

employment has disappeared into the mysterious maw of some electronic or other device which has come to replace him. This is one of the great problems of the technological age—this age of rapid and revolutionary change. This certainly is a field in which all levels of government must co-operate with management and labour to ease the adjustments and to provide the training and reorientation that is needed. Again, this is a sphere where the leadership of the present Prime Minister is unmistakably evident. It is to be a further step in the progress of providing more skills and better training for Canadian workmen under which vocational and training schools are mushrooming from coast to coast. The federal contribution to these schools alone at present is \$267 million. Over 130,000 old and young Canadians are reaping the benefits.

All Canada rejoices at the bountiful harvest of the Prairies. No doubt the good senator to my left (Hon. Mr. Aseltine) will tell us more about that later. Combined with the outstanding success of the Minister of Agriculture in finding unparalleled new markets for grain, it augurs well for a further highly successful year for agriculture.

I am very pleased to note that the Government, far from being satisfied with the great increase in farm cash income, proposes even further stimuli to the agricultural economy. The encouragement of our livestock industry by the building of grain-storage facilities in both east and west will benefit all Canadians. The farmers of Canada are eagerly awaiting the legislation which will be forthcoming in this session from the vast new program of agricultural rehabilitation and development. The expanding of credit sources to the farmer under the provisions of the Farm Credit Corporation will answer the fair and practical requests of our farming people over a long period of time.

Again, we are all most pleased to see that in the field of agriculture, it is proposed to develop facilities for training that are proving so successful at the present time in other spheres of employment. More and more, Canada requires highly skilled people, and this is as true of the farm as it is of the modern, automated industrial complex.

Over the years to come Canada must play an ever-increasing part in providing food for a hungry world. We can be very proud of the leadership shown by the Prime Minister and the Minister of External Affairs, which has resulted in the adoption by the United Nations of a world food program. And, as honourable senators know, the idea originated with our Prime Minister. As we meet the new Asiatic and African peoples now on the march, nothing could be more obvious than that we

can lead them to the paths of our democratic faith only if they find that our faith is combined with charity.

Honourable senators, there were some criticisms made by my good friend the Leader of the Opposition (Hon. Mr. Macdonald, Brantford), on behalf of the Opposition, which I feel I should answer briefly at this time. One of the criticisms we heard here, and which we heard much of from the Opposition in the other place, was that the Prime Minister had no right to speak for Canada at the Commonwealth conference.

Hon. Mr. Roebuck: Hear, hear.

Hon. Mr. Brooks: My friend says "Hear, hear". Who else could speak for Canada—the Leader of the Opposition? The Leader of the Opposition had 100 seats, the Prime Minister had 116. Should it be the Leader of the Social Credit party, or the Leader of the New Democratic party? None of these people had the right, except the Prime Minister, who spoke as the constitutional authority for Canada. Constitutionally, the Prime Minister continues as such until he resigns, or until some other person wins a sufficient number of seats so that he would have a prior right to form the Government. No one else had, in June, or has now, a more likely prospect of forming a Canadian Government. Surely, the honourable Leader of the Opposition (Hon. Mr. Macdonald, Brantford) was not serious in his remark, particularly in view of the recent votes of confidence in the House of Commons since Parliament met. There is another vote to be taken tonight, and I have not the least doubt, honourable senators, the result will be an endorsement of the Prime Minister and his Government.

Hon. Mr. Roebuck: Thanks to the Social Credit party.

Hon. Mr. Brooks: It does not make any difference. The men who are elected by the people represent the people; and if the majority of the Members in Parliament, no matter to what party they belong, support the Government, then the majority of the people in Canada are supporting the Government. There can be no doubt about it.

Another criticism was that Canada's attitude was not the proper one and did not represent the views of the people. How can the Opposition, or anyone else, know whether or not the Prime Minister represented the views of the Canadian people? Who was to say? Every other commonwealth Prime Minister held the same views as did our Prime Minister. Are all of these commonwealth statesmen wrong, and is the Leader of the Opposition the only one who is right? Prime Minister Macmillan had reassured the whole