A Number of Witnesses for the Defence Examined.

Law Strictly Enforced Under the Present Inspector - Mr. Vincent Testifies on His Own Behalf.

The investigation into the charges made against Geo. R. Vincent, license inspector of St. John county, by the citzens of Lancaster and St. Martins, accusing him of dereliction of duty and of knowingly permitting open violation of the act, was opened by Hon. L. J. Tweedie in the local government office, Imperial building, at 10 o'clock Wednesday morning. W. H. Trueman appearing for the petitioners and C. N. Skinner, Q. C., for the defendant. The first witness, Counciller A. L.

Fownes, being sworn, stated that he had conversations with Mr. Vincent concerning the enforcement of the act as far back as a year and a half ago. He then drew his attention to the prevailing dissipation at St. Martins mentioned special cases of drunkenness to him, and offered witnesses to prove his assertions, but nothing had resulted. Intoxication in St. Martins was now much more prevalent than under the last sub-inspector, Lewis, who had been dismissed by Mr. Vincent. It was particularly noticeable among the young, especially of the laboring class. Witness had complained to present Sub-Inspector Mosher that he was not doing his duty, told him of places where liquor was Kennedy's hotel. Mosher told him Kennedy had promised to stop selling. Witness said that under the administration of Sub-Inspector Lewis more noticeable since his dismissal and the appointment of Mosher. Regarding the former's displacement, Mr. Vincent had stated that Lewis was insubordinate and acted beyond his Cross-examined by Mr. Skinner, wit-

village about half of his time. He had never investigated personally instances of liquor selling. Had seen groups of young men in a boisterously intoxicated condition three or four times in the past six months. Had no nett himself was called. direct personal knowledge of liquor selling at Kennedy's. He professed kept a saloon in Fairville, had no lione in St. Martins who habitually kept charges against anyone. He had never against Mr. Vincent; he only wanted often asked him for information concerning temperance affairs in St. Martins. He had no knowledge of infringements of the act outside St. made to the inspector by anyone but himself. Mr. Vincent had never asked him for names of witnesses. The next witness, Rev. S. H. Corn-

more than 16 years of age, under alco- ings. holic influence five or six times during Albert Taylor, Fairville, that time. The law was better enforced one and a half years ago than at present. Liquor was generally supposed to be sold at Kennedy's hotel. Did not know that Mosher was unfriendly to the temperance people. Cross-examined, he said he was a

past nine months his meetings had four times, and on one occasion disturbers had been arrested and sentenced to 30 days. It was possible for private persons to import liquor. As a whole St. Martins was a temperate Inspector Mosher lived too far out of that he did not do. town. Had never handed in any names of probable witnesses to Mr. Vincent, and knew it was a difficult thing to strictly enforce the law, but thought it was the inspector's duty to look up ishes about Mr. Vincent had been conflicting, some complaining that he was too strict. Had nothing against him personally, but wanted law en-

Edwin Lewis, constable and late sub-inspector, was then called and sworn. Said he had acted under Mr. Vincent about five years, and had been dismissed about May, 1899. While in office had laid several informations, about half of which had resulted in conviction. There was less drunkenness in St. Martins then than now. Shortly after laying these informations against Kennedy in April a year ago he was dismissed and left the cases in the hands of the inspector. He had never heard that anything further had been done with them. In conversation with Mr. Vincent since he had told him that the act was viclated in St. Martins, and that he sold liquor. He had never been given any reason for his dismissal. As far as he knew Kennedy's was the only place in St. Martins where liquor was procurable. He had not seen Mr. Vincent in St. Martins for over two years. While he was inspector he had personal knowledge of only one vessel landing liquor at St. Martins.

Mr. Vincent was away L. A. Currey enough.

letters of a reproving nature A letter Vas produced dated April 5th, '99, fr'om witness to Mr. Vincent and speaking of information against Kenned', but giving no names of witesses. Mr. Lewis claimed that inform ation and names were sent later, but subsequently he stated that he coald not swear whether they were ent before or after that date. He did not remember getting any letter from the inspector asking for further information in the cases. Had never tried to act out of the control of the inspector, nor had he asked anyone to prosecute the cases without consulting him. Once when Mr. Vincent was away and the people were complaining of laxity in enforcing the law, he had asked the late clerk of the county court if it was his duty to prosecute, and on hearing the law in the matter from Mr. Currey he had not moved again in the matter.

