


# M. L. ANDREW & CO.,

## CINCINNATI, OHIO. U. S. A.



INVENTORS AND BUILDERS OF THE MOST MODERN TYPES OF

### Vertical and Horizontal Wood-boring Machines

Any style, any size, any number of spindles. The kind that will increase your dividends, and give you perfect satisfaction.

¶ Patent Horizontal Multiple Spindle boring and routing machine, built in various lengths, and equipped to bore any number of holes, and cut any number of routs desired at one operation.

¶ Full information for the asking.

## WE PROTECT THE FIRM AS WELL AS THE EMPLOYEE.

This firm had their jointers equipped with JONES GUARDS and had no damages to pay: The Jones Safety Device Co., Ltd. Buffalo, N.Y., Feb. 20, 1908.

Gentlemen:—In reply to your inquiry as to the result of the case of Norwack vs. Steul & Thuman Company, I will say:

The Court after a careful consideration of the facts as presented, rendered a judgment of no cause of action, on the ground that the plaintiff had failed to show any want of care on the part of the defendant in providing safeguards for the knives of the jointer. As you already know, the cause of action arose out of an accident in which the plaintiff lost a part of the thumb of his right hand while operating a jointer in the defendant's mill. It was established on the trial that the machine in question was equipped with a JONES GUARD, and the guard was exhibited in court, and its mechanism and working fully explained. Of course the evidence showed that it was the plaintiff's own fault that the guard was not in place, but this did not affect the proof that the employer had performed his full duty by furnishing such a guard attached to the machine, and giving instructions in regard to its use. As attorney for the defendant in the action, I am very glad to give you this information, and trust that the result of this case will serve you as an argument that YOUR GUARD is a SAFETY DEVICE. I am, believe me, very truly yours, RALPH S. KENT.


This firm had their jointers equipped with the old style board guard and had heavy damages to pay: Toronto, Ont, April 2, 1908

Mr. J. M. Jones, Hamilton, Ont.


Dear Sir:—The action you refer to was an action brought by the employee against his employer in respect of injuries sustained while operating a buzz planer machine. I contended on behalf of plaintiff that the buzz planer, admittedly a dangerous machine, could be securely guarded without any loss accruing to the employer in the working of the machine and in order to sustain this contention used a model and diagram of your guard. The Jury finding for the plaintiff as they did, formed merely on the evidence as to the practicability and mechanical efficiency of your guard. The defendant seemed to concur in this as they did not appeal.

Yours truly, H. L. DRAYTON


Our guards never have to be taken off the machines for any class of work. SEE THE POINT? Shipped on 30 days' trial. Write for catalogue. Manufactured by



Pressure Shaper Guard For Double and Single Spindles



Attached Locked End in Use



Jointer Guard Dotted line shows it at side of machine for rabbeting.

**Jones Safety Device Co.**  
Limited  
22 King William St. - HAMILTON, ONT.