Cool Mornings and Evenings

Suggest the need of a Spring Top Coat. Our prices will interest you if you are thinking of getting a top coat.

Some as low as	5.00
" " "	7.50
" " "	6.50
" high	10.00
" " "	12.00
" " "	15.00

And yet not one coat too high in price when quality is taken into consideration. Short, boxy coats are the proper swing for now—and our styles are in full swing.

Nick and Shoulders to all competitors. OAK HALL Canada's Best Clothiers. King St. East, Opp St. James Cathedral. J. Coombes Mgr.

PROSECUTION RESTS CASE

Continued From Page 1.

minute. At times, her voice was laden with resentment, but she never hesitated.

Close Examination.

Charles H. Ritchie led her thru all the highways and byways of her trip to Buffalo, the meeting with Frank, the return to Toronto, and scores of subsidiary incidents. Mrs. Sullivan bore the ordeal of examination well, so well, in fact, that E. F. B. Johnston did not exercise his right to cross - examine her.

Ledgerkeeper Linton and Receiving Teller Howarth of the Ontario Bank ushered in the testimony for the defence. The aim of the defence was clearly to discredit R. R. Gamey, and, with this object, they introduced the famous incident of the deposit slip. It became all top clear that R. R. Gamey acquitted himself ingloriously a week ago last Saturday at the Ontario Bank. He secured from the receiving teller the original slip upon which there was record of the deposit of nine one hundred dollar bills. He put in another deposit slip, showing a record of ten five dollar bills, twenty tens and thirty twenties and one fifty, a total of $900.

Spotted the Bogus Slip.

The receiving teller spotted the bungling attempt to falsify the deposit slip, and Mr. Gamey was brought back to the bank to explain. He then put in still another deposit slip, recording the deposit of nine one hundred dollar bills, but this too was found to be spurious. The original deposit slip has not yet been found. The two bank clerks at least made it clear that if R. R. Gamey sought to falsify the deposit slip, and it looks very much as if he did, he could not have done the work with a finer amateur finish. If he had just arrived in the city from Podunk on a load of hay... Apparently, the deposit slip which he returned to the bank bore about as much resemblance to the original as night to day. He had not totalled his entries.

Neglected a Filing Mark.

He had used a blue pencil instead of a black pencil. He had neglected to insert a file punch. He had made a wild attempt at imitation of the teller's initials, and he had failed to mark the initials indicating the ledger-keeper's folio. Altogether, it was a fierce attempt to falsify, and that fact the prosecution will probably use to mitigate the strange conduct of Mr. Gamey. It is clear, of course, that Mr. Gamey had an object in changing the deposit slip. The object will have to be revealed, and when it does come to light it will be found that while Gamey may not have been altogether frank in his evidence his charges are not weakened by his tinkering with the deposit slips.

The defence is setting a fast pace, and claims to be in a position to provide many sensations before the week is out.

CLOSE OF PROSECUTION.

Evidence of One Important Witness is Not Accepted.

As soon as the proceedings were opened, Mr. Johnston arose to remark that the notice directed to be served on the newspapers could not be served until to-morrow.

George D. Wilson, father-in-law of Frank Sullivan, was recalled and questioned closely by Mr. Ritchie, concerning his visit to Rochester on the 10th inst. He remembered meeting a man on Main-street, Rochester, and asking to be directed to the National Hotel. He might have seen him after that date.

Did you ever speak to him after that? —I did not.

Perhaps I can refresh your memory about that. Do you recollect on the 10th of April, having a conversation with him in Rochester, after he had directed you to the hotel, in which you said that they were having a great time in Ontario? — I never mentioned it.

I am just trying to refresh your memory. That he asked you what it was about, and you said that a man in the government had bought a member by the name of Gamey, and Gamey charged Stratton with the crime?—I never did.

You did not say anything of that kind to Mr. Davis. Do you know that Mr. Davis was the man who directed you to the hotel? — I do not.

Did you see him there at all? — I did not.

You did not? — I never saw him.

Refreshed His Memory.

Perhaps this may refresh your memory. Did you tell him that you knew all about the business, as your son-in-law, Frank Sullivan, had told you about it? — I never had such a conversation.

Did you tell him that Frank Sullivan had come up to your place at Newmarket and told you that Stratton had bought up Gamey, and that the buying had been done thru him? — I never had any such conversation.

Now, did you ever see an agreement signed by Gamey, by which he agreed to support the present government? — I never did, nor ever knew Gamey's name until——

Did you tell Mr. Davis that Frank Sullivan had showed you the agreement which Gamey had signed, in which he agreed to support Ross and the Reformers, in April? — I never told anything of the kind.

Neither on the 10th of April nor any other day? — Nor any other day.

I am calling your attention to this, because I am instructed that Davis will say that. — If he says it, he says what I never told him.