Re-examined, he stated that Mr. Vincent had never complained personally to him. There was no friction between them, and Mr. Vincent had never complained of lack of informa-Once Mr. Currey had written for names of witnesses in a certain case, which he did not furnish, as he Had doubted his right to do so. never been directed not to proceed with the enforcement of the act. Had never been asked for further information about the three charges he had made against Kennedy before being

dismissed. Cross-examined again, he said that Mr. Vincent early in his term had ordered him to see that the law was strictly carried out. Between 1887 and 1894, when there was a licensed saloon in St. Martins, he remembered of no prosecutions, although liquor was openly sold without license.

Jacob S. Titus, the next witness, testified to the growing prevalence of drunkenness among the young men of rumored to be sold, and offered to pro- St. Martins during the past year or so, cure witnesses against Kennedy of and stated that the temperance people were dissatisfied with the way the law was being enforced at present.

H. B. Allingham, J. P., of Fairville, being then called and sworn, said that the village was practically free from he had conversed with Mr. Vincent drunkenness, which had been much last September concerning the prosecutions under the act. He had objected especially to the place kept by Mary Morris, and the inspector had answered in an offhand manner that he didn't think she was doing much harm, and had taken no action. He did not know personally of her selling ness stated that he was around the liquor, but had seen those whom he knew to be drinking men going in and out. He started to relate a conversation with John Barnett, policeman of Fairville, concerning Mr. Vincent, but the commissioners objected, and Bar-

He testified that Mary Morris, who himself a prohibitionist. Knew of no cense to sell liquors. He had acced - and sub-inspector for a year and a half. liquors in his house. In conversation , Had a conversation with Mr. Vincent with Mr. Vincent he made no definite regarding Mary Morris shortly after his appointment, in the course of which sent any names to the inspector of the inspector told the witness to leave those seen intoxicated except once, her case with him. At this time he mentioning a conversation between had actual knowledge of liquor being himself and one of his men, who had kept at this place, and never heard of told him of procuring liquor at Ken- any information laid by Mr. Vincent nedy's. Vessels come into St. Martins against her. He did not remember of at all seasons, and it was possible that Mr. Vincent stating his reluctance of liquor was brought in by some of sending her to jail was his reason for them. Personally he had no grievance not proceeding. Had some crouble with the inspector concerning some the law enforced. Mr. Vincent had bills which he could not get paid, but admitted in cross-examination that they were not properly made out and that Mr. Vincent offered to pay them if he would get them certified by a Martins, nor of any representations magistrate. Outside of the Morris case the inspector had expressed a strong disposition to enforce the law, and all the witnesses' informations had been laid at his special direction. With this wall, Baptist minister at St. Martins one exception the law in Lancaster is for the past four years, testified as to strictly enforced. He gave two or an increase of dissipation in the vill- three names of citizens of Fairville age during the past nine months. Had who had expressed themselves as disseen groups of young men, some not satisfied with Mr. Vincent's proceed-

sworn, stated he was sub-inspector during the winter of '98-'99. In a conversation with reference to Mary Morris shortly after his appointment the inspector had stated that she had just been fined: that he would see her himself in a few days, as he did not strong temperance man. During the want to send her to jail. Twice afterward he had made the same state been disturbed by drunks three or ment, but no action had been taken. Mary Malone, another elderly woman, had been convicted and fined meanwhile. Excepting the Morris case, he thought Mr. Vincent had enforced the act in Fairville faithfully and well, community. He thought that Sub- and that nothing more could be done

Re-examined, he said he did not know to whom Mary Morris' fine mentioned above had been paid. Mr. Allingham asked for subpoenas for Mary Morris, Fred Appleby, Fred evidence. Reports from other par- Kimball, W. A. Reid, W. A. Smith, Cyrus Kingston, Charles Quinton and Justice Cheeseman.

