

HOUSE OF COMMONS

Wednesday, November 26, 1975

The House met at 2 p.m.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

MULTICULTURALISM

REQUEST FOR STATEMENT ON PLANS FOR FUTURE PROGRAMS—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Edward Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. The matter of urgent and pressing necessity arises from the revelation today that the Minister of Labour (Mr. Munro) plans a drastic change in the government's multicultural program, which follows upon the elimination, following the 1974 general election, of a minister who was exclusively responsible for multicultural programs in the country.

I therefore move, seconded by the hon. member for Winnipeg North (Mr. Orlikow):

That this House reject the program proposed by the Minister of Labour as reported in today's press and urge the minister to make a statement at the earliest possible time on the government's future plans for multicultural programming.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The motion cannot be debated without the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

[Translation]

ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

SUGGESTED COMMITTEE STUDY OF PAROLE SYSTEM—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Léonel Beaudoin (Richmond): Mr. Speaker, under the provisions of Standing Order 43, I ask the unanimous consent of the House to move a motion dealing with an important and urgent issue.

Since within the framework of our present judicial system the policemen are the first to carry the can as the result of paroles among which are noted many relapses and offences of all kinds and considering that we must protect those who maintain order and protect honest citizens and that they must have a say in the matter of parole, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Champlain (Mr. Matte):

That the House should consider the matter immediately to enable the federal government to set up a committee responsible for paroles, whose membership would include in addition to the usual members, the police director of each provincial police force as well as representatives

of the municipal and federal police forces, so that they may take part in the decisions to that effect.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The House has heard the motion of the hon. member. Under the provisions of Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there such a consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent; the motion therefore cannot be put.

* * *

OLYMPIC GAMES

PROPOSED FEDERAL PARTICIPATION IN FINANCING—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Eudore Allard (Rimouski): Mr. Speaker, under the provisions of Standing Order 43, I ask the unanimous consent of the House to move a motion of vital importance.

Considering that, according to a study conducted by a McGill University economist, Mr. Ithon, \$1 out of every \$5 spent on the Olympic Games finds its way to the federal treasury under the guise of fiscal revenues;

Considering that all Canadians benefit from the publicity and international prestige afforded these games, that a deficit is now estimated at about \$600 million which will be borne only by Montrealers and Quebecers in general, all of which will involve disastrous consequences for other projects that will have to be delayed, in particular public transport and the fight against pollution;

I move, seconded by the hon. member for Champlain (Mr. Matte):

That the Canadian government take a direct part in financing these games other than by the known means, such as the Olympic Lottery and the sale of Olympic stamps and coins.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The House has heard the hon. member's motion. Pursuant to provisions of Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent; therefore, the motion cannot be put.