

The Toronto World

FOUNDED 1881.
A Morning Newspaper Published Every Day in the Year.
WORLD BUILDING, TORONTO.
Corner James and Richmond Streets.
TELEPHONE CALLS.
Main 3302—Private Exchange Connecting all Departments.
Readers of the World will confer a favor upon the publishers if they will send information to this office of any news stand or railway train where a Toronto paper should be sold and where The World is not offered.

BUSINESS MEN APPROVE.

In the overwhelming approval of the business men of the city it is to be found the best answer to the objections of opponents of the Bloor-street viaduct and the tube railway system. Those who oppose these plans are persons identified with the electric and street railway corporations and their friends. Among those who have told The World of their approval of the viaduct are John Pritchard, president of the Firstbrook Box Co.; ex-mayor Thomas Quinlan; Miss Vokes; S. R. Kennedy of the Kennedy Hardware Co.; A. E. Kirkpatrick, U. S. Fidelity and Guaranty Co.; S. R. Hart, Hart & Jidell; J. D. McArthur, J. D. Sloan, the wholesale grocer; Andrew Wilson, wholesale cigar; Chas. Murray, the W. A. Murray Co.; Wm. Dineen, of the W. & D. Dineen. These are only representative of the great body of opinion in the city which the corporation and little village press is striving to override.

LITTLE EVA'S WAY.

Here is Little Eva's way of putting it: "The underground railway scheme we do not believe in. We do not expect to see a tube system operating here for many years—no matter what Controller Hocken says, and no matter what offers on behalf of unnamed clients, firms of lawyers may address to the mayor. But the tube talk seems to have its uses. It is said that it caused Mr. Fleming to shiver. He certainly looked up, as if he found himself sitting in a draft. And he spoke as if from a desire to confound opinion outdoors. If talking about tubes can produce a shivering on the part of the manager of the Street Railway Co., or can cause William Macdonald to turn aside from the problem of bridging the Dominion with steam railways to the near problem of making the quarried and neglected car service of Toronto what he is under obligation to make it—an adequate service—then the talk will have served a good purpose."

This effort to appease the people who see the obvious advantage of a tube system for Toronto, and to sandbag the scheme at the same time in the interests of the street railway constituency is thoroughly characteristic of The Star. Of course it is duplicity, and two-facedness, and double-dealing and the people see thru it. But The Star fancies that a great many people will be deceived. Besides this, such an attitude soothes the Little Eva conscience.

TUBE ARGUMENT UNANSWERABLE.

So obvious are the tube arguments that not even the Street Railway Company organs have the temerity to oppose them. Brief and terse are the hearts of the directorate ensconced at the junction of King and Church. They wanted to build that tube system themselves. It would have been a fine adjunct to an extended franchise. As an adjunct to an expropriation scheme, it is nothing but a tribulation to the gentlemen of the directorate. They want the people to believe that it would be a tribulation for the city if a tube system were built to bring the citizens in from the suburbs in 15 or 20 minutes.

But to be really effective a tube system must be linked with the surface system, and to have that the city must expropriate the present franchise or franchises. Then for one fare the citizens may travel from any one point inside the city limits to any other point, instead of having to pay three fares as is now sometimes necessary.

GERMANY AND THE BRITISH ELECTION.

No country will await the verdict of the British electorate at the approaching general election with so intense, indeed feverish, anxiety as Germany. The United Kingdom is Germany's best customer, taking over 20 per cent. of its total exports, chiefly, sugar, woolens, woodens, cottons, silk, stuffs, leather goods, Billet and pig iron and from goods. Britain only supplies, however, 11.1-2 per cent. of Germany's imports, the principal items being coal, yarns, gold, hides, India rubber, salted herrings, raw silver and wool. Thus, while Germany's exports to Britain are mainly manufactured articles, which will be subject to duty should tariff reform win, its imports from Britain are mainly the coal and raw material needed by its manufacturers. Germany cannot, therefore, but suffer severely by any change in the fiscal system of the United Kingdom.

During the war of reclamation that raged between British and German newspapers and magazines, frequent reference was made to the trade relations of the two nations. In an article appearing not long ago, Dr. Gerhardt von Schulze-Gaevernitz, pro-rector of the University of Freiburg, admitted that the English market is to-day more important to Germany than the German market is to Great Britain. Another German contributor to the discussion went further and declared it to be so important that Germany, if she were ready, would even take extreme measures to prevent it from being closed.

Germany, like Britain, is now preponderantly a manufacturing and exporting nation, and cannot afford to hinder the influx of coal and raw products so that her retaliatory power in a tariff war is considerably crippled by the nature of the trade exchange. A British Conservative Government is also certain to accelerate the naval increase necessary to maintain British sea predominance, and these two considerations render German interest in the pending general election peculiarly intimate and active.

TORONTO TEACHERS AND THE SUPERANNUATION SCHEME.

