

*Government Orders*

C-45, things the Canadian people need to know. No, let us razzle-dazzle them with several hundred pages of a law and then we will pass it off as doing our job.

We and the Liberal backbenchers will then go to our constituencies and will be asked what we did in Bill C-45. Most of them will be like me, struggling because we really do not know. They will be able to pick up a few little things here and there but they really do not know.

Are we living in a country where the whole idea is to confuse ordinary Canadians so we can really run this show? If that is the case it is time to change. What a shame to say we are trying to politicize.

Motion No. 9 is dropping off drug offences. It states we should keep dangerous offenders and murderers behind bars but let us drop off the drug offenders. It so happens that drug dealers are a serious and major problem in our country. We do not know how to treat major problems.

What we want to do is take this member's motion and drop those kinds of things off because the government is attempting to get a little tougher. I applaud the government for its attempt. If it needs some advice it should talk to Canadians. They will tell the government what to do with drug offenders.

Listen to the old guy from Wild Rose, the old backwoods kid who was born yesterday. He is not smart enough to pick up legislation and say: "My, my, is that not pretty". All my little lawyer friends over there in the justice department or the solicitor general's department have put this wonderful document together that nobody can understand. Try reading the Income Tax Act some time if members want some fun.

Back in the 1960s I used to teach how to do income tax. By the middle 1970s I had to hire somebody to do my own. They are doing a good job if they are trying to confuse people.

One thing that is really confusing is why the member who is introducing this motion would think for a second that serious drug offenders are not a problem and should not be classified in some of these areas.

We will be opposing this motion.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** Is the House ready for the question?

**Some hon. members:** Question.

[*Translation*]

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** The question is on Motion No. 9. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

**Some hon. members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. members:** No.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** All those in favour will please say yea.

**Some hon. members:** Yea.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** All those opposed will please say nay.

**Some hon. members:** Nay.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** In my opinion the nays have it.

**Some hon. members:** On division.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** I declare the motion lost on division.

(Motion No. 9 negated.)

• (1120)

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** We are now moving to Group No. 5, which includes Motions Nos. 14 and 15.

**Mrs. Pierrette Venne (Saint-Hubert, BQ) moved:**

Motion No. 14

That Bill C-45, in Clause 45, be amended by replacing line 36, on page 27, with the following:

"(iii) reliable information from recognized and dependable sources demonstrating".

Motion No. 15

That Bill C-45, in Clause 45, be amended by replacing line 1, on page 28, with the following:

"(b) reliable information from recognized and dependable sources about the offend-".

She said: Before we start debating Motions Nos. 14 and 15 as part of Group No. 5, Madam Speaker, I think you would find unanimous consent to debate Group No. 8 immediately after Group No. 5.

[*English*]

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Maheu):** Does the hon. member have unanimous consent to go to group 8 after we debate group 5?

**Some hon. members:** Agreed.

[*Translation*]

**Mrs. Venne:** Motions Nos. 14 and 15 deal with a very important clause of Bill C-45. Clause 45(3) of the bill amends section 132 of the Corrections and Conditional Release Act by adding subsection (1.1) regarding the determining by the Correctional Service and the National Parole Board of the likelihood of the offender committing a sexual offence involving a child.

Under the current legislation, a dangerous sexual offender can be maintained in detention if he is likely to commit, at the