### YEAS:

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., Alexandre Dufresne, Esq., and Jean Baptiste Mongenais, Esq.

## NAYS:

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., and Hon. L. S. Morin.

# 13TH MARCH, 1863.

Hon. L. S. Morin moved, That Mr. Ramsay be sworn as a witness, to give evidence in the matter of the Verchères Contested Election.

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., moved, in amendment,

That, inasmuch as two witnesses have already been sworn, and their examination is still progressing, Mr. Ramsay be not now sworn.

#### YEAS:

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., and Alexandre Dufresne, Esq.

#### NAYS:

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., The Hon. L. S. Morin, and Jean Baptiste Mongenais, Esq.

The main motion was then put and adopted, on the following division:-

## YEAS:

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., The Hon. L. S. Morin, and Jean Baptiste Mongenais, Esq.

#### NAYS:

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., and Alexandre Dufresne, Esq.,

Hourable L. S. Morin moved, That the declaration under oath made by Mr. Ramsay, before the Committee, is of a nature to lead to the belief that he is in possession of information in relation to the matter of the contested election for Verchères, by means of which the Committee will arrive more easily at a just conclusion as to the merits of the question before them, and that in consequence, it be immediately ordered that Mr. Ramsay do appear before the said Committee, and that thereupon he be informed by the Chairman that his presence is required, and that it be intimated to him that for no cause whatever is he to absent himself without having previously obtained permission from the said Committee.

Louis Labreche-Viger., Esq, moved, in amendment,

That Mr. Ramsay, in the deposition he has just made under oath before this Committee, that he cannot swear whether he has any knowledge of the facts relating to the present contestation, which he can attest upon oath, the said Committe cannot direct him to remain at the disposal of the Committee, without absenting himself for any reason whatsoever.

And the amendment being put to the vote, was negatived on the following division:-

#### YEAS:

Hector Louis Langevin, Esq., The Hon. L. S. Morin, and Jean Baptiste Mongenais, Esq.

## NAYS:

Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., Alexandre Dufresne, Esq.

Moved by the Honorable Mr. Morin, That whereas it appears by the deposition of Mr. Ramsay, received before this Committee, that John Maguire, Esq., Judge of the Sessions of the Peace for the City of Quebec, is in possession of a warrant issued by a Justice of the Peace of another District, and that such warrant is endorsed by him, the said John Maguire, Esq., do appear forthwith before the Committee with the said warrant with which he is charged.

Moved in amendment by Louis Labreche-Viger, Esq., That inasmuch as it appears by the deposition of Mr. Ramsay that his presence is in no respect indispensable for the decision of the present contestation, the Committee do take no action whatever which might impede the administration of Justice.

Which amendment was negatived on the following division:-