

*the dead, but of the living.* What verdict would you and your American court bring in this case? The text does not mention the term resurrection or rather future state of existence. If the principle which you maintain be true, there are many passages in the new testament which need correction.

There are many errors in your pamphlet to which I have paid no attention. Some of them are of such a nature, as would lead the reader far from the subject; for you seem to have a peculiar faculty of running away from the point under discussion to something else, which has not the most distant relation to it: for instance, in my former letters, I produced Rom. xi. 16,—27. as a proof of the continuation of the same church, and concluded the argument thus, "When the Jews shall be converted, they will be grafted into their own olive tree. But if the christian be another church than the Jewish, it will be impossible to graft them into *their own* olive tree again; for on that supposition it does not exist." When you come to answer this, you refer your readers to what you had said before, and on consulting that, I found that as soon as you came to the argument, you stopped short, and ran away. Your words, p. 35. are, "Yes, some of the branches were broken off, believers of the Gentiles were grafted in among those that remained, and with them partake of the root and fatness of the olive tree." So far you are correct; but instead of informing your readers, how the Jews at their conversion are to be grafted into their own olive tree long after it is, on your principles, extinct, you gravely say, "The period from the birth of Ishmael to the birth of Isaac, corresponded to the period from