The commission investigating the charges against Inspector Vincent resumed at 10 o'clock Thursday morning. Alliston Bentley, lumberman, of St. Mantins, was called and sworn, Said he employed a large number of men. Had lived in the village three years. He was a member of the plebiscite committee which had waited upon Mr. Mosher and discussed the question of the illicit sale of liquor in St. Martins. Mr. Fownes, also on the committee at this time, told the subinspector he could produce witnesses that liquor was sold at Kennedy's. The matter of sending the memorial to the government was discussed and Mr. Mosher made no objection, but stated he was willing to enforce the act if he could procure convicting evidence. knew or could prove that Kennedy Witness said act was not properly enforced in the parish, nor had it been for a year or so. He testified to the prevalence of drinking and to the general complaint among the temperance people of the laxity in the enforcement of the law.

Cross-examined-Was personally acquainted with about one-quarter of the people in the parish, most of night, but never saw anyone go in or Cross-examined, he said it was whom were temperance men. He could out in an intoxicated condition. He difficult to obtain information con- not say that some of them did not cerning secret violations of the act, keep liquor in their houses. Did not and that liquor could be brought in think that all the liquor drunk in St. by vessels without discovery. He Martins was procured at the drug had never bought liquor at Kennedy's store, but some of it might. He did nor had he seen any sold there. When not think the inspector was energetic If he could see the officers had taken charge of prosecutions when active in laying information he would the witness was sub-inspector. He did say the act was being enforced, even not remember making charges with- if he still saw evidence of drinking. out informing the inspector or getting If prosecutions were made and failed cent.

he would be willing to pay his share of the costs. He did not personally know of any representations being made directly to Mr. Vincent.

MARY MORRIS

of Fairville, the next witness, said she owned a saloon at Fairville. liquor license up to two years ago, when a renewal was refused. Had a beer license since then. Did not know why the liquor license was refused. When the license was discontinued had quite a stock of liquors on hand, which continued to sell without a license. was particular to whom she ga liquor and never sold to anyone under the influence. Sold much more a and soft beer than anything else. The commission here adjourned un-

AFTER RECESS tle brandy or whisky in stock. expiration of her license she had it extended for six months, three months at a time, and applied no further for liquor license. Mr. Vincent told her twice to stop selling liquor while she had no license. Durng the intermission between the expiration of her yearly license and the first extension for three months she sold no liquor. She saw Mr. Vincent very seldom Had last talked with him over three months ago, when he warned her to stop selling liquor until she could procure a license. Had seen him once before this year concerning her license. Had given him \$25 for her last three months' liquor license and thought she got a receipt for it.

Mr. Vincent stated that \$25 was the price of a three months' extension of a liquor license in Fairville. Witness said this was the last she had paid She had had no conversation with Mr. Vincent since the morning session.

Cross-examined-She kept liquors she had out of the public view. Here the prosecution rested their

THE DEFENCE

called David Burgess of Fairville their first witness. He testified that he was sub-inspector for that district for two years, up to end of 1895, under Mr. Vincent, who had always directed him to see strictly to the enforcement of the act in all its particulars. When he was appointed there were thirteen unlicensed saloons, and when he left there were only three, the proprietors of which had often been fined but still persisted in the business. In all of his instructions from the inpector no reserve was made for any case. Had often received information from the inspector himself. After his resignation as sub-inspector he performed some of the duties while acting as constable, and during this time acted under the instruction of Mr. Vincent. Thought the inspector was too strict, if anything, in enforcing the law. Before Mr. Vincent's appointment Fairville was in such a state that life and property were not changed under his administration. Cross-examined-Said he ceased to

ector four years ago GEORGE E. MOSHER,

called and sworn, said he was sub-inspector for St. Martins. Was appointed in May, 1899. At the time of his of intemperance and rowdyism preappointment he received a letter from Mr. Vincent directing him to firmly carry out the law. The letter was produced, together with another from the inspector to the witness reprimanding him for negligence in the discharge of his duty. He had twice searched Kennedy's premises and looked for evidence as to his violation of the law with no avail. His official report to Mr. Vincent for 1899 was produced and read, stating that there was comparatively little drunkenness in St. Martins at that time, and in the few cases where suspicion pointed to violation of the law he had been unable to obtain any evidence sufficient to convict. As far as he could ascertain most of the intoxication noticed was due to liquor procured at the drug store and brought in by vessels. The report he sent was written, but it was returned to him by the inspector in typewritten form for signing. Afterwards he said he could not swear that the report had been sent m its original form to Mr. Vincent, as it was written at his dictation and mailed by another party. Had tried to do his duty and investigated cases reported to him. Concerning the committee that waited on him he said that Coun. Fownes has declared that he could oring proof against Kennedy. He had previous conversation with Mr. Fownes on the street to the same effect, when a man was mentioned as a probable witness. He saw this man, who said he could not swear to any violation. Another man whom Mr. Fownes had mentioned was ten miles out of the village at the time, and he did not see him. A short time ago Mr. Vincent had asked him to return all the letters he had written to him, and he had done so.

