th, 1893.

ls, affords ty of the

hypothesi

egislature

conferred

re to ask

make ex

details of

eration of

s to the

nstitution

ıs legisla

apply the

n express

re, in the

rins—the

commend

ers of the

legislati**re** 

ould have

words of

tind noth

N.A. Act

es they s

e at once

the Colo

ith "full

constitu-

if on the

et is not

cial legit

c. 92, s.s.

nent from

stitutions

entenant

ision is<sup>to</sup>

espect 10

rliadent.

was ju

r, drawi

made for

s respect

fine my

ate solely

find that

subonet.

ain parts

islate re

fashion

im leads

that it

le power

ermissire

ary if the

were in

to prive

itions if

cedure is

ne Droper

intended

granted

thed in "

y. Posi-

eyed and

a by re

nial legis

ised the

rocedure.

rovisions

Powers

ial Laus

rs other

es the

authorite

dance of

the House or its committees. Colonial legistures early claimed to possess these "powers" othe same extent as they were exercised by the Imperial Parliament, and it was in referto this claim to powers which savour mewhat of the jurisdiction of the courts and somewhat upon the liberty of the subthat question was raised in colonial courts in question was raised in committee of finally debated before the Privy Council in Lagland. The claim was negatived, as was more pointed out in the former paper. The Colonial Laws Validity Act, however, now conupon every representative legislature full thority to legislate respecting its "powers," but it is manifest that in this matter of "powthat Act does not apply to our federal Arliament, because the B.N.A. Act (in sec. 18 amended in 1875) expressly provides that he Parliament of Canada must not confer upon telf or its members powers, privileges or munities beyond those from time to time by the Imperial Parliament and its by the imperial random but of the power." only follow in their footsteps.

A perusal of the clauses of the B.N.A. Act, which provide for the "constitution" of the Arliament of Canada makes it equally clear by mind that the "full power" mentioned the Colonial Laws Validity Act cannot be on behalf of our federal parliament. to repeat what has already been said, if we have the step. that these clauses prescribe, step by step, the form of organization is to be, that in the of them power to alter the form presthed is expressly given, while in others no Power is conferred, the maxim above sold applies, and we must conclude that here the power is not given it does not exist. To the power is not given it does not and show just how this alternate insertion and Nieston Occurs throughout these clauses I run over shortly, italicizing the various prowhich the parliament of Canada is exempowered to alter. The Parliament of Canada is to consist of

Queen, the Senate and the House of There are to be yearly sessions. The Senate is to consist of 72 members, 24 \*and of the three divisions—Ontario, Queand the Maritime Provinces — of which Canthe Maritime Provinces—or which to be deemed to consist "in relation to the constitution of the Senate." Under certain thatances the Governor-General may, Her Majesty's direction, appoint three the at. Majesty's direction, appoint each the at. the above divisions. Certain qualifications, residential and otherwise, are preresidential and otherwise, are residential and residential and otherwise, are residential and residential and residential and residential are residential and residential and residential are Notinent is to be by the Crown for life, subto a possible subsequent disqualification n possible subsequent arsqua.....

Pettin specified events. The Governor-Genhay from time to time appoint a senator Speaker of the Senate, and may remove and appoint another in his place. The appoint another in his parties of at least 15 senators, including the half be necessary to constitute a Speak A majority vote, including that of hand majority vote, including .... is to govern, the negative win-Then the voices are equal.

House of Commons is to consist of nouse of Commons is to common the members, elected for certain specified members, elected for certain of the faction laws in force he respective provinces regulating the qualifiby of candidates and voters and prescribing Procedure at elections and for the done. elections of members of the House of of Canada. As between the pro-

vinces the principle of representation-by-population is to govern, Quebec, with a fixed number (sixty-fire), being the pivotal province. In order to enforce the observance of the above principle, a redistribution as between the provinces must take place every ten years, founded upon the results shown by each decennial census. The House of Commons is to be presided over by a Speaker elected by the House from among its members. In case of the absence, for any reason, of the Speaker from the chair for 48 consecutive hours the House may elect another of its members to act as Speaker during the continuance of such absence. Twenty members constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. A majority vote is to govern, the Speaker voting only when there is a tie. Subject to the exercise of the prerogative of dissolution, the House of Commons is to continue for five years' from the return of the election writs