Perhaps this will refresh your memory; did you tell him that Frank Sullivan had to resign his job in the Parliament Buildings, and that he came out to your house so as to avoid being subpoenaed? — Never; on oath; I never held any such conversation.

Never Mentioned Money.

And never said that Frank was to get $5000 for swearing this matter thru? — Never.

And did not tell him that you were to get $500 to get out of the way to avoid giving evidence? — Never; for I would not attempt——

Did you tell him that you didn't have the agreement, but that your wife, before she left Buffalo on the return trip, had got a memorandum in regard to paying $500 in case you kept away? — I never told——

You never told anything of the kind. Now do you recollect being at the National Hotel in Rochester on the 13th of April? — I might have been there.

I was at the National; I would not swear whether it was the 16th or the 18th. I might possibly have been there.

Do you recollect of seeing Mr. Leavitt of the City of Toronto there, and Mr. Davis also? — I never saw them.

Now, then, directing your attention particularly to it, so that you will not make any mistake, on this occasion on the 18th of April, or whatever day it was, at the National Hotel in Rochester, when Davis and Leavitt were there, did you say to them that if you did not get that $500 you were going back to give up the whole conspiracy and smash the government? — I never told them; for I never held such a conversation with any gentleman.

Very Positive Again.

Did you on this occasion, in the presence of Leavitt, while he and Davis were together, make any of these statements similar to those I have examined you about as having been made to Davis? — I never did.

Continuing, the witness said he did not remember seeing a man named Palmer in Rochester. Frank Sullivan went over there and stayed two or three hours. Sullivan gave witness some money. Wilson said he wished his wife to send him some money, and she sent it by Mr. Sullivan in preference to sending it by mail. The amount was $5.

The witness was asked if he went to Palmer's room in the National Hotel about 2 o'clock in the morning, after Sullivan had left for home and told Palmer about the interview with Sullivan, but witness was positive he had not gone to Palmer's room, and that he had not had any conversation with anyone at that time.

Never Used the Language.

You did not tell Mr. Palmer on that night that Frank had come there to move you away, and you were going off, and that Frank said the Reformers were going to win? — I never, on oath, used such language in the City of Rochester.

Did you tell Palmer also that Frank had got an agreement from Gamey by which he was to support the government, and he afterwards destroyed the agreement? — I never.

And you did not tell him that you were to move away because you were to get $500 to keep out of the road? — I never did; I did not move.

But you did go to Pennfield?—Yes, but I did not——

Did you also tell him that if the true facts of the conspiracy did not come out that your son-in-law, Frank Sullivan, was to get $5000? — I never did; so help me God.

Nothing of that kind? — I never conversed on the subject.

DAVIS WAS NOT HEARD.

Commission Refuses to Permit Prosecution to Impeach Its Witness.

A. C. Davis was asked to confront the witness, who said: "I met that gentleman in the City of Rochester, but never had any conversation with him on this subject." Then T. W. H. Leavitt stood up. Wilson said he had never met the face before, to the best of his knowledge.

To Mr. Johnston, Wilson said he was not in the habit of proclaiming to strangers and to the world generally matters connected with himself and other people.

A. C. Davis, the Rochester man, after being sworn, said he was an insurance agent and lived in Rochester. He had met the last witness, Wilson, about half a dozen times in Rochester.

At this point Chancellor Boyd interposed an objection. Mr. Ritchie was going into something that Frank Sullivan told Wilson, and Wilson told somebody else. It was evidence in contradiction of Mr. Wilson's evidence, and the Chancellor ruled that it was inadmissible.

Mrs. Wilson Testifies.

The next witness was Melissa Wilson, wife of George B. She said she went to Buffalo on the day before Good Friday with her husband and daughter. Her son was not there the day she left home. Her daughter arranged for a conveyance to take her to the Metropolitan car. A few days before that she had seen Frank Sullivan. Mrs. Sullivan had arranged the day before to drive out to the farm for them.

How soon after your daughter got to your house did she tell you that she had arranged for the conveyance to come next day?—She didn't say till the next day.

When did she tell you next day?—She said, we will take a trip to Buffalo. My husband was talking of going.

Mysterious Buffalo Trip.

And then she said we would take a trip to Buffalo. Did she then tell you she had arranged for a conveyance to come out?—I heard her talking about it.

That is the first you heard of it at all events?—Yes.

Now, can you tell me how long before this it was that Mrs. Sullivan was out at your place?—No, I cannot.

Would it be two weeks or ten days?—A few days.

How long before this had you had any conversation with your daughter? —Well, I don't know, it was when she was up there, I cannot remember exactly.

Would it be a week?—It might be a week, it might be ten days, and it might be two weeks.

Was Frank Sullivan out there in the meantime?—No.

Had you spoken to him in the meantime?—I don't think so, I don't remember that.

But at all events you had no conversation with your daughter up to this evening?—No.

For a week or ten days or two weeks possibly?—No.

