influence the Government. I had someone come to my office recently on behalf of organizations concerned about the abortion issue, as one example. Therefore, I suggest that you use your usual good offices to make sure that any of the amendments that would indeed change the principle of the Bill would be found out of order.

Mr. John R. Rodriguez (Nickel Belt): Mr. Speaker, I want to draw attention in particular to Motion No. 2 which stands on the *Order Paper* in my name. Your Honour has indicated it would be out of order because it attempts to introduce a further element into Clause 5 of the Bill. That clause states in part:

> Every individual who for payment undertakes to arrange a meeting or to communicate with the public office holder shall file—

And so on. In fact, Motion No. 2 includes mass mailings and advertising. All of those are attempts to communicate with a public office holder with a view to influencing—

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I will take into consideration the representations made by the Hon. Member for Glengarry—Prescott—Russell (Mr. Boudria), the Hon. Parliamentary Secretary, and the Hon. Member for Nickel Belt (Mr. Rodriguez) and make a decision immediately after Question Period. I did not mean to cut off the Hon. Member. If he has further representations to make, he can make them after Question Period.

• (1100)

STATEMENTS PURSUANT TO S. O. 21

[*English*]

TRADE

CANADA-UNITED STATES FREE TRADE AGREEMENT—WATER RESOURCES

Hon. Chas. L. Caccia (Davenport): Mr. Speaker, if one examines the Government's position on water exports and diversions the picture is far from reassuring. First, the Government decided not to exclude water from the trade agreement. Second, almost three years after receiving the Pearse Report commissioned by the Liberal Government, legislation is not ready to be introduced in this House. The federal water policy has no teeth without legislation.

Third, by contrast, the Minister of the Environment (Mr. MacMillan) recently claimed he did not need legislation, that a policy was sufficient to protect Canadian water. Fourth, the Minister of the Environment declared in this House that greater outflows of water from Lake Michigan have nothing to do with Canadian waters, as if the Great Lakes were not interconnected.

Unless the Government amends the trade legislation to exclude water Canadians will never be certain that water will not be one of the natural resources to be shared under the agreement. We urge the Government to amend the trade legislation and to exclude water from it once and for all.

* * *

PORNOGRAPHY

CALL FOR PASSAGE OF LEGISLATION

Mr. Jim Jepson (London East): Mr. Speaker, pornography is a multi-million dollar industry in Canada with the underworld as the main benefactor. It victimizes women, children, and innocent family members.

Bill C-54, the Government's porn Bill, was introduced for first reading on May 4, 1987, and the Justice Department has received overwhelming support for it from Canadians across the country.

Why is the Department continuing to listen to the vocal minority projected by the media as the vocal majority? Let's give this Bill second reading and get it to committee now where we can hear witnesses.

As a Government we said we would be bringing in legislation. I have to ask who is deep-sixing Bill C-54. The Government and the Department of Justice have brought forward strong juvenile prostitution laws and stronger laws against family violence. Let's be consistent and get on with Bill C-54. This is clearly the wish of an overwhelming majority of Canadians.

* * *

NATIONAL DEFENCE

PROPOSED ACQUISITION OF NUCLEAR-POWERED SUBMARINES

Mr. Iain Angus (Thunder Bay—Atikokan): Mr. Speaker, the Prime Minister (Mr. Mulroney) would make a half decent fire-fighter. Just when he puts out one caucus brushfire up pops another one.

This time it seems a growing number of his colleagues, both in and out of Cabinet, are opposing his plan to spend billions and billions of dollars to purchase a fleet of nuclear-powered hunter killer, attack submarines.

In fact the Conservative Member for Nanaimo—Alberni (Mr. Schellenberg) actually defied the Prime Minister and wrote to his constituents that these subs were a complete and utter waste of taxpayers' money.

A private poll just handed to the Government, which shows that a majority of Canadians are opposed to this plan, is bound to fuel the growing revolt in his caucus against his foolhardy proposal.

The Tories are having a hard time selling nuclear subs on doorsteps right across Canada. Canadians are asking why we