Some of these letters from Mr. Vincent to the witness were produced and read, strongly urging hm to stamp out the nuisance by every legitimate means. Had never received any instructions of a contrary nature from

Mr. Vincent. Cross-examined-Said he lived out of the town about one and a half miles. If anyone sold liquor in St. Martins he would think it was Kennedy. Was in the village about once a day, but not so often in the neighborhood of Kennedy's. Mr. Vincent had not been in St. Martins for some time. Witness had made efforts to enforce the law. but could get no evidence. Cases had been reported to him, but investigation showed no positive ground for the reports. He never was given the name of a person as a witness except in the once case mentioned above. Had occasionally seen persons the worse of liquor and had tried to find out where it had been procured, but could not obtain convicting proof. Had after watched outside Kennedy's at had asked some of those whom he saw intoxicated where they got their liquor, but never got any satisfactory information against anyone. He was generally told that it was brought in by the stage or otherwise. Had never seen any liquor in Kennedy's and never drank any intoxicating liquor there. He had never any idea of signing the memorial against Mr. Vin-Coun. Fownes never stated to

him directly that one McLeod got liquor at Kennedy's or that he was prepared to swear to it, although he had mentioned the fact of him having liquor. He did not follow it up because he did not think McLeod was a reliable man. His opinion was that there was as much liquor in St. Martins under the inspectorship of Mr. Lewis as his own. A delegation of women had waited at his house, but he was away, and outside of the committee mentioned above he had heard ary little complaint. He made a ugh search of Kennedy's hotel, sund no trace of liquor. Could

y whether the annual report

entioned above was signed by him

he before it was first sent to Mr.

ws-examined-He talked with Mr. ment only once since May last, and the examination of Mary Morris was then the inspector had inquired about resumed. She said she kept very lit- the conditions in St. Martns. He had On often searched for evidence against those suspected. Under the law thought that he had done all that he could possibly do, as he did not want to lay complaints without proof sufficent for conviction. Mr. Skinner then read the list of names signed to the memorial against Mr. Vincent, and with two or three exceptions the prosecution of his duty. witness said none of these had complained to him personally. Numbers of people went into Kennedy's to use the telephone, which was the only one in the village. The stage also goes and comes from this place, and brings a good many parcels of the nature of which he could not be sure.

> The Vincent investigation commission sat again Friday at 10 o'clock.

Capt. R. Rawlings, the first witness called, said he was special police at the Bay shore from 1894 to 1898 inclusive. Had searched places in collusion with Mr. Vincent, and in some cases had found liquor and secured convictions. He was appointed shortly after Mr. Vincent, and then liquor selling along the Bay shore was very common. The inspector always instructed him to vigorously carry out the law. He had done so to the best of his ability, and as a result had known of no selling there since 1898. Had been sent by Mr. Vincent on

several special expeditions. Cross-examined--During his administration liquor on the Bay shore was practically stamped out. He did not find it extraordinarily difficult to en- first year of office there were seven force the law. Could not say whether every case he reported to the inspector was prosecuted or not. In some cases which he had reported he had not been called upon to testify, but could not say they were not prosecuted.

Mr. Skinner here stated that all these cases were prosecuted, and at 1898. Had hever been censured by from anyone else. istration and had never laid any insafe, which condition was entirely formation before Mr. Allingham against Mr. Vincent. He went to Mr. Allingham once to inquire if proceedare beings against certain ing pushed by Mr. Vincent, and found

that they had. Dr. J. H. Grey of Fairville, called and sworn, testified to the condition vailing there when Mr. Vincent was first appointed and to the change evident now. Had no personal knowledge of recent violations and had heard no great dissatisfaction ex-

pressed concerning Mr. Vincent. Cross-examined-Had heard occasional rumors of liquor selling in Fairville.

