

departments of tourism, fish and game, province of Quebec. (b) Investigations into capture, transportation, and release have been conducted and no obstacles to the projects have been found. (c) The Quebec wildlife service has advised that March 1968 would be the best time to carry out the transplant. The project is being planned for that time. (d) A further meeting has been planned with officers of the Quebec wildlife service late in 1967 to plan final details of the project.

DISCUSSIONS WITH U.K. ON RECIPROCAL PENSION PLANS

Question No. 507—Mr. Knowles:

1. Since May 30, 1966, the date of answers to similar questions, have there been further discussions between the government of Canada and the government of the United Kingdom concerning the possibility of a reciprocal agreement with respect to the Canada pension plan and corresponding legislation in the United Kingdom?

2. If so, what conclusions have been reached?

3. If no conclusions have yet been reached, are such discussions continuing and will a report on such discussions be made to the House of Commons at an early date?

Hon. A. J. MacEachen (Minister of National Health and Welfare): 1. While there has been no meeting of officials, there has been an exchange of correspondence relating to this matter.

2. There are no new developments to report at this time.

3. It is possible that further discussions will be held and, if any conclusions affecting existing arrangements are arrived at, these will be reported to the house.

DISCUSSIONS WITH U.S. ON RECIPROCAL PENSION PLANS

Question No. 509—Mr. Knowles:

1. Since May 30, 1966, the date of answers to similar questions, have there been further discussions between the government of Canada and the government of the United States concerning the possibility of a reciprocal agreement with respect to the Canada pension plan and corresponding legislation in the United States?

2. If so, what conclusions have been reached?

3. If no conclusions have yet been reached, are such discussions continuing and will a report on such discussions be made to the House of Commons at an early date?

Hon. A. J. MacEachen (Minister of National Health and Welfare): 1. On July 12, 1966, officials of the Canada Pension Plan met with the officials of the United

Questions

States social security administration to discuss the question of reciprocal arrangements. As the result of these discussions, in November 1966, the United States government recognized the right of certain Canadian citizens to receive, as of the 1st January 1966, benefits under the United States social security legislation while residing outside the country. Further meetings between the same officials were held on the 26th and 27th September 1967 to pursue the question of reciprocal arrangements between the two countries.

2. There are no new developments to be announced at this time.

3. Further discussions are contemplated.

DISCUSSIONS WITH GERMANY ON RECIPROCAL PENSION PLANS

Question No. 510—Mr. Knowles:

1. Since May 30, 1966, the date of answers to similar questions, have there been further discussions between the government of Canada and the government of Germany concerning the possibility of a reciprocal agreement with respect to the Canada pension plan and corresponding legislation in Germany?

2. If so, what conclusions have been reached?

3. If no conclusions have yet been reached, are such discussions continuing and will a report on such discussions be made to the House of Commons at an early date?

Hon. A. J. MacEachen (Minister of National Health and Welfare): 1. Social security officials of the Federal Republic of Germany met with Canadian officials in Ottawa during the week October 16-21, 1967.

2. General agreement was reached on a number of points that would form the basis of an agreement between the two governments. These are being referred for consideration by the two governments.

3. Further discussions are contemplated and a report to the house will be made in due course.

TRANSFER OF ROCKCLIFFE AERODROME TO TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT

Question No. 524—Mr. Bell (Carleton):

1. Has any proposal been made for the transfer of Rockcliffe aerodrome from the jurisdiction of the Department of National Defence to the Department of Transport for use as a secondary airport in the national capital region?

2. If so, what action has been taken in respect of the proposal?