

witnesses, who disregarded the subpoenas of the Court. He then proceeded to address the Court at some length on the charges exhibited against the Rev. gentleman, and the evidence brought forward. The Court then adjourned. Most of the gentlemen named above were again present as spectators of the proceedings.

Saturday, 20th September.—The Court met at one o'clock, the Chancellor at the instance of his Lordship the Bishop pronounced the judgment of the Court in the case of the Rev. Mr. Lewis, which was in substance as follows: The case against the rev. gentleman was considered proved with the exception of the words "false and malicious" contained in one portion of the charge. It contained an admonishment to the Rev. defendant, and condemned him in the costs of the proceedings, suspension to follow if such costs not paid within a month. Mr. M. C. Cameron applied for leave to appeal which was noted. The case of the Rev. Mr. Hickie was then called on, but the defendant did not appear personally or by counsel. The service of the notice on the Rev. gentleman to attend having been proved, and proof having also been adduced that he was the author of the pamphlets with the publication of which he was charged, the Chancellor read from the pamphlets, (the same being filed of record in the Court,) several passages denying the doctrines of the Holy Trinity, that one Blessed Lord had a reasonable soul connected with his body; the necessity of the Holy Sacraments, &c., &c.—the Court then adjourned to deliberate, and on re-assembling the Honourable the Chancellor by request of the Bishop read the judgment of the Court, by which sentence of deprivation was pronounced against the Rev. defendant, and he was further condemned in the costs of the proceedings. The Court then adjourned.

The above is a brief record of the proceedings of the Court, without, in any way touching on the merits of the cases, these we may allude to in a future article, and also consider further the constitution of the Court as instituted under the patent of the Bishop and the English Statute 3 & 4 Vic., chap. 86, commonly called "The Church Discipline Act," adopted by resolution of the Synod as the rule of guidance in the proceedings of the Court, so far as the same may be found applicable to the circumstances of the Diocese; we must not however leave the subject without alluding to the solemn and reverend decorum and order which characterised the proceedings of the Court.

ADDRESS TO THE PROVOST OF TRINITY COLLEGE.

We have been requested to publish the following address, which was presented a short time since to the Reverend the Provost of Trinity College, and at the same time to state that the fact of its not appearing before was owing to inadvertence.—

To the Reverend George Whitaker, M.A., Provost of Trinity College, Toronto:—

"REVEREND AND DEAR SIR,—Having learned with deep regret that the Lord Bishop of Huron has recently in his Synod at London made a serious charge against the religious teaching of Trinity College, and therefore against yourself in your office of Divinity Professor, we the undersigned, who have enjoyed the privileges of your theological instruction, wish, in the most positive terms, to express our conviction that the charge is entirely without foundation. We hesitate not to affirm that, in our experience, no so-called dangerous or un-Protestant views have ever characterised your lectures. With regard to your exposition of the Church Catechism, to which

special reference has been made, we wish to state that if we were called upon to say what lectures in the academical course were the most interesting and instructive, we should give the preference to those on the Church Catechism, involving, as they did, extensive spiritual proofs, a critical examination of the original languages, and copious quotations from the great divines of our Church, whose principles, we know, are based on the word of God, and the doctrine and practice of the Catholic Church in her present days as contained in her Book of Common Prayer, Articles and Homilies.

A number of questions were prepared by you upon such lecture delivered, and, at our own request, the use of these questions was afterwards occasionally granted to us. Answers to the questions were required of us *viva voce* at the succeeding lecture on the same subject; no written answers were either given or required from us, except at the periodical, or terminal examinations, when the same course was pursued as at other Universities. In conclusion, permit us to express our firm hope that nothing which has occurred will hinder the growth of our University. As for ourselves, we are determined, as far as may be in our power, to uphold, both by precept and example, the pure teaching of our ancient and spiritual branch of the Church, which we have received in Trinity College. We could desire that we may in our several spheres do this as faithfully and efficiently as we can testify that you have done in your capacity of Professor of Divinity. We remain,

Reverend and dear Sir,

Ever sincerely and gratefully yours,

Thos. T. Roberts, M.A., Curate St. Catharines.
Francis Tremayne, Jur., Incumbent of Milton.
J. G. Armstrong, B.A., Incumbent of West Hawkesbury.

James A. Preston, M.A., Incumbent of Stirling.
C. E. Thomson, M.A., Incumbent of Elora and Fergus.