Re-examined-Had never given Mr. Vincent any information that would lead him to discover who the violators were.

Joseph Lee, councillor for Simonds, was the next witness. Had no complaint, nor had he heard of any in his parish concerning the enforcement of the act by Mr. Vincent. Was a decided temperance man, and thought the law was well administered. Knew of no one selling without license. Cross-examined-Knew nothing of

the condition of St. Martins or Lancaster. Wm. Skillen, J. P., of St. Martins, being examined, said he had extensive knowledge of the whole parish. During the last five years there had been less liquor sold in St. Martins than ever before, judging by the amount brought in in cases, etc. Was at Kennedy's hotel a good part of the time. Had never seen any evidence of liquor there, nor did he know of any being sold. A clause in Kennedy's lease prohibited the sale of Mentioned liquor on the premises. several cases of violators who had been driven out under Mr. Vincent. People frequently brought liquor in for private use by the stage, etc. St. Martins was substantially a sober

past year which could warrant an inspector laying a complaint. Cross - examined : - Sub-Inspector Lewis had ofter lodged information in cases where he had no evidence, but had sometimes obtained convictions. Had often seen packages of liquor on the stage, but never saw a whole case. Had only seen two men intoxicated in St. Martins in the past year or two, but had seen these men drunk several times. Thought that those who signed the memorial stating that the law was not enforced were either mistaken or had not read the memori-

al. Considerable liquor was procured at the drug store. Re-examined:-Had no knowledge of any liquor sold in St. Martins. A number of the names on the memorial were employes of Coun. Fownes. One man told him he had never read memorial before he signed it.

Cross-examined again:-Could say that more than four or five on the ist were employes of Coun. Fownes. The report of Mr. Mosher, spoken of yesterday, he had taken at Mr. Mosher's dictation, and had it typewritten and sent it to Mr. Vincent. James Ready, brewer, of Fairville, was called as the next witness and testified to the great improvement in the condition of the town under the inspectorship of Mr. Vincent. Did not personally know of any unlicensed Did not think selling at the present. the law could be better enforced by anybody. Policeman Barnett had not told him that the inspector instructed to let Mary Morris alone.

stood that her license was not renewed because she was too old. Robert Hennessy, constable, called and sworn, said : He was sub-inspec-

tor under Mr. Vincent for two years and had been instructed by him to enforce the law rigidly. Mentioned several places he had been the means of breaking up. He did not know of any unlicensed places in the parish at present. After Miss Morris's license was taken away, he had warned her at Mr. Vincent's instruction to stop selling and she told him she had done

Cross-examined:-Had seen liquor in Mary Morris's place since her license was taken away. Inspector had directed him to find out if Mary Morris sold and asked him to get evidence. but he had told the inspector he could not do so. He was friendly to Miss Morris and did not want to get her into trouble.

William Amos of the Bay shore police, called and sworn, said that Mr. Vincent held him responsible for the protection of the shore from unlicensed selling. He had fulfilled his duties and had stopped all violations. Had never been directed by the inspector to make any exceptions in the The commission here adjourned till

2:20 p. m.

AFTERNOON SESSION.

The first witness after recess was Joshua Knight of Musquash. He said he had been a member of the license board for four years. There had been a great improvement in his parish since the appointment of Mr. Vincent. Only liquor now used there was brought in from outside. Had heard no complaint against Mr. Vincent in his district. Thought he had performed his duties thoroughly outside of the Morris case, of which he had no personal knowledge.

Cross-examined:-There was no subinspector in Musquash. Mr. Vincent had not been in Musquash for a year. Thought inspector should visit outlying districts in the discharge of his

Alexander Johnson of Simonds, called and sworn, said he was sub-inspector under Mr. Vincent's predecessor. Affairs were then in a very bad state and during that time there was only one conviction made. He continued in office two years under Mr. Vincent, and during the present inspector's convictions. The inspector gave him every assistance and always urged him to enforce the law. Knew personally of no illicit selling at present in the parish of Simonds and had only heard of one man being suspected. Thought Mr. Vincent had carried out his duty conscientiously.