It will be seen that the above provisions established a well-defined form of organization, in other words, a "constitution" for the federal parliament. Power to alter that form is given only in regard to the very few details which I have placed in italies. It would be an interesting task to examine these various details with a view to unearthing the reason for giving the power of alteration in each case. For such a detailed examination there is not sufficient space in a short paper, and I must content myself with pointing out that, looking at the matter broadly, power to alter is conferred only in certain matters which lie close to the line which divides "procedure" from "constitution." In regard, however, to the particular matter which has given rise to these papers, it is of interest to note that, on comparing the provisions which relate to the Speaker of the House of Commons with those which touch the Speaker of the Senate, there is the clearest ground for applying our maxim. Power is expressly given to the Parliament o Canada to provide for the case of an absent Speaker of the Commons. None is given in the case of an absent Speaker of the Senate.

All the arguments hitherte advanced lead up to and support the one great argument against the possession of constituent powers by the Parliament of Canada, namely, that the B.N.A. Act throughout all its clauses recognizes the fact, historically indisputable, that the constitution of the federal parliament was matter of agreement between the federating provinces. We have the authority of the Privy Council for saying that "the object of the Act was neither to weld the provinces into one nor to subordinate provincial governments to a central authority, but to create a federal government in which they should all be represented. entrusted with the exclusive administration of affairs in which they had a common interest. The word "federal" carries with it the idea of a central government, the constitution of which has been agreed upon by the parties to the federal compact. Among colonial constitutions that of the Parliament of Canada is unique. As yet it has no counterpart throughout the British Empire. We should, then. expect that if any alteration in the constitution of our federal parliament is at any time contemplated, the parties to the federal compact should be consulted. We certainly should not expect to find that the federal parliament has been given power to alter of its own motion the federal constitution. The same

reasoning does not apply to the provincial constitutions and consequently it is not matter of surprise that each provincial legislature is expressly empowered to amend its constitution from time to time "except as regards the office of Lieut.-Governor." The application of our maxim leads to a conclusion in consonance with our reasonable expectation; a clause conferring general power on a federal parliament to alter its constitution is just what we should not expect to find. None of the arguments in favor of giving constituent powers to those colonial legislatures which possess the sole legislative power within a single colony, (subject always, of course, to the ultimate supremacy of the Imperial Parliament) are applicable to a federal body such as the Parliament of Canada. Those who contend that our federal parliament has constituent powers other than those expressly conferred must, to be logical, carry their contention to the extent of sanctioning, for example, the abolition of the Senate by dominion legislation, or the abandonment through like legislation of the principle of representation by population, which now obtains as between the various provinces; must contend, in short, that the federal parliament can of its own motion and without reference to the provinces, subvert those features of our federal constitution which were originally carefully considered and agreed upon as the basis of confederation.

I should, perhaps, before closing this article advert to the argument lately advanced in certain quarters that the language of sec. 91 of the B. N. A. Act is sufficiently wide to confer the power in question to the parliament of Canada. This is the section which, under the heading "Distribution of legislative powers: Powers of parliament," enumerates the classes of subjects in relation to which the Parliament of Canada may pass laws. The section does not touch the question. Given the Parliament of Canada constituted in conformity with the requirements of the earlier clauses of the Act, sec. 91 proceeds to define the field for its legislative operation. "Given the machine, this is the work it may undertake." No clause of the section conveys the power to make constitutional changes, and the fact that in the following section which defines the field for the operation of provincial legislation there is an express clause conveying such power to provincial legislatures over the provincial constitutions is, as I have already pointed out, an almost conclusive argument against the possession of constituent power by the Parliament of Canada except in regard to those few details over which such power is expressly given.

W. H. P. CLEMENT.

## CANADA FROM AN ARTIST'S POINT OF VIEW.-II.

In our last paper we touched on a few of the salient points of Canadian scenery in a cursory manner. Now it is proposed to particularize a little more as to the special features and characteristics belonging to the landscape of each of the Provinces of the "larger half of the continent," and it may be hoped that the adaptability of the country for the purposes of the artist in all the various fields and phases of art, will be more apparent as we progress towards the setting sun.

For, naturally, following the course of civilization and of the first settlers on the continent, we commence at the eastern coast, where