Did she write to you saying she was going out?—No.

Unexpected Visit.

So that her visit was an unexpected one?—Yes, her visit was—well, my daughter talked about having a little trip on Good Friday when she was up there.

But she wasn't there on Good Friday?—No, but I say she talked about having a trip on Good Friday.

When?—When she was up there sometime thru the spring.

Having a trip where?—Any place, didn't say where.

For herself?—Well, particularly for herself and I, too.

Well then, you say on this occasion when she was at your house the next day after she got there, she told you that she had arranged for this conveyance. Did she map out where you were to go to?—No, not particularly.

You didn't know where you were going to?—Yes, she said, "We will take a trip."

Did she say where to?—My husband was talking about going——

That is not my question.—And we would go away too.

I didn't ask you that—did she tell you where she would take a trip to?—To Buffalo.

And anything further said?—No, nothing.

Heard Nothing From Mother.

Mrs. Wilson swore that she had not heard from her daughter since, but she got a letter from her husband, but it was burned. Frank Sullivan went to Buffalo after they got there. It was an unexpected visit from Frank. Witness and Mrs. Sullivan were sitting at the window of an hotel when they saw Frank getting on a car, and Mrs. Sullivan called him.

Explains Her Movements.

Mr. Ritchie interrogated the witness as to her movements since she returned from Buffalo. She said she had been moving around from place to place, but when she heard someone was looking for her to serve her with a subpoena she returned home. Then a line of questions, touching money transactions, was projected. Mrs. Wilson said she had given Frank Sullivan $25 to give her husband, as she only wanted him to have a little of it at a time—he was "kind of nervous, and all that." She had given Sullivan the money in an hotel in Buffalo; they were Canadian bills. Mr. Ritchie suggested Ontario Bank bills, and the witness thought they were. She told her husband to stay away a month if he could.

Where Money Came From.

Mrs. Wilson said she got the money she gave to Sullivan from the rent of her farm, and then Mr. Ritchie asked: Will you undertake to pledge your oath that, before leaving Buffalo, you were not told that if you would go quietly away and your husband remain out of Canada, you would be looked after, and would get something?—Nothing of that kind was ever mentioned.

No suggestion at all? — No suggestion whatever.

Was any money given you just as you were leaving Buffalo?—No.

WANT BANK EXPERTS.

Prosecution Insists on Banks Being Examined in a Thoro Manner.

After a brief cross-examination by Mr. Johnston Mrs. Wilson stepped down, and Mr. Ritchie grasped the occasion to suggest that a thoro investigation of the Ontario Bank books be made by an expert appointed by the bank on agreement of both parties. Mr. Riddell said he would not consent to any expert going there and making up his mind as to this or that and giving evidence accordingly, but Mr. Ritchie stated his desire to be that of the expert finds anything that he thinks requires investigation he should call attention to it. Mr. Riddell said the defence desired to go a little past the middle of the month, and Mr. Ritchie had no objection.

Henrietta Sullivan, wife of Frank Sullivan, was called. As to the Buffalo trip the questions and answers were:

The day before you went out to your father's place, a little distance from Newmarket?—Yes.

Went out on the Metropolitan cars to Newmarket, and then what did you do when you got to Newmarket?—Hired a livery from Mr. Sommerville.

Made Many Trips.

Who drove you out?—His boy.

What time did you get there?—Some time in the evening.

How long before that had you been there?—A couple of days before that.

Was it something just floating in your mind or had you any definite fixed idea about it?—I had told her that we would take a trip to Buffalo and my father was going to Rochester and we would accompany him that far.

Mother's Memory Bad.

But your mother has not told us that? — Well, she is forgetful, I think my memory is a little better than hers.

So when she had made the statement of knowing nothing about that trip her recollection is at fault? — Yes.

Just "Resolved" to Go.

So it was you who had resolved to go to Buffalo? — I told you that I had resolved to go that far with my father.

You asked your mother to go? — She said she would go.

Now, I will begin to think that your recollection is not as good as your mother's. Did you ask your mother to go? — Yes, I certainly did.

It was on your invitation she went? — I do not know that it was.

You said you were going, and invited her to go; you said you were going anyway, whether your mother went or not.

IN THE AFTERNOON.

Mrs. Frank Sullivan Resumes Her Evidence on Direct Examination.

At 11.30 the Commission adjourned till 2.30, owing to the funeral of the late Judge Lount. Mrs. Sullivan resumed her testimony after the adjournment. She said she had invited her mother to go to Buffalo for a holiday outing. During the adjournment she had thought it over and come to the conclusion that she had arranged with Mr. Sommerville for a rig on the Wednesday before Good Friday and not Thursday as she had previously stated. She had not discussed the affair with her husband or any of the lawyers during the adjournment. After some further questions the witness thought it was Tuesday she went to her mother's. She returned home Wednesday and went out again Wednesday night. On this point she contradicted her mother, who said she had not been home within a week or ten days of Good Friday.

