&

ng

ben

Furs fur stock will which ever over Seal-Dol-Vis-

oves, holce itles, etc. and nade orted , all dis-at is Furs Iren. sev-sev-loas, new hich very

THE PROPERIT OURS ON

THE PROPERIT OURS ON

THE PROPERIT OURS ON

THE PROPERITY OURS ON

THE PROPE OF THE PROPERITY OURS ON

THE PROPERITY OURS ON

THE PROPERIT

APPROACHING A FINAL.

Judge Senkler to Report Upon Toronto v.

Toronto Street Railway Co.

Yesterday morning, at the request of City
Solicitor Biggar, Mr. Justice Rose issued his LOCAL FIRM.

Solicitor Biggar, Mr. Justice Rose issued his long-pending judgment in the case of Toronto v. Toronto Street Railway Co. It will be remembered that in 1886 the city sued the company for the cost of certain repairs made on those streets on which the defendants operated their cars. The defence put in was that the roadways were not permanent in their character, and therefore were not covered in the provisions of the charter under which the company operated. Mr. Justice Rose in 1887 gave judgment in favor of the city, but for some unknown reason the text of his deliverance was not asked for until yesterday. Since the judgment was delivered, negotiations for a settlement of the points in dispute between the two parters were commenced, and are still the source of the contraction of the contrac

and advanced to the control of the c

INDEFINITELY POSTPONED

ADVICES FROM NEWFOUNDLAND
RECRIVED AT OTTAWA.