

The Colonist.

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 16, 1894.

PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY MORNING.

The Colonist Printing & Publishing Co., Limited, Liability.

W. H. ELLIS, Manager. A. G. SARGENT, Secretary.

TERMS.

THE DAILY COLONIST.
 Published every day except Monday.
 Per Year, (Postage Free to any part of Canada) \$10.00
 Six Months, \$5.00
 Three Months, \$2.50
 Single Copies, 10c.

THE WEEKLY COLONIST.

Published every Friday except Monday.
 Per Year, (Postage Free to any part of the Dominion or United States) \$5.00
 Six Months, \$2.50
 Three Months, \$1.25
 Single Copies, 10c.

ADVERTISING RATES.

REGULAR COMMERCIAL ADVERTISING.
 As distinguished from everything of a transient character, and for the purpose of referring to regular Merchandise and Manufacturing Establishments, the following rates are published at the following rates: For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

ADVERTISING RATES.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISING.—For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line. For the first insertion, 10c per line; for each subsequent insertion, 5c per line.

IMPORTANT MINING SUIT.

Men Who Have Done Considerable Mining Work Maintained in Their Claim.

Judgment by Mr. Justice Crease—Minute Review of All the Facts.

In the Supreme Court before the Honorable Mr. Justice Crease.

Allan Granger, plaintiff, v. Robt. Fotheringham, Jno. E. Aakwith, Archibald Murdoch, R. Irving, W. H. Ellis and Geo. McCabe.

Mr. E. Davis for plaintiff; C. E. Pooley, Q. C. for defendant.

JUDGMENT.

Crease, J.: This case which was tried before me without a jury at Vancouver on the 28th and 29th of July last, just before the vacation, is one of considerable importance to the mining community. It discloses the attempt to wrest the possession of a claim from a set of men who have done a great deal of mining work, and expended large sums of money in labor and machinery, by one who has done no work and expended nothing upon it.

Under these circumstances it is not surprising that the learned counsel for the plaintiff in his opening address should have deprecated all reference to the position and motives of the plaintiff, as an alleged principal in a jumping case, and seek to confine his whole treatment of the matter in dispute to abstract propositions in law, and the bald construction to be placed upon sections and parts of sections of the gold mining acts on which he relies, on the assumption that the position of the plaintiff is that of an innocent prospector seeking by fair and lawful means the enforcement of a legal right against a wrong doer.

As the facts are numerous and somewhat involved, a statement of the leading circumstances of the case and the principal effect of the pleadings becomes indispensable.

The action is to determine the title to a mine, and for its possession and for damages. The plaintiff claims to have a right, as an independent miner to the ownership of a claim called the "Safety."

It runs over one which has been worked for several years by the defendants, or some of them, called the "Bobbie Burns"; and on which, it is not denied, the defendants have expended in labor, machinery and roads upwards of \$20,000.

On the "Safety" the plaintiff has expended practically nothing.

The "Bobbie Burns" was recorded on the 12th May, 1891, by Archibald Murdoch, in (what is called from his discovery) the McMurdo, being a branch of the Semikamish river.

The "Bobbie Burns" was recorded under the gold mining act of 1891.

Other two claims—the "Robert E. Burns" and the "Safety"—were recorded under the act of 1893.

The last two claims are virtually the same claim; they run over the same lines, and both cover the "Bobbie Burns."

The defendant's claim, affecting the "Bobbie Burns," which I take to be, as the first in order of record, are as follows:

On the 22nd August, 1891, Archibald Murdoch being then the discoverer and sole owner of the "Bobbie Burns," conveyed to John English Aakwith, three-fourths of his interest in that mine; retaining one-fourth in himself.

On the 23rd November, 1891, Aakwith conveyed to Fotheringham seven-eighths of his three-fourths.

Later on Aakwith conveyed the remaining one-eighth of his said three-fourths, leaving Murdoch with one-fourth.

In September, 1892, Murdoch made a written agreement (called a bond) with Fotheringham to convey to him that remaining one-fourth interest.

On 4th July, 1893, Fotheringham gives to Aakwith a receipt for the bond, and Aakwith to do with the "Bobbie Burns."

On the 22nd July, 1893, Fotheringham conveys the "Bobbie Burns" to the defendants Ellis, Irving, Murdoch, and McCabe, in pursuance of the bond; a quit claim of all his interest in the "Bobbie Burns" to Fotheringham.

The instruments recorded affecting the "Robert E. Burns" are as follows:

On 23rd July, 1893, record of "Robert E. Burns" filed at Golden by George McCabe, one of the defendants.

On 31st July, 1893, a bill of sale given by George McCabe to Fotheringham, in consideration of \$500 of all his interest in the "Robert E. Burns."

As to the "Safety" claim the instruments recorded were:

On 30th September, 1893, record of the "Safety" claim by Allan Granger.

On 30th September, 1893, a protest filed against the "Bobbie Burns" by the plaintiff, Allan Granger, under the Mineral Act, 1892, c. 22, B. C. Statute.

All these documents were produced and proved at the trial.

The pleadings, briefly sketched, commence with a statement of claim.

That merely sets out the "Safety" claim. The defence is a general defence, and sets out the possession. The amended and equitable bill of the plaintiff has been stated out and recorded the "Robert E. Burns" and had agreed to convey it to the defendants.

Defendants therefore rely on the "Robert E. Burns."

Plaintiff in reply objects. That the "Robert E. Burns" was defectively stated in certain particulars, viz: that

1. It covers a greater area than the act allowed the "Robert E. Burns" to cover.

2. That the distance between two stakes of the "Robert E. Burns" is over 1,500 feet.

3. That the location line is not distinctly marked (sub-section 5 of section 5 of reply) clause 3 of section 15 of the act requiring that such line "between posts 1 and 2 can be distinctly seen."

4. That No. 2 post of "Robert E. Burns" is unduly.

5. That "Robert E. Burns" is merely a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns."

The issue was:

(1) Was the "Robert E. Burns" sufficiently stated to comply with the mineral act?

(2) Whether the location by McCabe was a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(3) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(4) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(5) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(6) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(7) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(8) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(9) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

(10) Whether the location by McCabe was not in equity so stated as to be a restaking of the "Bobbie Burns"?

ingham as owner. During the years 1891 and 1892 there were duly obtained and recorded the proper certificates of assessment work done on the "Bobbie Burns," as required by Section 22, exp. 25 (work done each year) on the claim itself to the value of at least \$100.

It was also proved that Fotheringham expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He expended in erecting mill machinery adjoining, and for the purposes of that machinery making a road from the mill, \$12,500, which about \$2,500 worth of work done on the mine, making altogether \$15,000.

Aakwith, who had a full power of attorney from Fotheringham (his brother-in-law), transacted all business for him in relation to the "Bobbie Burns" claim on leaving for the East, made specific arrangements with Harry Cummins, a duly qualified local surveyor, to survey the claim, make and record the proper affidavits and documents required by the mineral act to entitle him to a Crown grant.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.

He was also proved to have been in possession of the claim, and to have expended in labor bona fide done, on the "Bobbie Burns," \$1,500 of assessment work in the year 1893.