Considerable opposition has been aroused to the proposal having for its object the establishment of a superannuation scheme in connection with the Toronto school teaching staff. It has been exhibited chiefly over the request by the board of education for information similar to that required by insurance companies, and quite a number of teachers, not all of the female persuasion, have declined to furnish the data required. While the object of the scheme is in itself laudable, several arguments, none without reason, are advanced against the formation of a sectional superannuation system, such as one limited to Toronto would certainly be. Nor has it been made clear whether the plan, should it be formulated, is to be imperative or optional only or whether it contemplates the case of those who are already making provision either by way of government annuity or ordinary insurance policy.

Among the objections urged are that the Ontario Government is engaged in considering a superannuation scheme applicable to the whole province; that the proposal does not provide for the probable contingency of teachers either removing from Toronto for outside schools or abandoning the profession for other than physical disability, in which events, it is said, they will have no right to the refund of their contributions. A government scheme, it is represented, would permit of transfers from any school in the province to another and would in addition give the privilege of continuing in it irrespective of a change in the character of the employment. Contrariwise, the board scheme necessitates continuance in Toronto or forfeiture both of the benefit and the amount of the contributions.

Specialty on behalf of women members of the staff complaint is made that the minimum salary is only \$500 a year, which after deduction of bare living expenses only permits of a relatively small sum for books, clothing and other necessary outlays. Again, it is said, under the proposal teachers who retire before the age of 50 receive no allowance and do not even get a refund of their payments. These and the other objections noticed appear to be deserving of consideration, but they are really inherent in any superannuation scheme of limited extent. That is the main weakness of the board's position and it is difficult to see how it can be got over without hardships in particular instances which ought not to be entailed. Anyhow, the proposal is not one which should be rushed or indeed persevered in, unless it can be made thoroughly acceptable to the teaching staff as a whole. A provincial system certainly seems a more desirable solution.

ONE BRITISH VIEW.

Saturday Review, Nov. 20: Sir Wilfrid Laurier, in his reply on the address on Monday, put Mr. Monk, the anti-imperial Canadian Conservative, in his place with much vigor. The sentiments expressed by Sir Wilfrid towards the empire and British connexion were unexceptionable, and the empire will be grateful to him for his testimonial to its good influence and greatness. These were all generalities. There was one little particular—concrete policy—which did not quite agree with these imperial sentiments. "Canada would have a navy, and that navy would not go to the United States, but it would go to the United States." This is the separation. A navy on which the imperial government cannot count in a war with a foreign power is a navy of no use. It is a local force that has no more essential connexion with the empire than a foreign navy. It is said that Australia too is thinking of a local navy unattached to the empire. These are not pleasant signs.

WAS A "BLACK SHEEP"

Parents of Reid, Murdered in N. Y. State, Live in Collingwood.

COLLINGWOOD, Ont., Dec. 3.—John Edgar Reid, who according to despatches, was murdered in Pulaski, N. Y., last night, was the son of Mr. and Mrs. John Edgar Reid, who was born in 1881, and came to this town with his parents some years ago. This morning his father expressed little surprise on hearing that his son had met an untimely end.

On the books of the local police magistrate there is a conviction for theft registered against the young fellow. He left the town last year, and his people heard little of him from that time till now.

CALL TO PASTOR.

THORNHURST, Dec. 2.—At a joint meeting of the congregations of Thornhurst and Heathcote held this afternoon it was unanimously agreed to extend a call to Rev. N. Campbell, M.A., Oro station.

As the Grand Trunk Railway System is in touch with several good openings for those who desire to purchase summer resorts, opportunities for business locations, manufacturing plants, etc., anyone interested who will apply to Mr. W. P. Fitzsimons, Commissioner of Industries, Grand Trunk Railway System, Montreal, can secure full particulars.

AT OSGOOD HALL

WIN FOR HYDRO-ELECTRIC IN FELKER TEST CASE

Plaintiff Refused Permission to Plead That Act is Ultra Vires.

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Peremptory list for divisional court for Monday, Dec. 6, at 11 a.m.:
1. Smith v. London.
2. McAlpin v. Fleming.
3. Stockwell v. Doty.
4. Quinn v. McLean.
5. Crown Art v. Cooper.
6. Reid v. Toronto Railway Co.

Non-Jury Assize List.

Peremptory list for non-jury assize court, Monday, Dec. 6, at city hall, at 11 a.m.:
Pigott v. Gederich and Guelph Railway (continued).

Write Issued.

David Sandeman and Co., Limited, of Leicester, England, have begun an action against W. Beattie Newbitt of Toronto for \$100,000, under a guarantee for the defendant. The action is for the purchase of thread for the Dominion Thread Mills, Limited, and goods on account of the Dominion Thread Mills, Limited.

The Charlton Sawmill Company, Limited, of Collingwood, and Annie McColeman of Ford River, Mich., are being sued for damages for negligence on the part of the company.

