

ENURESIS NOCTURNA.—Dr. A. B. Wilson, Buffalo, N.Y., writing, says: "This was a case of a girl, nineteen years of age, suffering from irritable bladder, and who had wet the bed nightly from childhood. She was compelled to avoid company and the usual social life, on account of frequent micturition. One bottle of Sanmetto overcame the irritation to such a degree that for the first time in fifteen years she passed a night without wetting the bed. She is still using the remedy in hopes of complete recovery."

* * *

MEDICAL STUDENTS IN GERMANY.—According to the *Universitätskalender*, the number of students in the medical faculties of the several German-speaking universities during the last summer semester was as follows: Munich, 1,502; Vienna, 1,370; Berlin, 1,118; Wurzburg, 730; Leipzig, 658; Graz, 468; Freiburg, 458; Erlangen, 411; Greifswald, 378; Kiel, 368; Breslau, 323; Bonn, 314; Zurich, 303; Strassburg, 295; Gottingen, 257; Marburg, 247; Königsberg, 232; Geneva, 231; Heidelberg, 227; Halle, 215; Tübingen, 214; Jena, 211; Giessen, 181; Bern, 179; and Rostock, 115.

* * *

SUPERFLUOUS SCHOOLS.—The medical profession realizes plainly that the average income of physicians would not be so low if there were not so many schools, dispensaries and hospitals giving free treatment to many patients well able to pay. Worse than that, these institutions actually bid for more cases so as to have greater attractions for students. The evil is not in having too much clinical material for our medical students, for they need much, but in scattering the teaching work in too many institutions, thereby multiplying many fold the number of cases needed for instruction, as well as half-educating twice too many physicians.—*Cleveland Journal of Medicine*.

* * *

SENSIBLE people should pay no attention to the silly snobs too frequently seen in certain newspapers, at the evidence of experts who testify for the defence in trials for murder where the defence is insanity. The crown depends on the evidence of experts in probably nine out of every ten criminal trials. Is expert evidence good where the crown uses it and unreliable when used on the other side? If a man of the standing and attainments of Dr. Daniel Clark is not to be trusted as an expert, are juries to believe the professional detectives who hang around the Attorney-General's office looking for a job, and whose bread and butter depend on their finding a clue and getting a conviction?—*Canada Presbyterian*.