Paquette

- A It would be a benefit
- Q Abbenefit to whom?

DSOD WHY

orleges.

a romaniam.

then ages

ME SIND

m saenir

ha erew

ME COL

TILE COR

1 0

reduct

they s

de. to

hhivib

974 937

no suo

cent.

Toda

ten pe

nolf

ntete

deep,

toos

they

atus

- A To the manufacturers, and if they wanted to be fair to the employees, well, it might be a benefit to the employees
- Q Are you sure it would be a benefit to the employees?
- A Well, I remember a couple of men who have been working in the cotton mills for 25 years. When they were paying 22 per cent dividends the men were cetting 75 cents a day.
- Q Do you think that increased protection necessarily means increased wages for the employees?
- A No, I do not.
- Q The circular goes on to state "A year ago the demand for cotton goods was so great that the manufacturers were in a position to ask and to get almost any price for their productions." Is that right?
- A No.
- Q Why not?
- A They clamoured the same way over the tariff
 two years ago. They told us exactl the same thing
 as they are telling us at the present time, that
 they were not making any money.

We went to Ottawa as a delegation to get an increase in the tariff just for that purpose. Some of the manufacturers might be able to prove that, but I do not think Mr Gignac or I could prove it

W. L. Mackenzie King Papers Volume C 36