And you went out on these three occasions without any other object in view than to see your mother? — Yes.

No business in mind? — Not particularly.

I am speaking of this particular trip to Buffalo; had you, prior to the Thursday preceding the Good Friday, invited your mother to go on this trip?—I may have spoken to her about it; I think I did. I had talked to her so much about it that I can't remember.

Frank's Sudden Appearance.

When the Buffalo trip was made, Frank Sullivan was in Sudbury or North Bay, and the witness did not think he knew they were going away. It was not in consequence of anything that he had said that she went to get her father and mother. Frank had gone to Newmarket with her on the Saturday before Good Friday, and from there, went on to North Bay, Sunday. She did not remember that anything was said then about her father going away for his health, but he had been talking about going away for his health. Her husband had nothing to do with her father's movements.

You have no recollection of anything being said about his going to Buffalo, Rochester or any other place? — I don't remember that it was said that particular time.

You and your husband did not discuss about it going there? — My husband had other things to attend to.

It was simply a trip to Buffalo and back in the same day? — It was a holiday trip; we very often do that.

Your father remained behind? — My father went to Rochester.

When? — That same evening.

AND FRANK TURNED UP.

Sullivan Proved a Mind Reader and Divined Wife's Plans.

How do you know? — Did not I stay in Buffalo? I stayed in Buffalo; my husband came to Buffalo in the afternoon.

Before your mother went away?—Yes; about 3 o'clock, I think.

A great surprise to you that he turned up in Buffalo. You had really imagined he was up in Sudbury or North Bay? — I expected he was either at North Bay or Sudbury.

But, unexpectedly, he turned up at Buffalo on the Friday? — Yes, sir.

And it was the merest accident in the world you were meeting him?— I saw him get off the train; at least, come out from the depot.

Succeeding questions brought out the information that Frank Sullivan had returned from North Bay, and, finding the witness gone, left on a later train for Buffalo. On the following Monday morning she and Frank came back to Toronto. Since that time she had been around the city, but had not heard that people were making inquiries with a view to serving her with a subpoena. Her husband, she said, had no bank account. She had a small account in the Bank of Commerce, but her husband did not have a power of attorney from her. She did not recollect getting $500 last Christmas.

Asked About Funds.

I suppose you are equally clear that you did not get a suit for $150 at Catto's about the same time?—I am quite clear.

Never got anything at Catto's?—I get all my clothes there.

Mr. Riddell: I object to this line of examination; it has nothing to do with anything that is being tried here.

The Chancellor: It is very interesting and it does not hurt anybody; if Mr. Ritchie likes to give this; he may get something out of it.

Mr. Ritchie: Do you say you did not get any sum at Christmas from your husband?—I do.

You said you did not give any power of attorney?—I did.

Did you have any conversation with your husband recently about your bank account?—No, sir; my bank account is too small to talk of.

You did not have any conversation about it at all?—No, sir.

Directly or indirectly?—No, sir.

WHO IS "HATTIE"?

Somebody Signed Frank's Wife's Name to a Telegram.

The last point brought out related to a telegram to George B. Wilson signed "Hattie." Now this is Mrs. Sullivan's first name, but she denied sending such a message, and when it was produced she said she had never seen it before.

The telegram is signed "Hattie." "Will be to see you to-night." Do you know your husband's handwriting? —I do.

Is that his?—No, sir.

Have you any idea of whose handwriting it is?—I haven't the slightest.

Is there any other Hattie in your family except yourself?—No, not that I know of.

And while that is signed in that way you haven't the slightest idea who sent it?—Not the slightest.

You know your husband did go over on the same night?—That same night.

Yes, your husband went over to Rochester on the 18th?—I think it was last Saturday.

That is the second time. He was over there a short time before that, I think your father said, which was on the 18th. Just let me see you copy that out; put down there, "Will be to see you to-night." Witness writes.) Now, sign "Hattie." (Witness does so.) Address "George B. Wilson," up here. (Witness does as directed.) That same night at all events your husband did go over on the 18th that same day? —I don't know that he went that same day.

Date is Obscure.

He was away last Saturday week, was he not?—It was on Saturday.

That would be on the 18th?—I don't know anything about the date.

You have no doubt that is correct?—I don't remember the date.

To Mr. Johnston, the witness said she had not been well and had it not been for her willingness to tell all she knew she would not have been out of the house.

This concluded the case for the prosecution, subject to what was said in regard to the bank.

DEFENCE BEGINS CASE.

Mr. Stratton's Side is Now Taking Up the Evidence.

