disapproa subse-Rev. Mr. ence, that ie of the Church

the Sochairman
ted that
and Mr.
shelves,
he books
they not
ves, why
s thrown
a dilemie incon-

will be that the hich the he Lord contrast rence to

will ex-

ector of ipen the s termid. as the ry upon before t refrain f Carle-"The To conancient rasmns TOMINE nguardterea by or have to conompanand not

the words which the Rev. Mr. Coster would willingly substitute for them, to exonerate it not only from the Bishop's disapproval, but from the "well-founded objections of the Rev.

Dr. I. W. D. Gray."

The Rev. Mr. Coster, in page 4 of his Defence, confesses that he thinks "it would have been better expressed had he," the author of this "excellent little book," not used the superlative expression "best." By the same parity of argument, any Tractarian may attempt to reconcile the grossest attack upon Protestantism. As for instance, WARD, in his "IDEAL," &c., asserts that the Church of England should sue on her knees for re-admission into Rome. Now, to adopt the language of the Defence, "I think it would have been better expressed had WARD introduced the monosyllable not between the words 'should' and 'sue.' " Charity may put the best construction upon positive expressions, but it has no right to alter, erase, or substitute terms. I must therefore protest against this method of defence to substantiate the ill-advisedness of my attack. The "superlative," in the "Companion," places the

Defender of it in a superlative difficulty.

In the conclusion of Mr. Coster's defence, he states in the most unqualified manner, that Dr. Gray was the "originator, "contriver, and manager of a most distressing discussion—to "use the words of a friend of his own—or as it might, with "great propriety, have been called, a most disgraceful row." I feel myself called upon to refute this unfounded accusation, and I may state most unequivocally and unreservedly, that I had determined to submit the question at the Anniversary Meeting of the Society, in consequence of having been informed by Dr. Botsford that he had purchased the book "The Companion to the Prayer Book," from the Depository: he met me in the street, mentioned the book, and its objectionable character, and I then and there told him I would originate an inquiry respecting it at a full meeting of the Society. Dr. Gray was not aware of my intention, until I consulted him respecting the doctrines of the Book, which was some time after my conversation with Dr. Botsford; to whom I pledged my determination; and I beg leave to assure the Rector of Carleton, that neither the Rev. Dr. Gray nor any other Reverend should have diverted me from my purpose: therefore, "Dr. Bayard" has not been "ill-advised," and he is willing to assume the entire responsibility.

In reference to Mr. Coster's remark about the "distressing discussion," I can readily imagine that it did distress some persons; and the sequel will prove that the "disgraceful row" part of the story, proceeded not from the originator and sup-

porters of the inquiry, but from the opposers of it.