

DEFEAT PLAN FOR PAVING THE STREETS

Commissioner Potts says it is the end of matter as far as he is concerned.

The plans of Commissioner Potts for the placing of permanent pavements in some of the city streets was defeated at the meeting of the City Council yesterday morning when the consideration of the application for a lease of the Gordon Hall Works property at West St. John by the Canada National Wire Co., Ltd., was put off until next Thursday.

Commissioner Potts expressed his opposition to the plan and in the case of the street pavements he made it impossible to make a bond issue.

The resolution first called for the payment of Market Square at an estimated cost of \$25,442. This was generally approved but when the question of bond issue came up Commissioner Potts pointed out that he was not just clear as to how this would affect the local improvement arrangements and he therefore opposed the bond issue.

Commissioner Potts then announced that he would not proceed further with the programme and that the matter was ended as far as he was concerned. It was decided to spend \$4,240 in improving Victoria Square.

The following resolution in regard to the nail works property was introduced by Commissioner Russell:

1.—That the Council favorably consider the issue of a lease to the Canada National Wire Company of the lots of land formerly occupied by the late John J. Gordon, situated on the corner of the intersection of the Victoria Square and Tower streets, West St. John, for the purpose of establishing a factory there for the purpose of manufacturing horse nails.

2.—Lease to be for a term of 21 years, and to contain a covenant to renew or pay for improvements at the end of the term. Such improvements not to exceed the sum of \$5,000.

3.—Rent to be \$300 per annum for the first five years, and \$500 per annum for the balance of the term.

4.—The valuation of the property to be \$10,000 for the first five years, and \$20,000 for the balance of the term. The usual water rates to be charged and collected.

5.—The company to be given the buildings now on the lots either to take down or rebuild as it may see fit.

6.—Lease to be prepared by the Recorder under the direction of the Commissioner and to contain a public covenant that the works shall be kept in operation, and such other provisions as may be found necessary for the protection of the city. The company not to assign the lease without the consent of the city.

7.—Lease to be submitted to the Council for approval before offering the same to the company for acceptance.

On considering the resolution section by section there was some opposition to the amount of the rental and other details and it was finally decided to have the matter up for consideration at the meeting on Thursday afternoon.

A by-law to restrict the placing of waste paper on any street or in the dumps was then introduced and adopted as follows:

"No person shall deposit or place any paper, either by itself or in combination with other refuse, upon any place within the city used as a dump, either public or private, under the penalty of two dollars for every such act, to be paid by the person or occupier of the house, warehouse, store, shop or other building from which such paper or other refuse containing paper shall have been brought to the dump."

Commissioner Russell suggested that a policeman be stationed at the East End baseball park during the progress of the games there.

The Council then adjourned.

HOTEL ARRIVALS.

Dufferin.

S Skidmore, Halifax; Jas. A. Brown, New York; A. Johnson, W. B. Brock, J. R. Paton, G. D. DeBella, T. B. Skidmore, Montreal; V. S. Aldrich, Windsor; F. C. Hough, Bayonne, N. J.; A. K. Weimore, Port; A. R. McKenzie, F. B. Bixby, St. Stephen; W. J. Wellwood, Ottawa; James E. Green, St. Stephen; B. E. Dunlop, Windsor; J. A. LaBlanc, Montreal; C. H. Jackson, Oxford; J. H. Barry, Port; C. W. Fidler, Halifax; A. L. Bakker, Logville; Chas. E. Ryder, Bangor; G. A. You, G. S. Biddington, Montreal; Owen Kelly, Woodstock; Albion Foster, Hartland; Harry N. Beach, Fredericton; Conn. S. L. Doolittle, St. Marys, Ont.

Victoria.

S. Cashen, Montreal; J. P. Sherbrooke, Halifax; J. M. Schneider, Montreal; E. B. Reed and wife, Chichester; C. R. Inman, Kilburn, N. H.; H. D. Porter, Andover; A. Page, Woodstock; E. R. Teed, Woodstock; John McIntosh, Glasville; Mrs. John McIntosh, Glasville; T. J. Carter, Andover; W. A. McVay, St. Stephen; Geo. O. Stratton, Moncton; John McKen, Fredericton; K. J. McKay, Baddeck; Chas. Fulton, White Head; J. E. Lauder and wife, Fredericton Junction; Master Pat Lauder, Fredericton Junction; Fred Sprout, Hampton; Geo. J. Green, McAdam; James Young, Montreal; M. Quinn, Providence, R. I.; J. H. Wallis, Brownville; Mrs. R. J. McKay, Bermuda; Miss Evelyn McKay, Bermuda; Mrs. Darrell, Bermuda; E. C. Randell, Moncton; John McKen, Fredericton; J. F. Bixby, St. Stephen; W. J. Wellwood, Ottawa; James E. Green, St. Stephen; B. E. Dunlop, Windsor; J. A. LaBlanc, Montreal; C. H. Jackson, Oxford; J. H. Barry, Port; C. W. Fidler, Halifax; A. L. Bakker, Logville; Chas. E. Ryder, Bangor; G. A. You, G. S. Biddington, Montreal; Owen Kelly, Woodstock; Albion Foster, Hartland; Harry N. Beach, Fredericton; Conn. S. L. Doolittle, St. Marys, Ont.

By order of the Board, FREDERICK WILLIAMS-TAYLOR, General Manager.

James L. Hastings, Montreal; J. H. Barry, St. John, 24th April, 1914.

