

# Vote for Tories is a vote for acne

Comrades, the problem is getting out of hand. We must confront old boyism. It is beginning to run amuck.

When are the McCains going to pay retribution to the people of New Brunswick for ruining their complexions? When are they going to pay for the grease they have fed New Brunswickers for generations? When? Well, come along with us and check it out; you'll be shocked.

Think for a minute...is New Brunswick the Tory model for Canada? Is this where we are all headed under Tory rule? All those messed-up people? Those weird politicians: People are becoming so used to the Tories they think they are normal.

The Alternate Life Society has taken it upon itself to separate the fact from fiction, nightmare from fantasy, Tory rule from reality...The very men who head the Institute of Conflict Studies here have ignored the conflict in their own backyards...nay in their own bedrooms! "They" have made sure of this... Even in the universities a supposed bastion of intellectualism; old-boyism rears its pathetic face. None of us are untouched by it: all

of us are victims of it. Some of us are used as virtual advertisements for old-boyism and elitism.

Why do "they" want us to wear obnoxious Chemical Engineering jackets? why do the future lawyers, the "politricksters-in-training" walk around campus so smugly assured all the time? Are they not in cahoots with Hatfield, the deans, the Irvings and all the rest of the old-boys?

And who are the foresters kidding? Sure, they have a keen appreciation for the mighty red pine cone sitting in class here at the university. Wait another five years. You can bet they'll be in the pocket of the "New Brunswick Pulp and Rape Co." engineering the wholesale slaughter of Canada's forests, just like every forester before them. "Rape" isn't even in these boys vocabulary... "harvest" is the word of the trade. "Oh, we're not causing an ecological holocaust here, we're just harvesting."

Don't be fooled. Forester jackets and foresters may look organic but in reality they are far from it. They are firmly in the pockets of the old boys, the Tories. New Brunswick and all that is in it, is an

open blueprint for Tory apocalypse. New Brunswick is the Tory fantasy-everything they dreamed of is here: a polulace made completely docile by 30 years of intensive "budworm" spraying, unrestricted sulphur and mercurial emissions, a physically degenerated people crippled by nutritionless crap pumped out by numerous McCain 'food factories'. These are the new symbols of progress, Tory style.

In reality, they are a sick testing ground for the new Tory state. We of the New Athletik Front, Long's Creek do not enjoy lambasting those people. Far from it. It hurts us in fact to criticize a group of people who are so convinced this

is the right way to run a government. We do wish that Mactaquac was never built, we do wish that Lepreau would sink into a fault zone, we do wish that the birds and squirrels would come back to a forest that at one pre-Tory, pre-old boys time could actually support life. We WANT the people of New Brunswick to come alive again, to live and work in a province they can feel proud of.

The blueprint is simple: First the Tories must be banned as an illegal and dangerous organization-they are a known detriment to society.

Second rid New Brunswick of the "K-Mart-Dieppe Mall" mentality - every mall constructed thro-

ugh Tory stupidity must be torn down and reforested with white and red pine.

Finally all their capitalistic exploitive ventures must be halted. They don't give us jobs. They give the capitalist old boys money, and the people disease and despair.

What can you do about all this, you ask? Support your New Athletik Front and Alternate Life Society Vote Rhino. And remember:

*"Music and Herb is the healing of the nation."*

Bob Marley

N.A.F. & A.L.S.

## "Ignorance is bliss"

Mr. Tennant:

It would appear you have a genuine conflict-of-interest when you counsel Canadians to vote Conservative in the upcoming election.

I had you figured out as either an idiot or an American and I was right on both counts.

You admitted in last weeks letter that your motivation behind urging Canadians to vote conservative, was that the conservative party would best serve U.S. interests. At least we agree on one thing.

However, that is the only area in which we agree. As far as "biting the hand that feeds you" goes, you Americans seem a bit mixed up as to who's feeding whom. It is Canada along with the rest of the so-called "Free World" that feeds your parasitic nation. An example of the consequences of "biting the hand that feeds" is the fact that your nation is no longer being fed by Iran and Nicaragua.

As for the contention that Premier Lougheed is "the best thing that every happened to Canada," you mean the best thing that ever happened to America don't you? In your defense of those "dedicated, capable agents" you display an inability to comprehend the real issue. Does being "dedicated, capable" have any real merit? Members of Hitler's Gestapo, Russia's KGB, Amin's State Research Bureau and Iran's Savak were/are "dedicated, capable agents", but they are also zombies who tortured innocent people without guilt. Your beloved

mom-and-apple-pie CIA are no different. Because Phillip Agee, the former CIA agent suffered from a guilty conscience and disclosed some of the criminal activities you CIA took part in, you call him a "fool". I suggest the world would be a better place if we had more so-called "fools" Mr. Tennant.

