

Anglican policy. And how can such conclusions be arrived at without discussion ?

(2) The other danger is, of course, in the opposite direction. It is lest a bitter and personal spirit be imported into our discussions, and lest sweeping denunciations be made on the ground of individual cases. Let me give one illustration of this latter danger. A woman belonging to the Cathedral in an American city, left the city and becoming attached to the Congregational Church, wrote the Cathedral for a letter from the Rector, who was also Dean. The Dean refused to issue it, and the Congregational minister immediately communicated the circumstance to the leading newspaper of his denomination, adding that if this was the spirit of the P. E. Church, what was the good of a Conference. The criticism of a whole Church, based on the action of an individual is tempting, but it is to be deprecated. There is also a danger in the kind of criticism directed against the "Church Unity League." In some quarters it has been exceedingly bitter, and I would submit to those who are concerned for the success of the world Conference, that they should discuss the Church Unity League and its doings in a calmer and more judicial frame of mind.

On our part, I think there should be consideration at every step of the bearing of our action upon the issue of the Conference. We are warmly in sympathy with it. We believe we can be of service to it, and I feel sure that we shall refuse to take any step that can reasonably be held likely to prejudice its great object.

II. THE CHURCH AND THE MINISTRY

In the discussion of the subject of Church Unity, it does not seem possible to omit reference to the vexed