se of the death pefore the time secured by the aid within one o the survivor. these circumnot waived his that portion of ney secured by

atherford, 565.

of land the purertain sum in tgage on other y him for anoe price, and for ir promissory given, made by ad such other ender them salg indorsed by e only of the d. Held, that ed no lien on for any portion money. Held, ll could not be ill for specific agreement for ie notes being could not exenedy being at f the contract. v. Smith, 570.

ONVEYANCE. owner of land, reement whereart of it to his nt of natural give to his fahe produce, if that this was ideration. ed conveyed to

others these premises, and their | donee put it in his power to emejectment, L's widow obtained an | will be set aside. injunction against the action. L's widow having meantime intermarried, the assignee moved to dissolve, urging that the widow's estate had determined, and that it was defeasible, and had been defeated by the testator's subsequent transfer for value under 27th Eliz. cap. 4; but the have been so received. application was, under the circumstances, refused.

Leech v. Leech, 572.

VOLUNTARY DEEDS.

A deed having been executed by a husband and wife under such circumstances as to make waste was continued at the hearthe conveyance voluntary, the ing, and it appeared that the court held that the onus was extent of the waste committed on the grantee, of proving did not exceed \$20, the court that the grantors understood the refused to direct any account, nature and effect of the deed; and left the amount of the waste and, as it did not appear to have to be dealt with in any action been explained before being exe- for mesne profits which the plain-

Fraser v. Rodney, 426.

2. To sustain a deed of gift to a person standing in a confidential relation to the donor, the donee must establish by clear evidence that the nature and effect of the deed were fully and truly explained to the donor; that he perfectly understood legacies, a sum sufficient to sethem; that he was made alive, cure an annuity of £500 per by explanation and advice, to the annum during her life should be effect and consequences of exe- invested for the use of the widow; cuting it, and that the deed was that £5,000 should be invested a willing act on his part, and for each of his four daughters, not obtained by the exercise of and that the residuary estate any of that influence which the should be divided equally among

assignee having commenced ploy: otherwise such deed of gift

Mason v. Seney, 447.

3. A voluntary grantor of real estate is not chargeable, at the suit of the objects of his bounty, for rents of such estate subsequently received by him, or which but for his neglect might

Mitchell v. Ritchey, 511.

WAIVER OF TITLE. See "Specific Performance," 7.

WASTE.

Where an injunction to stay cuted, the deed was held invalid. tiffs might be advised to bring.

Raven v. Lovelass, 435.

WILL.

(CONSTRUCTION OF.)

1. P. having an estate estimated at £60,000, by will provided that after payment of the debts and certain pecuniary confidential relationship of the the testator's three sons, J., P.