

That means simply that the Commission is satisfied that the disabled man has the physical ability to perform the duties for which he is appointed.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: That applies to the question of age limit and physical requirements?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: Yes.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: With respect to any appointment in the Civil Service?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: The object is to correct a clerical error. The words are in the Act now, and were left out of the Bill by mistake.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: "shall not apply to any persons with the military or naval service," etc.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: That applies to all appointments. The appointees must have the physical ability.

Hon. Mr. DANDURAND: Is that amendment added to subsection 4, or is it separate?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: It is added to subsection 4. Subsection 4 now reads:

(4) The provisions of any statute or regulation prescribing the age limit and physical requirements with respect to any appointment in the civil service shall not apply to any persons with the military or naval service mentioned in subsection two or three of this section.

The amendment is to add:

If the Commission certifies that he is of such an age and in such a satisfactory physical condition that he is then able to perform the duties of the office and will probably be able to continue to do so for a reasonable period after his appointment.

The amendment of Hon. Sir James Lougheed was agreed to, and subsection 4 as amended was agreed to.

On section 3—promotions to be made for merit; Commission may restrict competition to employees or employees of certain class of specified seniority:

Hon. Mr. BOSTOCK: Is there much change in that clause?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: No, there is practically no change, except that to "reports, tests, records, and ratings," the word "recommendations" is added. Otherwise the clause is just the same as it is in the present Act, except that the phraseology has been slightly changed. I can find no other change in it.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED.

Hon. Mr. BOSTOCK: What change does subsection 3 make? It is apparently a new subsection.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: Yes, but I cannot see that it makes any change.

Hon. Mr. BOSTOCK: It seems to restrict the selection in certain cases to a certain class of employees.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: Yes, of specified seniority.

Section 3 was agreed to.

The preamble and the title were agreed to.

The Bill, as amended, was reported.

THIRD READING

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED moved the third reading of the Bill.

The motion was agreed to, and the Bill was read the third time and passed.

CAUSES OF UNEMPLOYMENT

REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEE

The Senate resumed from June 2 the adjourned debate on the motion of Hon. Mr. McDonald for concurrence in the second report of the Special Committee of the Senate to inquire into the causes of unemployment in Canada.

Hon. WILLIAM ROCHE: Honourable gentlemen, when the report was brought in by the Committee I purposed making a few remarks. The consideration was postponed and I was put down as the mover of the postponement. This would call upon me for perhaps a more elaborate treatment than I intended, but the time is short and I rely upon the suggestions of those honourable gentlemen who will follow me to fill in the vacancies or the omissions that I may make, particularly on the subject of the remedies.

The honourable gentlemen who composed the Committee are to be thanked for the efforts they have made in investigating the causes of the deficiency of employment, and for the statements they have presented; but I think that their report was not broad enough. They took the opinions of representatives of the bankers, representatives of labour, and representatives of capital—the manufacturers. I think they should have gone further and taken the testimony of labouring men, merchants, retailers, and, above all obtained some opinions from the great body of consumers in the country, as to the causes of unemployment and the