The plaintiffs are Christina and Hugh McColeman of Collingwood on behalf of grandchildren.

Gordon S. Barr is suing Herbert P. Ritchie for a partnership accounting. Both live in Toronto.

Master's Chambers.

Before Cartwright, K.C., Master. Felker v. McGuigan—A. W. Ballantyne, for defendants other than the defendant, McGuigan, moved for judgment on the last five paragraphs of reply as embarrassing. R. H. Parmenter for the McGuigan Co. J. H. Moss, K.C., for plaintiffs. The action is for trespass to plaintiff's land arising out of the construction of a transmission line for the hydro-electric commission.

It is quite plain that these five paragraphs seek to raise an interesting and important question as to the rights of the Province of Ontario over the waters of the Niagara River, but the test of the present motion is whether they are relevant to the present action, i.e., whether they furnish any answer to the alleged grounds of defence and of justification of the trespasses complained of, or in any way constitute a part of the plaintiff's cause of action.

The defendants rely on the powers given to the commission. The plaintiff replies in the first six paragraphs that the commission has not obtained her consent to enter on her lands, and denies that the legislature has given any right to the commission to expropriate her lands without her consent.

These contentions give sufficient answers to the defence of justification, and the five paragraphs complained of should be struck out.

In the light of Florence Mining Co. v. Cobalt Lake, 190 S.R., 276, under the statutes it would appear that the commission has the power attempted to be exercised in this case, but whether the terms have been complied with is a further question which will be decided in due course. As the matter is novel as well as important, costs will be in the case, as was ordered by Meredith, C.J., in Smith v. London. Beatty v. Beatty—T. N. Phelan plaintiff on motion for interim alimony and disbursements. E. G. Long, for plaintiff. Judgment. The action was argued on Nov. 22, but judgment was reserved until the plaintiff had been examined for discovery. This has been done. The defendant relied on an agreement of separation made in 1898, under which plaintiff was to be paid \$100 a year for the ensuing five years and \$200 a year for the support of the children. Plaintiff swears that she never was paid anything and that she did not understand the agreement and alleges enough to entitle her to the order. The order will provide for \$5 a week from Oct. 11 and \$30 or such sum as may be agreed on for interim disbursements.

Atkinson v. Cassels—Grayson Smith, for plaintiff, moved for order postponing trial on ground of absence of material witness. F. McCarthy, for defendant, contra. Order made. Costs in cause. Leave to defendant to move to change venue to Toronto if so desired.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Webb v. St. Mary's and Western Railway—C. A. Moss, for defendant, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

EATON'S DAILY STORE NEWS

If His Present is to Be a House Coat, Choose It Now

We urge with all the force at our command, that you choose that very important gift now. It is exceedingly desirable to be able to choose from large and varied stocks at whatever price you decide to pay. Finer goods or choicer assortments never were spread out for you to make selections from. Choose now!

MEN'S HOUSE

COATS—Camel's hair

effects in red and green, red and black, or red with grey, also soft vicuna cloths in navy, brown, grey or green, with pretty plaid trimmings on revers, pockets and cuffs; sizes 34 to 48. Price. 5.00

10 only VERY

HANDSOME GOWNS

—in rich brocades and silk plushes, exclusive designs and patterns (only two of each pattern), silk

lined throughout, heavy silk and wool girdles to match. Each... 30.00

40 PATTERNS AND

STYLES, in those fine

American jackets, self colors of green, olive, navy, brown, with rich plaid trimmings, also mottled and checked patterns, in camel's hair effects, red, green and grey, with cord trimmed edges and pockets. Priced at \$6.50 and \$7.50.

SOMETHING ENTIRELY NEW in house

coats, neat striped patterns of brown, grey or green, with plaid trimmings, American styles, large assortment of latest colorings and designs. Price... 10.00

Handsome brocades and velvet jackets, rich pat-

terns, in neat, quiet colorings, or the brighter effects, silk lined throughout. At \$12.50, \$15.00 and \$18.00.

THE T. EATON CO. LIMITED

TORONTO CANADA

VISIT THE CHRISTMAS BAZAAR, 3rd FLOOR, FOR GIFT SUGGESTIONS

MAIN FLOOR—QUEEN STREET.

SHEET MUSIC NOW ON THIRD FLOOR YONGE ST.

Children's Parties

Dinner Parties

Card Parties

All kinds of Home Entertainments are enlivened and improved by

Michie's Merry Crackers

MICHIE & CO., Ltd.,

7 King St. W., Toronto

ing sale of property. F. W. Harcourt, K.C., for plaintiff, moved for order for better particulars so as to specify to which demand they are applicable. W. R. Wadsworth, for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

Re Darnell, lunacy—D. W. Saunders, K.C., for Trusts Corporation, moved for the appointment of J. A. C. Cameron as official referee in the place and stead of Neil McLean, deceased. No one contra. Order made.