exper

profit:

this co

that i

gives

mysel

The V

That

ousable

am free

unseaso

ollowin

ther tin

epleted

ways a

eetings

o be su

nd tha

problem

ill offe

ntinue

at "Th

e proble

mon

12 belo

be mad

which

rsonall.

epers w

lar, wh

ely out

itered c

ar win

tor Ro

nerly

ers to

eaning

he cold

WC

time to build the combs and gather the honey, and for that reason it is profitable to use foundation, because they can get storage room quicker; but I have found it profitable not to use full sheets of foundation in the brood-nest when hiving swarms and working for comb honey, in my locality. I have taken the sections off the old hive and put them on the new, and have the same bees working in the sections again in 20 minutes, with all the enthusiasm of a new swarm, and all the honey that goes in must go up in those sections, and they will build brood-combs, and as fast as they build the queen will fill them with eggs, and I have gotten as nice honey as by allowing them to build their own surplus combs. But, as far as results are concerned in surplus honey, I can get more by allowing them to build their own combs in the brood-nest. I would confine a swarm to about five combs.

Mr. Abbott: As we seem to be establishing a precedent, I want to express my opinion about this voting business. It seems to me like schoolboy play. I cannot see anything to gain by it, cannot see any instruction to be gotten out of it, and I cannot see any good in it—a lot of people holding up their hands that they would do this and that. A National bee-keepers' meeting, it seems to me, is to impart instruction and information at the same time, and to hear from these people who are not in the habit of talking. I want to hear Dr. Miller and we want to see him.

Dr. Miller: I think there are certain things that we can get at a convention that we cannot get elsewhere, and this makes it worth while to come a long distance, and it is the men we don't see much of, it is the men whose writings we do not see much in the journals, that we want to hear from here. But there are certain things that sometimes have been discuszed so thoroughly that we are not likely to get any new light upon them, but it is of very great import. ance to me to know something about what the bee keepers in general do think about them. Now, if we were have a long discussion about whether it is better to do this or that. and the reasons are given, (and we have heard all those reasons before there is more or less waste time in And it is worth while for me to know that there are 37 who think so and so, and 13 others who think differently. Of course, an old man like Mr. Abbot doesn't want us children to be playing here, but it is not child's play when we know what i ers br the weight of opinion upon that. The ellar, re we can go on and get ideas that w nold, wi will not get elsewhere. nd othe

W. L. Coggshall: What is the question under discussion?

Dr. Mason: We want to knot whether the use of foundation is pro fitable in the production of comban extracted honey?

W. L. Cogshall: Surely, it is ind pensable.

Dr. Mason: Would you use it the brood-nest?

W. L. Cogshall: Most assuredly. Dr. Mason: Would you use it the sections?

W. L. Cogshall? Yes, sir, full s

A Member: At what cost? W. L. Cogshall: No matter wha

cost. D. W. Heise, of Ontario: I th Mr. Cogshall has almost settled question. We can discuss this ma day in and day out and at the en all the time it would resolve ! into the hive question. We know profitable to use foundation, and know it from our own experie and I think every one in his loca and according to his honey flow