Cross-examined:-Did not think Mr. the proper time he would produce the Vincent's duties outside the inspecrecords to that effect. Had had no torship hindered him in the discharge official trouble with Mr. Vincent, Had of those duties. Had heard no comnot been sent to St. Martins since | plaints from St. Martins people not

Mr. Vincent for criticising his admin-. In the absence of some of the other witnesses for the defence Mr. Vincent himself was called, and being sworn said: He was inspector for St. John During 1899 he heard no complaint Co. under the Liquor License Act of 1896. At the time of his appointment in 1894 he found a warge amount of illicit sale of liquor in St. Martins, He then told Barnett to wait until he and under his instructions several in-1894. He enumerated the cases. During this year there was considerable complaint in St. Martins, and he had traffic. He had continued to do the summonses ready, when she came over same ever since. With reference to next day weeping bitterly and pleadhe had handed in before his dismissal, he claimed he had written to him for more information on the subject and received none. These were the only informations ever sent him by Lewis, who never would act under his directions but was insubordinate. Lewis told him once after his dismissal he thought Kennedy was selling liquor, but offered no satisfactory evidence. Immediately after this witness had written to Sub-Inspector Mosher to thoroughly investigate the matter. He constantly enquired of persons from that district concerning conditions there. Coun. Fownes never mentioned the matter of enforcement to him except on being questioned. Once in response to a request Mr. Fownes said he suspected Kennedy and offered a man named McLeod, whom he stated might be got to give evidence, as he used to work there, and had told him of handling liquor cases. Mr. Fownes did not say that McLeod could prove the sale of liquor. To illustrate to Mr. Fownes the difficulty of obtaining convictions he mentioned to him a case which was brought before him before Justice Allingham when the witness he had relied on had decamped and others he had summoned would swear to nothing of a convicting nature, so he was unable to prove town. Had seen nothing during the his case, and in spite of protest Allingham had given judgment against him with costs. He was now defending a case brought by the defendant in this case against him for malicious prosecution. In view of this, he told Mr. Fownes that he would prosecute

> against Kennedy, but offered no witnesses. Witness had often received anonymous letters from all over the country, but the case mentioned in evidence yesterday was the only one he

if he could get reliable information,

but would not do so on rumor, as

prosecutions in St. Martins were very

expensive and he must be reasonably

sure of his case. In another conver-

sation Mr. Fownes had mentioned

that there was strong suspicion

had taken any notice of. In the parish of Simonds at the time of his appointment a large amount of liquor was sold. Had done all he could to remedy this condition, and thought he had succeeded. During the first year of his in-

12 or 14 persons out of the business. kept a very orderly place and under- He always instructed his officers to of the game, he rode



## The Dainty

White Things

that are washed with SURPRISE Soap-a little Surprise Soap and still less labor-are not only clean but un-

You want the maximum wear out

of your clothes. Don't have them

ruined by poor soap-use pure soap.

SURPRISE is a pure hard Soap.

strictly enforce the act. With reference to Mary Morris, he said she had a license when he was appointed. In 1898 the board refused to grant her a license but gave her an extension for three months. She had asked for another, but he would not grant it, and threatened her with prosecution if she did not stop selling. She said she was poor and could not live without the business. Still he told her he would have to prosecute her if she did not stop. She promised to do so.

In November of the same year he received information that she still continued. He visited her and told her he was going to make an information and that she must stop. He made out the information, and before he handed it in she came to him and paid the fifty dollars fine and begged not to be taken into court. Upon Mr. Allingham's recommendation he appointed Albert Taylor sub-inspector. He gave him a list of liquor and beer licenses, and gave him strict instructions to prosecute all violators, and made no exception. The assertion that he excepted Mary Morris was absolutely untrue. He told Taylor he wanted to stop her selling, but would rather do it without putting her in jail. Taylor never reported Mary Morris during his term of office. About a week ago, in consequence of a rumor, he called on Mary Morris and asked her if she had ever paid Taylor any hush money. She said she did. Had paid him \$19 altogether. against her till fall, when Officer Barnett expressed a suspicion that she was selling, but could offer no proof. himself could see Miss Morris and formations were laid, and convictions, talk with her. Had told him nothing secured in three of the cases during more concerning her. A couple of days after he went again to Mary Morris and accused her of selling. She denied the allegation at first, but spent much time there and done all finally admitted it. He then made out that lay in his power to put down the an information against her and got the three informations Mr. Lewis said ing not to be dragged into court. She then paid him a fine of \$60. In November next he went over again and saw liquor and proceeded to make out information, but this time again she pleaded with him so pitifully that he had not the heart to bring her before the court, so he fined her \$60 again, \$30 of which she paid a few days later, the balance being still owing. All these fines had been deposited to the credit of the government. During September last Barnett produced a bill for services in the case mentioned above, which had-been dismissed by Allingham. He asked him to return it to the magistrate to be certified, but Barnett refused, and also refused to have anything more to do with the enforcement of the act. Witness pointed out to him his duty to do so, but he said he would not, as his salary as inspector had not been paid. Afterwards the bills were paid by witness without certificates. The next winter Hennessy was appointed policeman for Fairville when Barnett was ill, and witness instructed him to report to him every violation of the act, especially mentioning Miss Mor-Hennessy never reported any violations. Later, about last April, witness personally searched Mary Morris' place, but found no intoxicating liquor. Afterwards Hennessy searched the place, and witness did so again, all with no avail. He had