Mr. Johnston called Mr. Howarth and Mr. Smith, officials of the Ontario Bank, neither of whom answered. There was a twenty minute wait before Norman W. Linton was called. He is collecting clerk in the Ontario Bank. In September last he was on the ledger. Looking at the ledger account of the Crossin Piano Company, he found a deposit of $900 on Sept. 11. He explained the practice of the bank to be when a deposit is made it would be given to the ledger keeper by the teller, and by him entered in the ledger, followed upon the file and taken away by the supplementary man. The deposit slip made out by the customer would accompany it, and this would be handed by the teller to the ledger keeper. The denomination of the bills and whom deposited for, and whom by, would be entered on the slip. The receiving teller initials the slip and the ledgerkeeper puts on it the folio of the ledger. The deposit slip was produced and marked exhibit 66. Mr. Linton said it never went thru the bank and had never been entered by him.

Initial is Blurred.

You see the letter H—what does that represent—who would put that there if it was a genuine deposit slip? — The teller would; it looks more like an L.

Mr. Ritchie: It is not an H.

Mr. Johnston: Look at this slip—do you trace the blue lines there? — Yes.

What letter is that? — It might be taken for an N.

Is that Mr. Howarth's initial? — No, sir.

Is the blue initial, whatever it is, Mr. Howarth's initial? — No, sir, that is not his initial; I know his initial.

Was there anybody on that date who would be entitled to initial a deposit slip besides Howarth? — The other receiving teller.

What is his name? — Kelso.

Is that his initial? — No, sir.

Then that initial is not a genuine initial of either Howarth or Kelso? — No, sir.

Do you find any ledger folio on that slip? — No, there is no folio on that slip.

Can that have passed thru your hands as ledger-keeper without having that ledger folio on it? — It is possible, but most improbable. I might tell you why it is, because if I filed it without putting my folio on the corner of the slip, when the supplementary man would take it to sort it out according to folio he would not find the folio there, therefore he would hand it back to me, believing I had failed to enter it in my ledger.

Then the slip would be held by whom? — By the bank.

Retained as Voucher.

As what? — As a voucher.

Is it the only voucher you file of the details of the deposit? — Yes.

Do you keep them all? — We do.

Do you let your customers have them to take away? — They frequently have them, but only for reference in the office.

If you omitted to put the folio on after it goes to the supplementary man it would be at once returned to you? — He would think that I had not entered it in the book.

It would be returned to you? — Yes.

Then you would correct it? — If I had failed to enter it I would do so.

Are these slips checked over in the morning afterwards? — Yes.

Would the ledger folio failing to appear upon the slip be noticed the next morning? — No, sir; it might not be noticed.

It would end with the supplementary man, and with you? — Yes.

What should be on the left hand corner of that slip? — The folio—just the figures 314.

Filed His Slips.

Witness said he always put his slips on a file and these are taken away and filed in the vault. Exhibit 66 had never been put on a file. He knew nothing of the occurrence in the bank on the 18th April, but he saw Mr. Gamey in the bank that day. If he had the right deposit slip he could tell the denomination of the bills deposited on Sept. 11.

Another deposit slip was produced, and Linton said the initial on it was not that of either of the tellers. It read "Credit E. Crossin &Co., deposited by E. Crossin, 11th Sept., 1902, ten fives, twenty tens, thirty twenties, and one fifty," and was not added up, from which the witness deduced that, if the deposit had been made that day, the slip would be totalled. He could not make the entry without totalling it up. The slip never passed thru the bank, and was never on the file.

Not a Copy.

Cross - examined by Mr. Ritchie, Mr. Linton said it was quite clear that Exhibit 66 was not the original deposit slip, but it might be a copy of it. It was not a complete copy.

There are no initials on there? — I have seen tellers' initials as bad as that.

But there is nothing to indicate what that might be? — I know that these are not our teller's initials.

And they don't look anything like your teller's initials? — No.

You would say there was no attempt to copy your initials? — I don't know that I could say that; it does not look very much like it, to me.

The witness consumed much time in routine explanations.

F. R. Howarth, the teller, was sworn, and said Mr. Gamey came in on Saturday morning, and asked to see the deposit slip of Sept. 11, as he wanted to copy it. Later, he found that Gamey had returned the wrong slips.

Mr. Johnston procured a slip from another gentleman of the bank who was present.

Mr. Johnston: Now take that slip and assume that to be a deposit slip presented to you; it is not completed—would you let me see what your act in regard to that deposit slip would be when it comes in.

Witness took the slip, initialed the same with a small "h" and checked the denominations of the bills.

As to Blue Pencil.

Mr. Johnston: That is all you do? — Yes, sir.

Do you use a blue pencil? — Yes, sir.

Mr. Johnston: Then this slip Exhibit "68" is the standard of this gentleman's initial.

Then so far as these slips are concerned, Exhibit "67" of course could not be right from what you have told us? — No, sir.

And "66" is not right by reason of what? — It is not my initials, it has not my initials on it, and it is not checked here, the denominations are not checked, there is no tick mark.

Then the long blue line would be made by whom on the deposit slips? — It is made by the accountant.

And when does he check them? — In the morning.

