

9006 - 112 Street, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2C5

WITH

OPE COSTS

- CONTIKI: If you think you can't afford a holiday in Europe, think again
- Contiki not only make it possible they offer the best range of tours,
- Contiki tours include accommodation, three meals a day, sightseeing and masses of special excursions
- Once you've paid the full price we guarantee there will be no surcharges.
- All you'll need in Europe is your pocket money. And the desire to share an altogether different
- Europe Contiki style—for the 18-35's there's nothing like it!

8625 - 112 St. Campus Tower Bldg.



BOOK NOW

TRAVEL

432-7446

SUMMER **EMPLOYMENT** CAMP HE-HO-HA

located 50 miles west of Edmonton on Lake Isle, welcomes applications for: Counsellors,

Senior Counsellors, and

Specialists (Nurses, outtrippers, lifeguards, waterfront instructors, crafts instructor).

Qualifications: Minimum age 18, experience or interest in working with disabled individuals. Specialists qualified in own areas.

Salary: Room and Board provided. Counsellors (May 14 - August 25) - \$1701.00. Senior Counsellors (May 14 - August 25) -

Specialists (May 12 - August 25) - \$2210.00.

Interested? Apply and sign up for interviews at Canada Employment Centre, 4th Floor, SUB. Interviews will be held March 25th and 26th.

Revolutionary education anthem

education (sung to tune of Beatles' solution Revolution)

Say you want an education Well you know

We all want to go to school You say that there's a high tuition

Well you know We all try to pay our way But when you talk about indexing fees

Don't you know that you can count us out

chorus. Tuitions gonna be too high, too high too high

We say we want a real

Well you know We'd all love to end the cuts We ask them for a contribu-

Well you know They're not doing what they

But if want you money from

people with minds that hate I tell you students you're turn



gonna have to wait

chorus

They say they'll raise the contributions Well you know They tell us that they'll hike

Well you know

Then we'll have no place to

But if you go carrying pic-tures of Lougheed now You ain't gonna make it on campus anyhow

chorus

R. Lunney Commerce I

THE ENGINEERING CLUB PRESIDENTS

Beef&Boogie II

March 19,1982

Doors: 6:00 p.m.

Dinner: 6:30 p.m. Dance: 9:00 p.m.

at THE RED BARN featuring JERICO HILL

\$14.00

Tickets available at all club offices Dance tickets only \$7.00 in Cab, Bus tickets available

The Back Packer


CLOSING OUT

ALL STOCK

8226 - 104 st.

SALE Up To 50% Off

NO PERSONAL CHEQUES





OFFICIAL CAMPUS PHOTOGRAPHER

NOW LOCATED IN 9012 HUB
Weate your Appointment NOW PHONE 433-8244

SPECIAL STUDENT PRICES

More on SU Election

Re: The letter to the Editor from Oscar and Mary Joy Aitken (Gateway, March 4).
I would like to say I found the

letter amusing, however that would not be true. Unfortunately I found the letter rather upsetting to say the least (misleading and

deceiving to say the most).

I would like to clear up a few of the half-truths and omissions prevalent in that letter in order that they might not prejudice the upcoming elections. First of all, one has to wonder why the authors chose to devote ten paragraphs to the "alleged" wrongdoings of the Cottle slate while only including one short paragraph on the actions of the Walker slate (sounds fishy?).

Of course I am sure it was just accident that the authors "forgot" to mention at any point in the letter that after all evidence was presented, the Cottle slate was unanimously cleared of the one charge laid against them.

It was also probably just an "oversight" that the authors of the article did not mention that the charge against the Walker slate of stuffing mailboxes in Lister Hall was upheld. I believe (and I stand to be corrected) that there were other charges or unusual practices in regards to the actions of the Walker slate, such as running a candidate who was not academically eligible and then having her withdraw at the last minute (thus giving the Walker slate the unfair advantage of extra election funds).

I find it rather unwarranted given the DIE Board's findings, that the authors should call the actions of the Walker slate "disturbing" while the perfectly innocent Cottle slate candidates were accused of "conscious conspiracy."

In regards to Lisa Walter who apparently "characterized the act as 'downright slimey' due to the dubious implications it contained" (re: charges against Cottle slate), I find it rather amusing since as the authors well know, Lisa Walter was anything but impartial. In fact, from sitting close to her and her "groupies" at the election forum in SUB Theatre, I would suggest Ms. Walter could have easily been sued for slander for some of the things she was saying about the Cottle slate and certain members

Now in light of the fact that the Cottle slate was cleared of any illegal practices, while the Walker slate was shown to have violated at least one of the rules regarding Student's Union elections, it seems to me that the DIE Board made the right decision in the end. It would be unfair indeed if Teresa Gonzalez, Roger Merkosky, and Brian Bechtel were made to suffer further when they had already been placed at a disadvantage due to the unfair practices of the Walker slate. I would say their victories were well earned.

Shirley Klein Special Student