tried to bring outside influence to bear upon her to stop. His instructions to the Bay shore police officers were also very strict. When he was away Mr. Currey acted for him with the sanction of the council. In taking fines from Mary Morris there was no understanding that these fines sufficed for a license. Mr. Skillen was here recalled and said some of those who had signed the petition had asked him if it was contrary to law for the mail driver to carry liquor, and he had replied in the negative, which decision was confirmed by the post office inspector. The mail driver was Jas. Kennedy of Kennedy's hotel.

A GALLANT DEED. Correspondent Robinson of the Daily Telegraph relates the following as in his opinion one of the finest instances of courage and readiness shown in the South

African war:
"It happened this way. A party of Rim
ington scouts were galloping back, hoth
ington scouts were galloping back, when
were the companied by a large body of Boers, when ed. During the first year of his inspectorship he had secured 28 convictions, during the second 45, and during the last year 25. In the parish of Musquash in 1894 and 1895 there was much disorder and many complaints. He sent officers there and brought prosecutions, and today there is no liquor being sold there as far as he knew.

In the parish of Lancaster at his appropriate the proper of the parish of Lancaster at his appropriate the first and throwing the man some twenty variety like a stone from a catapulit, but variety had been a stone from a catapulit, but variety like a stone from a catapulit, but appointment drunkenness was very apparent and the streets were dangerous. Took vigorous steps to enforce the act, and in this one parish forced dead, and recovered. I think such a deed as that done in cold blood, is hard to beat, as that, done in cold blood, is hard to beat, for, as all horsemen know by all the rules

Sustaining Selling Ci on a The Text of Chief Justic Judges Unan

LORD'S

Court

In re Greene

Decision

was convicted gistrate of S cigars on a Su conviction was visions of sec passed in April legislature, an volved in this that act, or a of it, is ultra lature. The a the Lord's under which as follows: Lord's Day, sell, or public or offer for any goods, o property or ever or do labor, busines ary calling her majesty's selling drugs works of nece ed)." The ac provisions to now to refer of the act are or real estate licly offered Sunday: (2) labor, busine done on Sund cepted from and (3) to amusement The main validity of tioned is tha question, but vires, becaus with the crin which that to No. 27, of th Act, and wh right of legis to the federa years prior to the enacti lating to the minion parlis part of the force in this Revised Stat Against Relig sections. penalty of any meeting officiating second provi of five days'

of N. B. h neither is t dominion i that section wider area which the place. The this provin cap. 5) is er profanation monly calle pression changes we Wm. IV., Vic., cap. 2 solidated th day. These ed, one un turbing relig other under ing the Lore Revised Sta simply a re sections in form, and se confederatio The princi us against t provisions u was made

desecrating

ing, gaming

ing, drinking

houses or b

necessity or

first of these

seded by don

C., chap. 156

and 173) and

stands, the

clergyman

vice, and v

ating in su

offences and

imprisonmen

of public wo

able in st

penalty not

lars). Sec.

inal matter, of the crim and made R. S. And mate result profamation the "Crimir ing of that 91, No. 27, therefore o which a prodeal. And duce a sin fact that th by virtue to the crim in the way as to interf and with c of their against reli a part of th 144 R. S.; a be taken as the federal remainder of the pro provincial vas good 1

ed by that

the provinc

Sunday pro

of confedera