The cross-examination of Mr. Howarth was postponed until the morning.

Before adjournment at 4.20, Mr. Johnston asked the witness to ask Mr. Brown, the accountant, and Mr. Barton, the messenger, to be present in the morning, so that there would be no delay, as Mr. Howarth would not be in the box very long.

BEAUTIFUL BABY GRANDS.

Heavy Shipments of Baby Grand Pianos by Heintzman & Co.

The Baby Grand Piano of Heintzman & Co. has taken a remarkable hold of people of culture and wealth in all parts of Canada—conditions that are very clearly revealed in the large shipments of these pianos during the past few weeks. The C. W. Lindsay Company, Limited, of Montreal, representatives of Heintzman & Co., have had shipped them ten of these beautiful instruments for their large trade. Mr. F. Morris, one of the distinguished pianists of Montreal, is another purchaser. Additional to two Baby Grands a handsome Concert Grand Piano has been placed in the Windsor Hotel, Montreal. Other purchasers include Mr. Vernon W. Barford of Edmonton, N.W.T.; Dyke, Evans & Callaghan, Vancouver, B.C.; E. Bristol, Orillia; H. H. Daherty, Royal Hotel, St. John, N.B., and C. S. White, Sussex, N.B. The case work of these instruments is representative of some of the most striking types and periods of modern art, whilst the tonal quality and other musical properties are such as to win the admiration of some of the most distinguished musical artists who have visited Canada in recent years. The piano of this firm was chosen for exclusive use by Sir Alexander Mackenzie in his recent tour of Canada.

James Scott, a bartender in the Stag Hotel, was acquitted of the charge of keeping a betting house on the premises.

The gymnasium class of the Y.W.C. Guild will give their winding up exercises on Friday evening next, May 1.

The local Hotelmen's Association have arranged a good program for the members of the Hotelkeepers' Association, who will be here on June 8 and three succeeding days.

What's the Difference?

We will charge you a moderate and fair figure for any operation — best of quality in all. Perhaps you have read that the work can be done for less. Take into consideration almost the first thing that will be told you about these less than fair charges.

"They are not for the kind of work you want."

That's the difference.

Ours are:

Silver Fillings	$ 75
Gold Fillings	1 50 up
Gold Crown and Bridge Work	5 00 per tooth up
Artificial Plates	7 50 up
Painless Extracting	25c

Free when plates are ordered.

REAL NEW YORK PAINLESS DENTISTS
Cor. Yonge and Adelaide Streets,
ENTRANCE NO. 1 ADELAIDE EAST.
DR. C. F. KNIGHT, Prop. TORONTO

An Extraordinary Offer.

A $40 BELT FOR $5.

Warranted to be superior to all others.

DON'T buy an electric belt before seeing the Prof. Morse's 20th Century Belt.

Call or write for book. It is free. We are the largest dealers in electric body appliances in Canada. The genuine Prof. Morse Belt can only be obtained from us. Never sold in drug stores.

THE F. E. KARN CO., 132 Victoria Street Toronto, Can.

ABSOLUTE SECURITY.

Genuine

Carter's Little Liver Pills.

Must Bear Signature of

Brent Good

See Fac-Simile Wrapper Below.

Very small and as easy to take as sugar.

CARTER'S LITTLE LIVER PILLS. FOR HEADACHE. FOR DIZZINESS. FOR BILIOUSNESS. FOR TORPID LIVER. FOR CONSTIPATION. FOR SALLOW SKIN. FOR THE COMPLEXION. GENUINE MUST HAVE SIGNATURE. Price One Dose. Purely Vegetable. Brent Good

CURE SICK HEADACHE.

PERPETUAL SECTIONAL BOOKCASE

There is something attractive in our Perpetual Bookcase. All sections are made of quarter-cut oak, beautifully polished. They are suitable for library or office, and can be moved from place to place quite easily. You can add extra sections to the bookcase at any time.

Write for our catalogue.

THE OFFICE SPECIALTY MFG. CO. Limited
Factories: Newmarket, Ont. 216 77 Bay St., Toronto.

FREE

If you want to test the merits for yourself in cases of dandruff — hair falling out—or baldness—of that greatest of all hair preparations—

Rose's Phosphorex Hair Grower

Accept the invitation to visit the company's parlors, Room 43, Yonge Street Arcade and have free treatments.

At all Druggists—1.00 a Bottle.

THE PHOSHOREX COMPANY, TORONTO.

LABOR LEADERS' REPLY TO ARCHBISHOP'S LETTER

They Make Pertinent Answer to the Prelate's Lengthy Document

Archbishop Bruchesi's pastoral letter, condemning in strong terms the intervention of labor union leaders from the United States in disputes arising between Canadian laborers and their employers, was discussed with animation amongst the leaders of the American Federation of Labor, who were in session at the Arlington yesterday. President Gompers expressed surprise at the vigorous attack made upon the international relations as they exist between union laborers of Canada and the United States. "I am no enemy of the Archbishop because he has made statements so antagonistic to our great movement. It has always been our purpose to improve conditions of the working classes in Canada as well as the United States. We cannot see why there should be any objection to United States officers of trades unions coming over to Canada to assist in settling labor questions, when Canadian officers are called upon to settle labor troubles in the United States.

