

Q. On the 20th, he received another letter and charges \$3, doesn't he?—A. Yes.

Q. Then he charges a dollar for receiving a telegram from the Deputy Minister? Now, from the 22nd of October to the 19th of November, will you see if there are several charges for perusing letters varying from two to ten dollars?—A. From what date?

Q. From the 22nd of October to the 19th of November, or the 9th, I think it is?—A. You say from two to three dollars?

Q. Two to ten dollars?—A. Up to what date?

Q. From the 22nd of October to the 19th of November. Simply, generally the different items on that account?—A. I do not see.

Q. You do not see any letters?—A. Oh, yes; I see two or three dollars, but I do not see ten dollars. I do not see anything over three dollars.

Q. The copy of the bill will speak for itself?—A. I do not see any.

Q. Up to what date?—A. Up to the 19th of November.

Q. Take the 22nd of November, sir. Is there a charge of ten dollars there for an interview with the Deputy Minister?—A. On the 22nd of November?

Q. Yes?—A. No, I do not see one on that date. Of ten dollars did you say?

Q. Well, look on the 22nd of October and see if it is on that?—A. Oh, I was looking at November.

Q. You see the date the 22nd?—A. Oh, there is there "long interview with Commissioner Sherwood, going through information with him, \$5." Perhaps it is earlier in the account.

Q. No, I have my dates correct. You cannot find any trace of it, that is all. Well, then, the information was made on the 9th of November. At least the witnesses were first examined on the information on the 19th of November, from the account what would appear to be the cost up to that time. The charges amount to how much?—A. \$643.50.

Q. \$643.50, that is right. Do you know if there was a man named Frigon who is a witness in that case?—A. I think so.

Q. Do you remember that he had been previously in the service of St. Louis & Co.?—A. I think he had been.

Q. He was the man who had stuffed the lists, or who had been connected with that?—A. My impression is, but I am not sure.

Q. There was a later communication from Mr. Hall to that effect, wasn't there?—A. I really cannot say from memory, but that is my impression.

Q. Do you know that there was a man named Frigon actually employed by Mr. Hall in connection with these prosecutions?—A. I think so.

Q. Well, but didn't I ask you to look into that matter, sir?—A. Yes, but I didn't know where to ascertain that at any rate.

Q. You have the letter in the department?—A. I think it probably is there.

Q. Can you say whether or not—have you a recollection of Frigon being employed for the purpose of working in this investigation?—A. I do not know.

Q. Don't you know that a letter was sent from Mr. Hall about it?—A. I am not sure.

Q. Did you or did you not in the last ten days, at my request, consult his letter?—A. No.

Q. There is a charge on 2nd November for writing a letter is there not?—A. 2nd November.

Q. Yes?—A. There is, and I have no doubt from that item that there is such a letter.

Q. Have you Mr. Hall's report of these proceedings there convenient?—A. I think I can find the report here.

Q. Take the file at page 19 of Mr. Hall's report; see if there is a reference there to Frigon?—A. Yes.