there was another conference, when Adrian Gilbert, John Davis, Mr. Alderman Barnes, Mr. Towerson, Mr. Yong, and Mr. Hudson again discussed the possibility of a passage to Cathay.<sup>1</sup>

uilford's self that

mies for

ever you

t I have

for your ncludes:

at I will

ook after hev shal

ave dun

voyage,

in some

s spoken

cribed in

ist and a oke, with

a man of

nd, cared

at quality

have rare

ious wall

inherited

own into

alet, John

at Adrian

aselves to

es of one

may preg whether

dertaking. gham and

orth-West

day. At

igain they

n March 6,

The

in.

No sooner had all hope of the return of Sir Humphrey been abandoned, than Adrian Gilbert petitioned the Queen for Letters Patent empowering him to organize an expedition to seek the North-West Passage. This was Sir Humphrey's original idea, but, as we have seen, had been abandoned by him for a full colonization scheme. Letters Patent were therefore granted on February 6, 1584, to Adrian Gilbert and his associates, who were to be known as "The colleagues of the fellowship for the discovery of the Northwest Passage." The original petition was in the name of Adrian Gilbert, John Dee, and John Davis, and included a request for liberty to colonize. A first draft of the Letters Patent substitutes Walter Ralegh for John Dee, but the final document, as executed, drops the colonization portion and is in the name of Adrian Gilbert only. Under this patent John Davis made his ever-memorable voyages to the Northwest. The expeditions were financed chiefly by William Saunderson, whose wife was Sir Walter Ralegh's niece, being the daughter of his step-sister. Saunderson also bore the brunt of the expense of Sir Walter Ralegh's expeditions, being at one time security for him for over £,100,000.

While Adrian Gilbert was thus prompt to take up one portion of Sir Humphrey's plans, Walter Ralegh was equally eager to appropriate another. The date of the expiry of Sir Humphrey's patent was June 11, 1584, but it must have been realized at once that there was no possibility for exercising the rights under the patent in the short time that remained. All Sir Humphrey's sub-leases became invalid with his patent; and Sir Philip Sidney, Sir George Peckham, Sir Thomes Gerrard, John

<sup>1</sup> Could this by any chance have been Henry Hudson?