She went on to say that for Canada this means that once early warning of a problem has been received through CSCE institutions a prompt response must occur through a fact-finding mission or good offices. The new commitment to peacekeeping gives the CSCE the operational ability to move from there and take action. However, "[t]hese commitments are not only obligations for others but for everyone of us here today. No country can claim to have achieved perfect implementation, and much work needs to be done."

PARLIAMENTARY COMMENT

There was no parliamentary comment specifically on the CSCE during the 1991-1992 session. For related discussions of the conflicts in Yugoslavia and the former Soviet Union see the appropriate chapters in this volume.

RECENT LITERATURE

- Anstis, Chris. "CSCE Mark II: Back to Helsinki From Paris Via Berlin and Prague," NATO Review 40, No. 2, April 1992: 18-23.
- Bukowski, Charles. "Yugoslavia and the Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe," Coexistence 28, No. 3, September 1991: 371-389.
- Brookings Institute. Cooperative Security in the New European Order. Report of the International Experts' Meeting held at the Brookings Institution, Washington D.C., 8-10 April 1991. Washington D.C.: Brookings, 1991.
- Bryans, Michael. The CSCE and Future Security in Europe, A report of a two-day conference held in Prague, Czech and Slovak Federal Republic, 4-5 December 1991. Ottawa: CIIPS, March 1992.
- "Commission Hearing Focuses on Prospects for Peace and Human Rights in Yugoslav Republics," CSCE Digest 15, No. 2, February 1992.
- Estrella, Rafael. "The CSCE and the Creation of a Parliamentary Assembly," NATO Review 39, No. 5, October 1991: 23-26.
- "The Helsinki Process: A Success Story," Finnish Features. Helsinki: Ministry for Foreign Affairs, 1992.

⁹ Ibid.: 5.