Then the hon, gentleman talked about a customs star-chamber. I was surprised to hear his remarks on that point. We had a customs star-chamber in this country for years. We know that by the regulations of the Customs Department in past years the Minister of Customs reserved the right to go back and examine the importations of any importer for months—to go over his books and impose an additional duty if he thought fit. Even when the goods had been sold and used, and there was no possibility of the importer reaching the parties to whom he had sold them, the Minister of Customs claimed the right to make a thorough and exhaustive investigation into the affairs of the wholesale man for months and years back. I would like to know what my hon, friend would call that. Would he not call that a star-chamber? But I am glad to know that the present tariff makes an improvement in that respect. Years ago we offered hon, gentlemen opposite the opportunity to establish the same system. We know that the hon, gentleman who now occupies the Speaker's chair proposed that power should be given to the Government to take off the duty from any article that was the subject of combination, in order to pre-

vent combinations being formed in restraint of trade, and people being imposed upon and

charged high prices for goods.

The hon, member for East Durham (Mr. Craig) said that this tariff was a policy that faced both ways. Well, that may be true; in a sense it does. It faces, first to the general interests of the people of Canada. and, in the next place, it faces towards closer and better trade relations with the motherland. We quite admit that it faces two ways, in the interests of the mother country as well as in the interest of our own country.

Now, I want to make a comparison between this tariff and the tariff that was in existence in the time of Mr. Mackenzie. At the time hon, gentlemen opposite came into power they declared that in the tariff of Mr. Mackenzie there was no protection, that the industries of this country were languishing, that we required a tariff that would protect those industries and make them prosperous. They declare that this tariff is full of protection clauses-that it is protective from top to bottom. Here is a comparison, as to the rates of duty on a number of articles, between the Mackenzie tariff and the Fielding tariff:

Articles.	Mackenzie Tariff.	Fielding Tariff.
Ale, beer and porter, per gall	18 cents	16 cents.
do in lattles her 0911	- 20 - aa a	. 24 - 00
Butter, per lb	<u>4</u> do	. 4 do
Cheese, the product of British North America	Free.	
do from other countries, per 15	3 cents.	. 3 (10)
Coffee, ground or roasted, per lb	$3 \text{do} \dots \dots$	2 do
Fish solted or smaked per lh	do	<u>l</u> (30)
Fruits, meserved in spirits, per gall	.51.89	. 34.
Lard and tallow, per lb	. 1 cent	. 2 cents.
Meats, fresh, salted or smoked	I cent	. 2 (10
Malt	2 cents per 1b	. 15c. per bush, or about 1c. per 1b.
Oils, coal, &c., per wine gall	6 cents	o cents.
Rice, per lb	, 1 (10)	14 cents.
Soap, per lb.	, 1 (10	. 1 (0) - 11 de
Starch, per lb	- 2 - 00	. 15 (10 - 20 (10
Spirits, Imperial gall	- \$1.20	.,72.19. \$2.40 year call and 20 year
All other spirits.	Come	10 per cent
Teas, green and Japan, per lb	o cents	10 do
Tea, black	19 40	15 do
Vinegar, per gall. Wines, containing 20 p. c. or less alcohol, per gall	98 do	25 do
All other except sparkling wines, per gall	.,00 do	-30 do
Sparkling wines, per doz	. (12 (10	·\$3 30
Cotton, manufactures of	171 per cent	25 n. c. and 35 n. c. but there is 4 off
Cotton, manufactures of	112 ber cente	under the preferential clause with
		England, which, at the end of the
		year, will reduce the duty to 19 p. c.
		and 26 p. c.
Woollens	174 do	35 p. c., but under the preferential
W OOMERS		clause with Britain, 26 p. c.
Clothing made by hand or sewing machines	$17\frac{1}{2}$ do	35 p. c., with 1 off in favour of England, 26 p. c.
Boots and shoes	171 do	25 per cent.
Doors and shows	171 do	20 p. c. common, and ornamental 30
Glass and glassware	113 10	n e
Harness and saddlery	171 do	р. с. 25 р. с. 35 do
Patent medicines	25 do	35 do
ratent medicines		. 60