and to Rotterdam, \$7,000. Even with the large value for the week, the total of our exports for the month of February promises to be unusually small.—The Paper Mill.

Reference to the Trade and Navigation Returns of the Dominion for the year ending June 30, 1900, shows that, excepting wall paper, no other paper was exported from Canada in that year. Considering that such materials as American paper is made of was exported from Canada to the United States in 1900 to the value, for wood pulp, \$1,193,753, and pulp wood, \$864,077, total \$2,057,830, it is quite surprising that shipments of a value greater than \$1,000 each aggregating more than \$87,000 should be made in one week from one American port, and not one dollar's worth from Canada in a year. This indicates that we are neglecting good opportunities for building up foreign trade in paper.

Re our export trade in wall paper: According to the authority alluded to, our exports of that article in 1900 amounted to 273,516 rolls, distributed, according to value, as follows:—

Great Britain	\$ 1,359	4.5 per 79.2	r cent.
United States	23,561	$79.2^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$	• •
Australia	2,087		
British Africa	176		
British West Indies	131 }	16.3	"
Newfoundland	2,334		
France	93)		
Total	\$29,741	100.	**

Canada's exports of pulp wood during 1900 were valued as follows:—

Great Britain	38,370		4.7 per cent.	
United States	864,077	95.0^{-}	"	
Newfoundland	325	.3	"	
Total	\$902,772	100.		

 Belgium
 19,003

 Cuba
 677

 France
 25,066

 Germany
 5,313

 Japan
 3,421

 Mexico
 6,005

Total...... \$2,748,529 100.

Imports of paper and manufactures of into Canada in 1900:—

00:	O 4 Toul4 - 1-	TT-14-4 Chaken
Articles.		United States.
Albuminized	\$3,648	\$ 10 4 , 4 35
Bags and sacks	. 25	12,628
Cardboard	. 1,700	26,758
Envelopes	. 7,669	20,860
Straw board tarred		14,737
Wall paper	. 8,655	
Borders		2,642
Leather board	. 51	6,272
Mill board	. 653	17,218
Papeteries	. 76,027	297,065
Printing		
Straw board in rolls		
Wrapping	. 2,385	13,225
All n. e. s		252,188
Total	. \$263,657	\$997,725

THE PREFERENTIAL TARIFF.

In the February issue of Industrial Canada is published a letter written by Mr. W. K. McNaught to the president of the Canadian Manufacturers' Association bearing upon the import trade of Canada. The occasion arises through the recently expressed intention of the Canadian Pacific Railway Co. and the Grand Trunk Railway Co. to make the American ports of Portland and Boston their terminal points on this side of the Atlantic in shipments of Canadian exports to Great Britain and Europe, and their receiving ports of imports into Canada instead of Halifax, St. John, Quebec and Montreal, all Canadian ports. These two great Canadian railways, Mr. McNaught points out, have been largely subsidized with Canadian public money; are mainly supported by Canadian traffic, and should assist as far as possible to build up instead of destroy our national seaports, and our ocean-carrying trade with the Mother Country. He points out that a possible way to circumvent this proposed action on the part of the railroads is to refuse to allow the benefit of the preferential tariff on British goods unless imported direct through Canadian ports. The letter concludes with a notice of motion to be considered at a meeting of the Executive Committee of the Association, and is as follows:-

That, in the opinion of this Association, the best interests of the Canadian people demand that the tariff preference of 33½ per cent. now given to goods of British manufacture should be allowed only upon such articles as are imported direct from Great Britain or any British colony, having preferential arrangement with this country, to some port within the Dominion.

The letter was read and discussed by the Executive Committee and referred to the Railway and Transportation Committee for report thereon, and this report was to form the basis for further discussion at the next Executive meeting. In this way, we are told, the opportunity will be given for the fullest discussion of the subject by all who may be interested, either as importers or exporters "who make use of the facilities afforded by the railway and steamship lines, both to Canadian and United States ports." Any members of the Association who entertain views on the subject are invited to send them to the Committee before the matter is passed upon by the general Association.

Mr. McNaught's suggestion has received considerable attention, both inside and outside the Association, meeting with support from some and opposition from others. It was intended to appeal to a feeling of patriotism which, if attempted to be carried out, would prove to be an impossible thing—force a majority of the import trade of Canada into a channel not entirely suitable for it, and to put a retraint upon it which, for obvious reasons, would, we think, work disastrously to the best interests of the country. The trouble is that the railways discriminate in their freight charges against Canada and in favor of the United States, and it is not in the power of Parliament to prevent it, as long as their management and control resides in corporations and not in Parliament. The roads should be nationalized. There can