of debatable doctrines or theoretical abstractions, is simply a knowledge of, submission to, and affection for ONE PERSON, admiring and loving Him because he is the Highest, the Greatest, and the Richest, who though so High and so Rich yet for our sake became both low and poor,—accepting the divine creed because he gave it, trusting his sacrifice because he made it, listening to his word because he uttered it, honoring his ordinances because his authority is in them and with them, loving his people because he dwells in them and reigns over them,—then we shall enjoy spiritual and scriptural union: one Zion, one Lord Jesus, one college of ambassadors, one gospel, one faith, one hope, one body or church, one Spirit, one model of christian manners, one family of the saved, one pure fountain of affection filling every heart in the holy brotherhood.

'First pure, then peaceable' and united—is not this apostolic orthodoxy, brother Dick? And is there any instrumentality in heaven above or on earth beneath ordained by our gracious Saviour to make men pure, save the one celestial message—his own message of life and love? One Redeemer, one class of inspired witnesses, one Holy Spirit animating and directing them, one gospel from these witnesses of Christ and witnesses of the Holy Spirit,—here, brother, is what may be accepted as the true remedy to purge out the malady of sin from Jew and Gentile, bond and free, high and low, and by making them pure, at the same time destroying all the roots of discord and division, bringing them together, not on the trace principle, but because they are one people, united upon the truth of heaven.

But taking for granted that the Baptist gospel was to be preached to and received by five hundred thousand people in Canada West, and the Episcopalian gospel was to be accepted by other five hundred thousand in the Province, and that there were no other professors in Western Canada except Baptists and Episcopals, and these were so far friendly that they would meet together every month—would we have christian union in Canada? No—No—No. Christian union, properly so called, is only another term for the happy oneness of men in Christ Jesus our Lord.

D. O.

MISSIONARY SOCIETIES, NO. 2.

The editor of the American Christian Review, the warm-hearted and large-souled brother Franklin, has written and published his response to the queries touching missionary societies which we proposed