

IN THE FIELD. 21 Oct 44.
OFF detailed to take the summary.
(Paul E. Lambert) Major

Paul Lambert

DEFINITION THAT N.P. 4 complied with.
at this point.
The accused does not wish to call witnesses in his defence
trial.
"I reserve my statement and defence for the
The accused, having been duly cautioned in accordance
with N.P. 4 (e) says:

The accused declines to cross-examine the witness.

John M. ...

"I am a waitress at the airport cafe, a certain
evening between 9 and 10.00 p.m. three Canadian soldiers
came in the cafe. They asked for a glass of beer
which they paid with a 1000 franc note. They were in
possession of bottles of liquor, one of which they sold
to another Canadian soldier. The shortest of the
three threw a bottle on the wall near the statue.
The soldier here in the centre in front of me (indicating
the position) asked the proprietor to give him 1000 francs.
The waitressed cashier handed over a 1000 franc note to
the tallest of the three (indicating the position) they
then left the place. That is all I know."

having been duly sworn, states:
LOUISA REIMS, maid-servant, 46 Brouwerstraat, Antwerp.

TENTH WITNESS for the PROSECUTION

The accused declines to cross-examine the witness.

L. Reims

"I know."
Reims which was refused and they went out. That is all
and after putting it in his pocket asked for another 1000
the counter where the cashier gave him a 1000 franc note
first one in front of me (indicating the position) came to
threw an empty bottle on the wall over the statue. The
insisted to get this amount back. The name soldier then
back 1000 francs which he claimed he had spent, and
The other one (indicating the position) asked to have
beer and was playing with it, he also had a bottle of
which he sold to another soldier for 200 francs.