Chisholm, Halifax; K. A. Brown, Fredericton.

Royal.

E. B. Willard, New York City; W. E. Trupper, Digby; Mrs. E. Hutchinson, Douglasville; Mrs. M. A. Shaw, Jennie Vaughan, Eliza Vaughan, Boston; G. B. Hart, F. G. Lomas, Montreal; Mrs. C. K. H. Starr, O'Neilville, N. S.; Paddy Starr, Wolfville, N. S.; E. J. Murphy, Boston; Chas. E. Oak, Bangor; H. A. Corbett, Fredericton; E. T. Stuchin, Moncton, Mass.; Dr. D. I. Macdonald, Sydney; J. R. Rankin, Hamilton; W. Katzman, New York City; R. H. Wood, Montreal; S. Watson, Winnipeg; J. A. Burnett, New York; A. J. Gregory, J. G. Gregory, Fredericton; W. E. Moberly, Montreal; H. F. Labatt, London; T. J. McAfferty, Fredericton; A. M. Bradford, Montreal; E. Cleveland, Vancouver; Jos. Howe Dickson, Fredericton; E. B. Almon, Montreal; W. E. Richardson, St. Stephen; A. C. Hay, F. H. Finley, W. McPhee, F. W. Culpin, Montreal; Jas. Campbell, So. Deerfield, Mass.; Murray Russell, G. W. Russell, W. C. Murray, Sydney, C. B.; W. E. Codrone, W. B. Beattie, St. Martins; C. G. Hobart, Ottawa; G. W. Korschak, Westville, N. S.; J. J. Crane, Sussex; Jas. K. Pinder, Pinder; H. Sheriff, Toronto; E. R. Davison, London; J. R. Douglas, Amherst; W. H. Kenny, H. Schacter, W. A. Tallimire, Toronto; W. H. Picketts, Annapolis, N. S.; Jas. Colborne, E. A. Courville, Montreal; Mrs. H. Green, Digby; G. Hatheway, Boston; Miss Chapman, Miss Eliza Chapman, Dorchester; P. A. Landry, Dorchester; P. Sains, Hamilton; R. J. McCusker, Montreal; J. S. Noll, Toronto; S. J. Walker, Ottawa; C. H. Fish, Boston; C. H. Norma, A. Tencer, Montreal; P. Bell, Toronto; E. H. Graham, Bangor; E. D. Neal, Windsor, N. S.; Mrs. E. M. Cronn, Calgary; W. H. Bankman, Montreal.

THE COURTS.

SUPREME COURT.

Chaicry Division.

The case of Whitcomb vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard by Mr. Justice W. R. Taylor in the chancery division. His Honor, after hearing argument, granted an injunction restraining the defendant from proceeding with the construction of their railroad across the plaintiff's lands in Queens County without building a culvert, giving the defendant, however, privilege to proceed with their work on paying the sum of \$5,000 as damages.

Mr. F. R. Taylor, for the plaintiff, contended that the defendant was not entitled to the privilege of proceeding with their work without building a culvert, and the order was made.

The case of Orchard vs. Dykeman was also heard by Mr. Justice W. R. Taylor. The case involves an accounting.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

AFTER FORTY-SIX YEARS FINDS OUT WHO STOLE FEATHER FROM HER HAT

Plumage disappeared mysteriously—Chance conversation with stranger in a store clears up mystery.

Special to The Standard.

Calais, Me., May 19.—The identity of a thief who 46 years ago stole a feather from the hat of a St. Stephen, N. B., woman, was disclosed here in a peculiar manner yesterday.

The visitor from St. Stephen came engaged in conversation with a Calais woman, whom she had never seen before. The talk drifted to feminine headgear and both were admiring a hat with a large feather in it.

"I used to like big feathers," said the St. Stephen resident, "and the best one I ever had was stolen from my hat at a butchers' ball in Lynn, Mass., just 46 years ago."

The Calais woman said, "and the returned the Calais woman, to her great astonishment. She then proceeded to relate how she had attended the butchers' ball in question with a Jim Somebody who imbibed quite freely during the evening. He went into the ladies' dressing room where his fancy was attracted by a handsome feather plume in one of the hats. He took out his pocket knife and cut off the feather, which he gave later in the evening to the Calais woman whom he escorted."

The woman told of certain happenings during the evening of the ball which convinced the St. Stephen woman that she had been there. Another strange thing in connection with the affair is that the two women parted without either of them learning the other's name.

which F. R. Taylor, for the plaintiff, consented, and the order was made. This is a suit for foreclosure of mortgage of the defendant's plant at Chamcook.

In the Chancery Court yesterday afternoon the case of the Eastern Trust Co. vs. Aspeto Soap Co. came up and was further adjourned.

The case of Orchard vs. Dykeman was also heard by Mr. Justice W. R. Taylor. The case involves an accounting.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief Justice McLeod, argument in the case of Anderson vs. the St. John and Quebec Railway Company was heard. In this case the question whether or not a Supreme Court Judge may appoint a sole arbitrator under the Railway Act is at issue. Judgment will likely be given on Friday.

Hon. W. P. Jones, K.C., appeared for the plaintiff and P. A. Guthrie for the defendant.

The regular monthly sitting of the Supreme Court Chancery Division was opened yesterday morning by Mr. Justice Grimmer presiding. The case of the Victoria Lumber Company vs. Edgar M. Reed came up for hearing.

Before Chief