

**LESSOR AND LESSEE—Continued.**

for the religious meetings of the Salvation Army, an organization which was obnoxious to a large portion of the inhabitants of the locality, and windows were broken and other damage was done to the property in consequence, and insurance was refused by the insurance companies on account of the increased risk, *held*, that there had been a change of destination sufficient to entitle the lessor to obtain the rescission of the lease. *Pignolet & Brûseau*, 77.

— *Lease—Tacit reconduction—Notice to terminate—Art. 1067, C.C.*  
Where a lease in writing is continued by tacit reconduction, the notice necessary to terminate it must be in writing. *Lacroix & Fauteux*, 40.

**LIQUIDATOR.**

*To partnership—Action for dissolution pending.* See **PARTNERSHIP**, 437.

**MAGISTRATE'S COURT, MONTREAL,**

*Court of inferior jurisdiction.* The Magistrate's Court for the district of Montreal, established under the authority of 32 Vict. c. 23, now Arts. 2488 *et seq.*, R. S. Q., is a court of inferior jurisdiction. *Esparte Stephens*, 349.

**MANDAMUS.**

See **SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION**, 330, 390.

**MUNICIPAL LAW.**

*By-law—Grounds of nullity.* The corporation respondent passed a by-law on the 11th September, 1882, granting a bonus to a railway. At a meeting of council on the 16th September, 1882, a change was made in the by-law, extending the date for finishing the road from 1st February, 1883, to 15th July, 1883. Before the meeting of the 16th September the by-law as originally drawn was published in one issue of the *Ormstown Courier*, and after the 16th September it was published, as changed, in two issues of the *Courier*. Counting from the 16th September only 19 clear days remained before the 6th October, the first day of polling. On petition by municipal electors, 4th May, 1883, to annul the by-law on the ground (1) that the by-law voted on was not the one passed or advertised, (2), that if the by-law was considered as being of the 16th September, it could not be submitted to the electors on the 6th October: *Held*, (1) that no substantial injustice being done, the electors having acquiesced by voting, and the by-law having been approved by the lieutenant-governor-in-council without protest, the petition should be dismissed. (2) The change made in the by-law on the 16th September was not a *faux*. *Simpson & Corporation of Parish of Ste. Malachie d'Ormstown*, 400.

— *County council—Bridge—Procès-verbal—Arts. 535, 941, M. C.* (1) County bridges are under the exclusive control of the county council. The decisions of the county council are subject to appeal to the