

unilingual lawyers will have no realistic opportunity of being appointed to such courts and tribunals. Because the pool of eligible, bilingual candidates is relatively small in parts of Canada, the quality of regional representation may be compromised by the provisions in the Bill.

3. McCurry v. A.G. Sask. (1988) 2 S.C.R. 149
4. E. v. Power (1988) 82 A.C. 1.1.R. (2d) 1.
5. Association des Académiciens (1988) 1 S.C.R. 249
6. Hanrahan v. B. 1988
7. Constitution Act, 1987
8. Jones v. Attorney-General of Canada et al. 42 D.L.R. (3d) 267
9. A.G. Ont. v. Blaikie [1977] 2 S.C.R. 1014
10. Northwest Territories Act R.S.C. 1970 c. N-23
11. Judicature Ordinance O.N.W.T. 1970 c. 2
12. Official Languages Act O.N.W.T. 1984 c. 2
13. The Bilingual and Commissioner of the Northwest Territories (1977) 75 D.L.R. (3d) 407
14. Daniel et Jean v. The Queen (1988) 2 V.R. 116
15. Saker v. The Queen (1981) 1 S.C.R. 421
16. Official Languages Act R.S.C. 1982-2, c. 84
17. Official Languages Act R.S.C. 1982-2, c. 84
18. Judicature Act R.S.A. 1980 c. 7-11
19. Attorney-General of Canada v. Sam Dalal 1989 44 N.S.R. 19