You Americans have been justifying your criminal aggressions against other nations for three decades now with your slogan "It's either us or the Russians" I bet the Russians are telling the Afghans right now "It's either us or the Americans". Its really ironic that for two nations that despise each other so much, that you're actually mirror-images of eachother when it comes to foreign policy.

Joe Clark has exposed himself as a flunky to your nation by saving six of your so-called "diplomats" this week. It's another example of how the Conservative government works in the best interests of the U.S. and not Canada. Perhaps your country should make Joe Clark an honorary American citizen in view of his great work. But there isn't really any need for that, since we Canadians are already Americans by right anyhow, even though the majority of Canadians don't realize it or don't want to admit it. "Ignorance is bliss" isn't that right Mr. Tennant? You should know.

Andy Grigg

PS. Thanks for substantiating my claims about US control of Conservative and Liberal policy making.

EDITORS NOTE: This article was submitted with the following message:

Dear Editor:

I am presenting this as an editorial column in hopes that it might enlighten those who call themselves Marxists, Leninists, Socialists, Comunist, Maoists or even Nazional Socialists. It has been compiled by anonymous people from a country behind the iron curtain, who as you appreciate, have had considerable experience in these matters.

F.V. Adelentado

### FROM THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF BUILDING A SOCIALIST STATE

Q: Why are we building socialism?  
A: Because its easier than having to work.

Q: What are the fundamental principles of socialism?

A: In a capitalist society, man is exploited by his fellow man, while in a socialist society it's the other way around. Everything happily progresses always on schedule from bad to worse. Socialism is a motor and a brake to itself at the same time. It goes from great success to, even greater failure.

Q: What is socialism?  
A: Socialism is the punishment for the Great October Revolution of 1917. Socialism is a social order which energetically and decisively deals with the problems which could never arise in any other society. Socialism is an absolute and overwhelming victory of ideology over common sense. In a socialist society we are not surprised if something is in a mess, however we are astonished if something actually works.

Q: When will socialism be introduced in our country?  
A: Whenever everybody has had enough of everything.

Q: What are the specific requirements for building socialism?

A: Socialism may take root only under ideal conditions. The weather must be fair-to-good-it must no freeze or drizzle, there must be no wind, it must be warm, but not too hot, occasionally overcast.

Q: Who are greatest enemies of socialism?  
A: Spring, Summer, Fall, Winter and Imperialism.

Q: Does not production lag behind in a socialist state?

A: Yes it does. Socialism could have been achieved long ago had the workers taken it on as moonshining.

Q: Is there such a thing as class inequality in a socialist society?

A: No. The class struggle known to the capitalist societies was eliminated by the Great October Revolution. In a socialist state there exists a total and universal equality. It is of course true, that some groups of people, or that certain individuals are more equal than others.

Q: What is the difference between the people and the masses under socialism?

A: The 'masses' constituting a new and just society, bravely overcoming all obstacles while the people couldn't give a damn.

Q: What do we know about socialist planning?

A: Planning is a constant disturbance of healthy spontaneity. What is impossible to realize is at least possible to plan for. Planning is a systematic action devoted to achieving coincidental results. Before the coming of socialism we had no idea of what would happen in five years; today we have a five year plan but no idea of what tomorrow will bring.

Q: What is the relationship between work and wage in a socialist society?

A: Workers are punished for the work which they do through the means of wages. The workers pretend that they are working and the state pretends to pay them for it.

Q: What are the laws of the socialist market?

A: A person is forced to buy whatever is available and must content himself by persuading himself that he needs it, has needed it, or that he will need it. The price increases are set with the small consumer in mind. The price hikes on toilet paper are compensated for by a price decrease of locomotives.

Q: Will there be money under socialism?

A: Dogmatics claim there will be none; Revisionists claim that it will still exist. The real marxist-leninist knows that there will be money for some, but none for most.

Q: Are there countries where socialism cannot be introduced?

A: Yes, such countries do, in fact, exist. For example, Luxembourg or Andoria. It has been scientifically proven that such an enormous mess could not possibly fit into such a small country.

Conclusion: The fact that the road to socialism would be joyful was already predicted by the forefathers of Marxism-Leninism, however no one expected it to be such a laugh.

[Adelentado]