And further that it was not proved before me nor was any evidence offered before me for the purpose of proving that any corrupt practice had been committed by or with the knowledge or consent of either of the candidates at the said Election.

But whether corrupt practices have or not or whether there is reason to believe that they have or not extensively prevailed at the said Election I am unable to report as the only evidence of any corrupt practice given or offered was the one hereinbefore mentioned.

A copy of my notes of evidence taken at the trial accompanies this Certificate.

All which is certified in pursuance of the Statute in that behalf.

John W. Gwynne, J.C.P

To the Honorable

The Speaker of the House of Commons.

NAPIERVILLE CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

(Translation.)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT.

Canada,
Province of Quebec,
District of Iberville.

WEDNESDAY, the twelfth day of May, One thousand eight hundred and seventy-five. Before Mr. Justice Chaqnon, one of the Judges of the Superior Court of this Province, sitting in virtue of the Dominion Controverted Elections Act, 1874, in open Court in the Courthouse, in the Village of Napierville, Electoral District of Napierville, District of Iberville.

ANTOINE GOYER,

vs.

Petitioner,

SIXTE COUPAL DIT LAREINE,

Respondent.

Having heard the parties by their Counsel on the said Petition, examined the evidence, the documents produced as well by the parties as by the witnesses and the

procedure, and upon the whole maturely deliberated :-

Whereas it appears from the evidence placed on record that the List of Voters made in and for the Parish of St. Patrice de Sherrington in the Electoral District of Napierville, District of Iberville, and which was used at the polling of the voters of the said Parish, in the Election of a Member of the House of Commons of the Dominion of Canada, in August, 1874, was not made, posted up and revised as required by Law, and must in consequence be declared illegal and void;

Whereas the Respondent was returned at the said Election by a total majority of nine votes over his opponent, the Petitioner; and whereas it is proved that the apparent majority of votes received by the Respondent in the said Parish of St. Patrice de Sherrington, by using the aforesaid List for the voting, greatly exceeded the number of the total majority in virtue of which the Respondent was returned elected to represent the Electoral District of Napierville in the said House of

 $\mathbf{Commons}$;

Whereas by reason of the illegality and nullity of the said list the Election of the Respondent to represent the said Electoral District in the said House ought to be declared null: