of the Home Government. Let the Canadian French see to their institutions. Let them take care what support they give to one who to effect his purpose will scruple not at anything; the liberals of Upper Canada will take care of themselves. For the present ministry a more imprudent declaration could not possibly have been made: it is one, which will upset their Representation scheme, and positively defeat their intended action upon the Clergy Reserves. Was Mr. Hincks ignorant of these words of Burke, when he said he would "never consider for one moment what might be the opinion of any British minister;"—"When no means of power to awe, "or to oblige are possessed, the strongest ties "which connect mankind in every relation, social "and civil, and which teach them mutually to "respect each other, are broken.—Independency, "from that moment, virtually exists. Its formal "declaration will quickly follow."

RAILWAYS.

It is very desirable in all cases that Railways should be made in as direct a line as possible, having a due regard however, to the expence of construction between their termini. No deviation is allowable on a main trunk line, for the mere purpose of accommodating villages, or even larger communities, which may lie contiguous to the line of route. If it is considered that such places should be aided by Railway facilities, this can be accomplished by branch lines, so that no time need be unnecessarily lost by the *through* passengers.

If these remarks have a general applicability, they have certainly additional force, when taken in reference to the European and North American railway, which is intended not only to accommodate a vast amount of population located along the line of it, but which will be mainly constructed with the view of materially diminishing the time of travelling between Halifax and New York, or rather between England and the densely populated parts of the Union. If this object is attainable, all sectional interests, local preferences and other minor considerations must give way, in order that the one great end may be achieved.

We have heard a great many suggestions in reference to the course which the track should pursue between the Bend and Calais, but as they were mainly dictated by selfishness, it is not at all likely they will merit the least attention. Every man who owns a sawmill, or old lady who holds an interest in a hen-roost, lying within five miles of the line of route, as marked by Mr. Wilkinson, could only have his, and her say in the matter, it is very certain, that this section of the E. and N. A. Railway, would be compelled to form some half a dozen of true-lover's knots, in order that the lumber and egg trades, might attain Railway accommodation!

The Government Directors are trust will duly watch the interests of the people, which they will be appointed to represent, whilst they bear in mind that the peoples' money, to a very serious extent, should be expended in such a manner, as may best secure the greatest amount of general good.

THE MASSACHUSETTS FISHERY.

Perhaps no papers in the Union have manifested more bitter feeling towards the British Colonial Governments, in consequence of their recent determination to protect the indubitable rights of British fishermen, than has been evinced by the journals of Massachusetts. Had the affairs of the American nation been entrusted to the management of these hostile editors—right or wrong—the two countries would have been at war long ago, but fortunately, the destinies of the Republic are in more prudent hands. If the columbite had commenced in 1839, by protecting their coal and manufactured articles, and prohibited the same duty in the manger-like policy in reference to theirs, as our neighbors in Massachusetts evinced in respect to the unusual catch of mackerel, enemy and odorous, as exemplified by the subsequent enactment, probably there would at the present moment have been something more to quarrel about, than is presented by the convention of 1818, in understanding which, no two men, who are sober and can comprehend plain words, should differ in the slightest degree.

"CHAPTER 85, REV. STAT. OF MASSACHUSETTS.

An Act concerning the Sea-coast Fisheries—passed April 3, 1838.

Sect. 1.—Be it enacted, &c., that no person living without this State shall take any fish, (except shad, salmon, alewives, or any kind of fish taken in the fresh waters of this State for the purpose of stocking them fresh waters,) nor shall any fish taken within the limits of this State, be transported out of the same, by land or water, between the first day of December and the first day of May, in any year, under the penalty of forfeiting the fish so taken, or transported, and exceeding twenty dollars, for every offence, and a forfeiture of all the vessels and boats so taken.

Sect. 2.—The penalties in the above mentioned in this Act shall be recovered by complaint before any justice of the peace.

Sect. 3.—The provisions of this Act shall not extend to any fishing within such town at a legal meeting shall adopt the same."

SAINT JOHN, N. B.

SATURDAY MORNING, AUGUST 21, 1852.

POOR POLL AND FISH.

John Doe and Richard Fen—
Oh! Englishmen, who feel the curse,
Of taxes decreasing none,

By a late act of parliament the poor rate of every parish of every county in England and Wales is, in future, one uniform rate, and the money must be directed to the parish or parishes most in need. One not Rod, nor yet Doe, nor Stiles, nor Style, nor Peel and Fen, will have any claim to be exempt from the matter. The assessment, in all parishes, must be done, will consist of a poor rate, and a county rate, as facts, so that the poor of every part of the country may the natural law of supply and demand. Let the poor receive relief, whether they live in the parish where the rich reside, or in the most distant part of the country. Let the rich pay their proportion of the poor rate, whether they live in the parish where the poor reside, or in the most distant part of the country. Let the poor have the right to demand relief, as an action at law, and let the rich have the right to demand the services of the poor, as an action at law, and let the poor be compelled to render those services, or be punished for refusing. We are yet to learn the principle of political economy, which will justify the existence of a law, which must wholly discourage the employment of labor, as the only means of subsistence, and which must encourage idleness, as the only means of support. The Lord Mayor of London, in his address to the electors of Lord Ashburton, said in the House of Commons, that Ireland was the only country in the world, which, in a time of profound peace, had been compelled to support a large military force. The Lord Mayor of London might have added, that Ireland was the only country in the world, which, in a time of profound peace, had been compelled to support a large police