S. J. Yankoughnet, M.A., and B.C.L.
W. E. Cooper, M.A., Second Assistant Holy Trinity, Toronto.

John Langtry, M.A., Incumbent of Collingwood.
E. W. Beaven, M.A., Incumbent of Matilda and Edwardsburg.

Thos. D. Phillips, M.A., Principal St. Catharines County Grammar School and Curate of Thorold.

A. J. Broughall, M.A., Classical Lecturer Trinity College, and Assistant Minister, St. John's Church, Toronto.

H. W. Davies, M.A., Assistant Minister Trinity Church, and Head Master Senior Grammar School, Cornwall.

J. J. Bogart, M.A., Assist. Minister, Prescott.
Geo. W. N. Higginson, M.A., Grace Church, Waterdown.

H. W. M. Murray, M.A., Barrister, &c., Toronto.

J. E. O'Reilly, B.A., Hamilton.
Fred Schofield, B.A., Barrister, &c., Ottawa.
G. W. White, M.A., Missionary, Camden.

H. C. W. Wethy, B.A., Toronto.
Charles J. Benson, B.A.
W. P. Atkinson, B.A.

G. B. Boyle, B.A.
Frederick Lampman, B.A., St. Catharines.
George B. P. Viner, B.A., Missionary in Pickering and Uxbridge

Stewart Houston, B.A., Missionary at Arthur.
J. S. Lauder, B.A., Rector of Ottawa.
W. H. Jones, B.A., Brockville.

J. McNaely, B.A., Carleton Place.
George W. G. Grout, B.A., Grimsby.
Donald J. F. MacLeod, B.A., Grammar School, Thorold.

John Hilton, Incumbent, Perrytown.

W. Fleming, B.A., Missionary in Roslin.
John Carroll, Incumbent of Gaaonoque.
Fran. R. Tanc, Assist. Minister, Brockville.
A. Williams, B.A., Assist. Minister, Yorkville.
H. D. Cooper, B.A., Etobicoke.
C. J. S. Bethune, B.A., Cobourg.
John Wood, B.A., Omemece.
Charles Badgley, B.A., Quebec.
C. W. Patterson, B.A., Toronto.
Wm. H. Case, B.A., Hamilton.
Thomas A. Parnell, Incumbent, Mirrickville.
A. M. Patton, B.A., Seafort.
James Henderson, B.A., Yorkville.

Toronto, Sept. 8, 1860.

REPLY.

GENTLEMEN,

I have received with the highest satisfaction, your kind address to me, in which you bear your testimony to the manner and substance of my teaching on the catechism of the Church of England.

Such an address would, under any circumstances, have been most grateful to my feelings; but at the present time, when my teaching has been so grossly misrepresented, its value has been immeasurably enhanced.

You have said for me and for the College, that which I could not say for myself, and which none but yourselves were in a position to say; and your testimony acquires additional value from the fact that many of you have long since left the College, and have, since the breaking off of our mutual relation, been subjected to the influence of other minds, and sobered by the lessons of experience.

It is my earnest prayer that we may all in our several stations, be ever found faithful members of that Branch of the Holy Church to which we belong, and which is so signally indebted to the good Providence of Almighty God alike for purity of doctrine and for completeness of ecclesiastical order.

With a deep sense of obligation to you for your generous and affectionate expression of confidence, I am, Gentlemen,

Your very sincere friend,

Trinity College,
September 29th, 1860.
GEORGE WHITAKER.

TRINITY COLLEGE RESOLUTION.

(To the Editor of the Leader.)

CANTERBURY, ENGLAND,
August 28th, 1860.

SIR,—In the *Leader* of 30th July, which has been forwarded to me, I find in your remarks concerning the "Pastoral" of the Lord Bishop of Huron, the following statement:—"This opinion is not now uttered for the first time. It was promulgated at the meeting of Synod, when a high church minister, *disregarding friendly intimations*, challenged remark upon this subject."

As the individual who proposed the resolution upon which the Bishop's remarks were based, I feel called upon to deny the correctness of that portion of your statement which I have placed in italics. I do so because I would at once eschew the imputation of foolish indiscretion, or of irreverently "persevering" contrary to the expressed wishes of my diocesan.

The facts of the case, then, are these:—I have long been deeply convinced of the serious evils resulting alike to the Church and the cause of letters from the habit of establishing a host of feeble Diocesan Universities, into which the Church of the American Continent has unhappily fallen. I was therefore greatly desirous that