

editorial

Yesterday Jay Spark announced the SU negotiating team will not subject themselves to the rigors of a public debate with negotiators of CUPE 1368.

Although the invitation to debate made last week by Vern Barte at a meeting of the local, and conveyed through the Gateway to the SU management team, was clearly a public relations strategy designed to benefit CUPE; there is only a slim possibility CUPE would have gained the support of the majority of students if the Students' Union negotiating team had presented a fair argument.

All along they have claimed their actions were taken in the interest of the students whom they represent democratically. And yet, this refusal to permit the students to make a judgement by themselves? Another claim of "executive privilege," perhaps? And now after our interests have been served, the possibility of a strike is more real than ever.

The lockout action was taken, as the SU put it, to "avoid a potential strike in the fall which would seriously harm student services as 20,000 students return to campus" and to move the negotiators closer together.

Naturally the heavy handed action of the lockout served only to alienate the workers from management and effectively destroy any meaningful relationship which may have existed.

The pros and cons of both sides should be debated in the presence of interested students, the people our Students' Union executive is so intent on serving.

Mr. Goldberg, general manager of the students' union has said CUPE's certification as a bargaining agent for 150 part time students will result in a \$15 SU fee increase to pay for the additional wages and one or two people who will have to be hired to deal with the administrative exigencies the move will create.

Fifteen dollars represents an increase of 23 per cent as recently pointed out in a letter to the editor.

One question Mr. Goldberg: if wages for part-time employees are roughly \$30,000 per month, as CUPE's figures indicate, wages would have to nearly double to meet the \$300,000 figure quoted as a measure of the cost of CUPE's certification. An average wage for part time employees is between \$3.50 and \$4.00 per hour. Will they be \$7.00 or \$8.00 in the future. If they should double and cause SU fees to raise from \$34 to \$49, we must ask how the Students' Union managed to finance HUB and meet a deficit approaching \$1,000,000 without raising the fees by at least 80-90 per cent, let alone through no increase whatsoever.



Gateway

THE GATEWAY is the newspaper of the students of the University of Alberta. It is published by the Students Union twice weekly during the winter session on Tuesdays and Thursdays. Contents are the responsibility of the editor, opinions are those of the person expressing them. Letters to the editor on any subject are welcome, but must be signed. Please keep them short: letters should not exceed 200 words. Deadlines for submitting copy are 2 p.m. Mondays and Wednesdays. Main offices are located in Room 282, SUB for Gateway, Room 238 SUB for Media Productions. Phone 432-5168, 432-5178, 432-5750. Advertising 432-3423. Circulation 18,500.

Editor - Don McIntosh
 News - Allen Young
 Features - Brian Bergman
 Arts - Keith Layton
 Photo - Gary Van Overloop
 Shirley Glew
 Sports - Steve Hoffart
 Graphics - Mina Wong
 Advertising - Tom Wright
 Circulation - Bob Alexander
 CUP - Gerry Feehan
 Production Mary Duczynski
 Margriet Tilroe-West
 Sue Michalicka
 Judy Maslyk

STAFF: Bo Hrynshyn, David Vereschagin, Kent Blinston, Liz Jarvis, Geoffrey Toane, Delaine and Rasmussen.



Campus Security director sees changes

I am informed that a student operated group known as "Night Watch" functioned on campus during the winter and spring of 1977. It appears the purpose was to provide student patrols, composed of a female and male student, patrolling areas frequented by students during evening and night hours to deter threat of assaults and other incidences.

When I was appointed Director of Campus Security in April of this year the staff I took over numbered only 8, and it was apparent that only token security coverage was being provided.

I then arranged to employ some students for auxiliary patrol purposes. These were retained on a diminishing basis during the summer months.

Recruiting for regular Campus Security staff was commenced and we now have 26 full time staff, plus 2 watchmen and 1 auxiliary member. Of this group,

4 are ladies and by establishing sections, we have 24 hour - 7 day coverage with a minimum of 4.

members per shift, of whom at least 1 is a female.

The new Campus Security is developing an image intended to be compatible with what should prevail on a University campus such as ours. Its purpose is to provide security and assistance to the campus community, and to this end we are striving to earn community confidence. We are not a police force and we have no intention of "hassling" students. Whatever the previous image may have implied our group is here to provide service in a friendly, low key and efficient manner.

We will provide escort service to students who dislike walking alone to parking lots or darkened areas when leaving libraries or classrooms. We provide a Lost & Found service

to assist in recovering student property.

The main thrust is security. Our personnel visit and patrol areas during various shifts to provide a presence and to deter unwelcome incidents. Where practicable we will continue to employ temporary student to bolster areas of concern.

We endeavor to work harmoniously with student help with any faculty request for assistance.

It is appreciated our goals are high but not unrealistic. We intend to achieve them. We are most anxious that the restructured organization known to students and faculty as a service resource, and welcome visits and suggestions that will assist us in achieving our purpose.

Gordon P. ...
 Director

Kent State — more than a revolution

Kent State means something a little different to me. I know the campus well, having received a master's degree there in 1967. A neighbor of mine was wounded there and my friends were involved. I have a personal stake in those events and also in their interpretation.

To me, Kent stands for the incredible waste of confrontation politics. As Gordon Turtle indicated, those in power will not hesitate to use their might and they will be generally supported by the public. The events of May 1970 indicated that very clearly and the movement got the message.

Some radicals become more violent and took up bombing. They blew up others and themselves in equal numbers. Others saw social change as being best advanced by remaking their own lives into ones of beauty, honesty, and benevolence. Moreover, they supported their friends and loved ones in their attempts to build lives of elegant simplicity.

The results have been mixed. Some people have been subverted and have become participants in dehumanized systems. Others have become parts of institutions but have maintained a kind of purity and have worked to humanize their environment. Still others have built their lives in individualistic or cooperative ways outside of institutions. None, as far as I know, have met violent death as a result of their activities.

SU Services — something for all

Last Thursday nite, my friends and I (including one of the gentler sex) had occasion to view one of the SU's newest forms of student entertainment.

Can it be, that in an attempt to alleviate the air of student apathy, the Spark slate has revived streaking? I must admit, that, if so, they are going about it the wrong way.

The gentleman we encountered in the SUB courtyard

But what about social change? With its high rate of failure, violent revolution does not recommend itself. It appears that a slow and steady movement toward a humanized society offers the best possibility. Our best effort for a goal is worthwhile, seductive all about us, and the time is finite.

Jason Montgo ...
 Family Studies Director
 of Home Economics

was 6 feet, overweight, gave (no ski mask) and army haircut. To make matters worse, he had NO appropriate footwear - not even the tennis shoes.

I suggest that if they are on repeating this event, entertainment value can be enhanced by acquainting subjects with the Body Language 306 course.

A concerned student