

co-operative housing is complete and, if so, is he now in a position to make the results available to the House?

Hon. Ron Basford (Minister of State for Urban Affairs): Mr. Speaker, I expect to receive that report this month.

TREFANN COURT URBAN RENEWAL, TORONTO—SCOPE OF GRANT FOR SECOND PHASE

Hon. Paul Hellyer (Trinity): I have a supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. Does the announcement of a \$2.1 million grant toward the second phase of the Trefann Court urban renewal eliminate the rehabilitation of existing housing as alleged, and did the approval include all or any part of the financing applied for by Trefann Court Homes Corporation?

Hon. Ron Basford (Minister of State for Urban Affairs): Mr. Speaker, the answer to the latter part of the question is no. The Trefann Court Homes Corporation application for, I think it is some 16 units of housing, is a separate application, and approval of phase two has relation to urban renewal.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I would bring to the attention of hon. members that we have gone beyond the time allocated for questions. I had hoped to recognize two or three more questioners before moving to orders of the day, but if hon. members have many supplementaries I will have to call orders of the day.

Mr. Hellyer: Mr. Speaker, my supplementary question is really part of my previous supplementary which I think the minister overlooked. I asked whether or not the terms of the phase two renewal program really make adequate provision for rehabilitation of existing housing or whether in fact the proposal put forward by the government eliminates that possibility, as has been alleged in Toronto?

Mr. Basford: Mr. Speaker, phase two which has been approved does so. There are discussions with the province of Ontario now under way as to the application of the newly approved neighbourhood improvement proposals in respect of the Trefann Court area, so that the rehabilitation sections, by which grants and loans of up to \$5,000 can be made, would apply in the Trefann Court area under the newly passed legislation. It is that course I hope will be pursued. I will be meeting with the Trefann Court people this evening, I believe, to discuss the possible application of these provisions to that area.

Mr. Speaker: The Chair will recognize the hon. member for Yukon, following which, as I am sure hon. members would be anxious to proceed with the motion to be discussed today, I will call orders of the day.

Oral Questions

NORTHERN AFFAIRS

APPROPRIATION BY UNITED STATES OF FUNDS FOR PAVING HAINES-HAINES JUNCTION LINK AND ALASKA HIGHWAY TO TERMINATION IN CANADA

Mr. Erik Nielsen (Yukon): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development. I would ask whether he is aware of any legislation which has been passed by the Senate of the United States to appropriate some \$58 million for paving of the road link between Haines in the State of Alaska and Haines Junction in Canada and on up from Haines Junction to the termination of the Alaska highway in Canada? If he does have such knowledge, will he tell the House whether the Canadian government is now negotiating or intends to negotiate with the government of the United States in respect of the expenditure of these funds on the construction to be undertaken on these highways?

[*Translation*]

Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): Mr. Speaker, we are aware of the steps undertaken by Congress but, according to the reports I have been given, the position of the United States is not yet final; as soon as that country is willing to negotiate with Canada in this regard, we will be happy to discuss the matter with them.

[*English*]

Mr. Speaker: Orders of the day.

Mr. McKinley: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. Generally speaking, when questions are asked in the House about wheat and the production of wheat they are asked on behalf of the three prairie provinces where, as we know, there is a great deal of wheat produced. Many of us also know that there is a great deal of wheat produced in the province of Ontario which has an Ontario Wheat Producers Marketing Board. I would like to solicit an answer from the minister in charge of the Wheat Board to my question which I direct to this minister as the Minister of Agriculture is absent today. Does the same offer—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please.

Mr. McKinley: I will be finished in a minute, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: Would the hon. member kindly indicate his point of order? The hon. member is now doing what hon. members try to do from time to time. After the question period hon. members rise on so-called points of order for the purpose of asking questions they would like to have asked during the question period. I appreciate that the hon. member for Huron feels he should ask an important question, but the hon. member for Hamilton-Wentworth and other hon. members who were anxious to ask questions are in exactly the same position. I regret very much that the hon. member is in that position, but I suggest to him that this is not a point of order. If he will indicate what his point of order is I will make a ruling.

Mr. McKinley: I would simply like to find out, and if I have to rise on points of order in order to stand and speak for the Ontario wheat producers I intend to do so, whether