Labor Leader's Liberality.

"It shall be my ambition while president of the American Federation of labor, to spread the work of organization, in order that the working classes of the Dominion may become better citizens and a happier people."

The foregoing are the remarks of President Gompers after perusing the newspaper report of the Archbishop's letter.

Vice-President James Duncan was more pronounced in his remarks regarding the utterances of the prelate. He said: "I am sure it is the Archbishop's ignorance of the real state of affairs regarding the labor situation that prompts him to attack the international workings of our labor unions relative to Canada.

For All North America.

"It is constitutionally declared that we stand as a labor union of North America. We do not recognize in our dealings any state or country. We are a fraternal body, working for the good of every member. The Archbishop in his letter advocates amicable settlements of all troubles existing between labor and capital. He voices our mission as labor unionists. But we take a step farther. We struggle with the weakest of humanity, the laboring classes, that they may not be quietly overridden by their stronger brethren, the capitalists. The advantages derived by Canadian workmen belonging to the Federation of Labor were clearly instanced in Montreal but a short time ago.

Wanted Fair Scale.

"When the cigarmakers demanded a fair scale of wages, the same as Toronto cigarmakers were receiving, they were refused, and struck. The union supplied them with money to continue the fight for their rights. They were supplied with more money than their fees will amount to in years. Yet we gladly did it to help them. The origin of strikes is purely local. We but assist to bring the trouble to a peaceful ending, in default of which we stand by the men in their fight. We are human beings of a great family, and no division line enters into our purpose. We desire to do that which will add to establish the brotherhood of man. I can only say I think the Archbishop is not sufficiently well versed in the affairs of unionism as it exists to-day to be taken seriously."

A STUBBORN COLD OR BRONCHITIS.

yields more readily to Scott's Emulsion of cod-liver oil than to anything that you can take; and if persistently used a few days, will break up the cold.

When you awake in the night choked up and coughing hard, take a dose of the Emulsion, and you will get immediate relief, where no cough medicine will give you relief. It has a soothing and healing effect upon the throat and bronchial tubes.

Send for Free Sample.

SCOTT & BOWNE, Chemists. Toronto

SAMUEL MAY & CO., BILLIARD TABLE MANUFACTURERS,

Have removed their Office and Showrooms to 116 Bay Street, Toronto. - Phone Main 318 ed

. . THE . . Toronto General Trusts Corporation

Established 1882.

TORONTO. WINNIPEG.

President: JOHN HOSKIN, K.C., LL.D.

Vice-Presidents: HON. S. C. WOOD, W. H. BEATTY.

Manitoba Advisory Board
HON. SIR D. H. McMILLAN,
D. W. BOLE,
A. M. NANTON,
H. H. SMITH.

Paid-up Capital..... $1,000,000
Reserve Fund..... 200,000

J. W. LANGMUIR, Managing Director. 25

AMUSEMENTS.

PRINCESS THEATRE. Matinees To-morrow Saturday. Thomas W. Broadhurst's Company in the beautiful Biblical play, "THE HOLY CITY" A STORY OF JERUSALEM, with MISS IVA MERLYN as "MARY MAGDALENE."

SPECIAL NOTICE | NEXT WEEK. Re-appearance of the Eminent English Actor MR. E. S. WILLARD —REPERTOIRE— Monday Evening—"THE OPTIMIST"—being a translation by L. N. Parker of La Chateleine by Alfred Capus. Tuesday Evening and Wednesday Matinee—"THE MIDDLEMAN"—by Henry Arthur Jones. Wednesday Evening—"THE PROFESSOR'S LOVE STORY"—by J. M. Barrie. Thursday Evening—"THE CARDINAL"—by Louis N. Parker. Friday Evening and Saturday Matinee—"TOM PINCH"—by Chas. Dickens. Saturday Eve.—"DAVID GARRICK"—by T. W. Robertson.

Seats Now on Sale at Nordheimer's.

MILITARY TOURNAMENT AND HORSE SHOW

Wed., Thurs., Fri., Sat., April 29, 30 May 1 and 2 | TORONTO ARMOURIES FOUR DAYS

Prices—Aft. 25c, 50c, 75c. $1.00; Evgs. 50c, $1.00, $1.50; morning admission 25c.

Reduced Rates on All Railways.

GRAND OPERA HOUSE Matinees Wed. and Sat. Miss Adelaide THURSTON in her new play AT COZY CORNERS. Next Week—Tolstoi's "RESURRECTION."

