

3. To which departments were such plants supplied and over the period January 1, 1966 to January 1, 1973, how many were supplied to each department?

4. What was the total cost for purchase and care of such plants during each year?

5. What firms supplied such plants and in what amounts by number, specie and dollar value?

Return tabled.

TRANSPORT—CAR RENTAL CONCESSIONS AT AIRPORTS

Question No. 1,841—**Mr. Mazankowski:**

1. How many car rental concessionaires are, at this date, operating at Department of Transport airports across Canada?

2. Who are they and where are they situated?

3. Is the Department proceeding with blueprints indicating that a decision has been reached allowing for an additional concessionaire and, if so, who is it and at what airports will it be located?

4. Is the addition of this concessionaire economically feasible, in relation to the present concessionaires and, if so, on what basis was this decision made?

5. (a) Is adequate parking space being provided for present concessionaires (b) are any additional ones being contemplated (c) how and what is the basis of allocation?

6. Is any consideration being given to those services provided by the wholly Canadian-owned car rental company?

7. For what reason does the Department of Transport allow an unlimited number of car rental operators at airports and yet restrict book shop, limousine and barber shop concessionaires?

Return tabled.

FEDERAL-PROVINCIAL JOINT PROGRAMS

Question No. 1,883—**Mr. Matte:**

What are the names of the federal-provincial joint programs for which each province has signed an agreement separately?

Return tabled.

IMPORTATION OF UNIVERSAL No. 65 TRACTORS FROM ROUMANIA

Question No. 2,085—**Mr. Schumacher:**

1. Did the government negotiate or participate in any way in the importation of Universal No. 65 Tractors from Roumania?

2. What was the nature of such participation?

3. Were any arrangements made, or undertakings given, concerning (a) guaranteeing the quality of these machines (b) availability of spare parts for them?

4. What was the nature of such arrangements?

Return tabled.

WHEAT PRODUCTION IN ALBERTA, SASKATCHEWAN AND MANITOBA

Question No. 2,088—**Mr. Yewchuk:**

1. For the years 1969 to 1972 inclusive, what quantities, in bushels, of (a) fall wheat (b) spring wheat (c) rapeseed were produced in the Provinces of (i) Alberta (ii) Saskatchewan (iii) Manitoba?

2. For the same years, what was the average price per bushel, for each of these grains (a) paid by the Canadian Wheat Board to farmers from these provinces (b) sold by the Canadian Wheat Board to farmers from each of these provinces?

26446—65

Orders for Returns

3. For the same years (a) what was the average export price per bushel for each of these grains (b) how did the Canadian Wheat Board dispose of any revenues it realized, for each of these grains it sold on the export market?

Return tabled.

RATIFICATION OF CONVENTIONS APPROVED BY UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON LAW OF THE SEA

Question No. 2,198—**Mr. MacLean:**

1. With regard to the conventions approved by the United Nations Conference on the Law of the Sea, Geneva, 1958, has Canada ratified (a) the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone (b) the Convention of the High Seas (c) the Convention on Fishing and Conservation of the Living Resources of the High Seas (d) the Convention on the Continental Shelf?

2. What other nations have ratified these conventions, in each case?

Return tabled.

APPLICATION FOR DREE GRANTS FROM MONTREAL SPECIAL AREA

Question No. 2,211—**Mr. Stackhouse:**

1. How many applications for grants has DREE received from the Montreal special area since the Department was established?

2. How many applications have been (a) accepted, which ones and what was the amount involved in each case (b) rejected, which ones and for what reasons?

3. What were the names of industries in the Montreal special area, which, having declared bankruptcy or having assigned their property or closed down later, obtained a grant from DREE?

Return tabled.

Mr. Knight: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. On February 13, I placed questions Nos. 927 to 931 inclusive on the order paper. They relate to overtime expenses of senior civil servants. This information is normally provided through the public accounts. Could the government hurry in providing this information, in spite of the fact the Department of External Affairs is somewhat embarrassed with regard to giving out that information?

Mr. Reid: Mr. Speaker, since the hon. member raised this matter last Wednesday, I have taken it under advisement. I also discussed it with the hon. member in late February. I have not yet come to a conclusion as to what we will do. We are examining the cost of obtaining this information in some departments. We will make our decision based on how much it will cost the taxpayers to provide the information.

Mr. Knight: The parliamentary secretary ought to point out this information is normally supplied to public accounts. What is it costing the Canadian taxpayer in terms of overtime for senior civil servants in this country?