

—“**Seine-Fishing.**”]—See *The Queen v. The Ship “Frederick Gerring, Jr.”*, 5 Ex. C.R. 164, ante, col. 143.

—“**Soon as possible.**”]—See *The Queen v. The Ship Beatrice*, 5 Ex. C.R. 9, ante, col. 40.

—“**Stranger.**”]—See *Re Estate of Mary F. W. Smith*, 28 N.S.R. 221, ante, col. 290.

—“**Tidal Rivers.**”]—See *In re Jurisdiction over Provincial Fisheries*, 26 S.C.R. 444, ante, col. 66.

—“**Superintendence.**”]—See *Garland v. City of Toronto*, 23 Ont.A.R. 238, ante, col. 199.

—“**Tenant.**”]—See *Farwell v. Jameson*, 26 S.C.R. 588, ante, col. 177.

—“**Who may then be Heirs-at-Law.**”]—See *Stephens v. Beatty*, 27 Ont. R. 75, ante, col. 358.

—“**Working Expenditure.**”]—See *Charlebois v. The Great North-Western Ry. Co.*, 11 Man.R. 135, ante, col. 298.

## WORKMEN'S COMPENSA- TION FOR INJURIES ACT.

See MASTER AND SERVANT, IV (b.)

## WRIT.

See PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE, XXVII.

## WRIT OF EXTENT.

—Damages against Ship Injuring Government Canal—Extent issued against Owners—Rights of Crown thereunder.]—Semble, where the Crown pursues its remedy by writ of extent against the owners of a ship, it can only take under the writ of extent, the property of the debtor at the time of the issue of the writ. If the debtor has assigned his property before that, the Crown can realize nothing under the writ in respect of the *res*. *The Queen v. The City of Windsor*; *Symes v. The City of Windsor*, 5 Ex. C.R. 223.