SHEA'S THEATRE Week Ap. 27. Matinees—Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday. The Aborn Production of Smith & DeKoven's Beautiful Comic Opera THE HICHWAYMAN. Magnificent Scenery and Costumes. Next Week—FRA DIAVOLO.

STAR Matinee Every Day 15 & 25c. ALL THIS WEEK MINER'S BOHEMIANS. Next Week—Jolly Grass Widows

Write to-day—Lost vitality restored, secret losses promptly cured, a new mode of treatment for men. Free to men. Our book, telling you how to cure yourself at home without interfering with business. Mailed free to any address. —Dr. Kruss, Laboratory Co., Toronto.

NEW WILLIAMS



Sold easy payments. We rent machines by the week or month. HEAD OFFICE: 78 Queen-st. W. Manning hambors

WRITING

In sight means more and better work—This is only one of the UNDERWOOD'S features.

UNITED TYPEWRITER CO., Limited, TORONTO.

WEAK MEN

Instant relief—and a positive, permanent cure for lost vitality, sexual weakness, nervous debility and varicocele. Thousands bear testimony to the wonderful work of Hazelton's Vitalizer. Only $2 for one month's treatment. Makes men strong, vigorous, ambitious. J. E. HAZELTON, PH.D., 308 Yonge-street.

HELP WANTED.

HARNESSMAKERS AND COLLARmakers—Keep away from Toronto and Toronto Junction; trouble on.

YOUNG MAN WANTED AS APPRENtice to the moulding trade, between ages of nineteen and twenty-three. Apply, giving weight, height and references as to character, Gurney Foundry Co., Ltd., Toronto. ed

WANTED — BOYS FOR PLANING mill. Apply 8 St. Mary-street.

BLACKSMITH FOR COUNTRY SHOP; Good General hand; steady work. Apply, stating wages, with board. T. H. Keys, Concord, Ont. ed

WANTED—A PASTRY COOK AND SECOND chef, for a large city hotel. Apply Mr. Armstrong, Iroquois Hotel, by letter or personally.

HELP WANTED—MALE.

WANTED—PERSON TO CALL ON retail trade and agents for manufacturing house; local territory; salary, $19.70 paid weekly, and expenses money advanced; previous experience unnecessary; business successful; enclose self-addressed envelope. Standard House, Chicago.

ARTICLES FOR SALE.

FOWNES AND DENT'S GLOVES—Lined or unlined. The Arundel, $1.00; the Boulevard, $1.25; the Badminton, $1.35; the Chantilly, $1.75; the Welbeck, $2.25. Wheaton & Co., King West.

PERMANENT ACETYLENE GAS Burners are the best; try them; 25c each. 21 Scott-street, Toronto.

TO RENT

TO LET—FLATS FOR STORAGE PURposes. Apply 31 and 33 Front-street east.

MANICURING.

EXPERT MANICURING FOR GENTLEmen, at the Commercial Barber Shop, 52 Yonge-street, the best equipped and most up-to-date shop in Canada.

LEGAL CARDS.

COATSWORTH & RICHARDSON, BARristers, Solicitors, Notaries Public, Temple Building, Toronto.

ROWELL, REID & WOOD, BARRISters, Lawlor Building, 6 King West, N. W. Rowell, K.C., Thos. Reid, S. Casey Wood, jr. ed

LENNOX, LENNOX & WOODS, BARristers and solicitors, Home Life Building, Haughton Lennox, T. Herbert Lennox, Sidney B. Woods. ed

JAMES BAIRD, BARRISTER, SOLICIter, Patent Attorney, etc., 9 Quebec Bank Chambers, King-street East, corner Toronto-street, Toronto. Money to loan. James Baird.

DAVID HENDERSON, BARRISTER, Solicitor, etc., 6 King-street. Trust funds for investment.

BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS.

RICHARD G. KIRBY, 539 YONGE-ST., contractor for carpenter, joiner work and general jobbing. 'Phone North 904.

W. F. PETRY, TELEPHONE NORTH 3351—Carpenter and Builder, Lumber, Mouldings, etc.

FORBES ROOFING CO.—SLATE AND gravel roofing; established 40 years. 153 Bay-street. Telephone Main 53. ed

FOR SALE OR TO RENT.

FOR SALE OR RENT—A GOOD BRICK Blacksmith Shop, with tools. Apply J. Cowan, Village Clerk, Wroxeter P.O.

HOTELS.

THE "SOMERSET," CHURCH AND Carlton. American rates, $1.50, $2.00. Rooms for gentlemen, 75c up; Sunday diners a specialty, 50c. Winchester and Church cars pass the door. Tel. 2987 Main. W. Hopkins, Prop.

IROQUOIS HOTEL, TORONTO, CANCentrally situated, corner King and York-streets; steam-heated; electric-lighted; elevator; rooms with bath and en suite; rates, $2 and $2.50 per day. G. A. Graham.

BUSINESS